JPRS-TAC-86-088 7 NOVEMBER 1986

Worldwide Report

ARMS CONTROL

JPRS publications contain information primarily from foreign newspapers, periodicals and books, but also from news agency transmissions and broadcasts. Materials from foreign-language sources are translated; those from English-language sources are transcribed or reprinted, with the original phrasing and other characteristics retained.

Headlines, editorial reports, and material enclosed in brackets [] are supplied by JPRS. Processing indicators such as [Text] or [Excerpt] in the first line of each item, or following the last line of a brief, indicate how the original information was processed. Where no processing indicator is given, the information was summarized or extracted.

Unfamiliar names rendered phonetically or transliterated are enclosed in parentheses. Words or names preceded by a question mark and enclosed in parentheses were not clear in the original but have been supplied as appropriate in context. Other unattributed parenthetical notes within the body of an item originate with the source. Times within items are as given by source.

The contents of this publication in no way represent the policies, views or attitudes of the U.S. Government.

#### PROCUREMENT OF PUBLICATIONS

JPRS publications may be ordered from the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161. In ordering, it is recommended that the JPRS number, title, date and author, if applicable, of publication be cited.

Current JPRS publications are announced in Government Reports Announcements issued semi-monthly by the National Technical Information Service, and are listed in the Monthly Catalog of U.S. Government Publications issued by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

Correspondence pertaining to matters other than procurement may be addressed to Joint Publications Research Service, 1000 North Glebe Road, Arlington, Virginia 22201.

JPRS-TAC-86-088 7 NOVEMBER 1986

# WORLDWIDE REPORT ARMS CONTROL

## CONTENTS

SDI AND SPACE	ARMS	
TASS:	Perle Says U.S. To Continue SDI (Moscow TASS, 20 Oct 86)	1
USSR's	Gerasimov Cites 'Cheaper' Countermeasures to SDI (Moscow TASS, 21 Oct 86)	2
USSR Me	edia on U.S. 'Star Wars' Developments (Moscow Television Service, 17 Oct 86; Moscow TASS, 18, 21 Oct 86)	3
	Livermore Research, by Aleksandr Zholkver New Space-Based Reactor Test for Ground Laser Device	3 4
Moscowa	U.S. Satellite Photography, ABM Treaty (Moscow Radio Peace and Progress, 18 Oct 86)	5
TASS:	Chernyshev Terms Arguments for SDI 'Absurd' (Vladimir Chernyshev; Moscow TASS, 18 Oct 86)	7
KYODO:	Gerasimov Denies USSR Working on Nuclear Laser System (Tokyo KYODO, 22 Oct 86)	8
PRC Jou	rnal Comments on Summit Failures (Chang Qing; Beijing BEIJING REVIEW, No 42, 20 Oct 86)	9
U.SUSSR NUCI	EAR AND SPACE ARMS TALKS	

- a -

(Mikhail Gorbachev; Moscow Television Service, 22 Oct 86). 10

Gorbachev 22 Oct TV Address on Reykjavík Outcome

USSR:	Shevardnadze Briefs Romanians on Summit, Reactions	
COOK	(Various sources, various dates)	20
	(various sources, various dates)	20
	Montine Uith Tetu	20
	Meeting With Totu	
	Meeting With Ceausescu	20
	Further on Ceausescu Meeting	21
	Shevardnadze Press Conference	21
	Communique on Visit	23
	Ceausescu Commentary on Reykjavík	24
USSR O	fficials Brief Foreigners on Reykjavík Outcome	
	(Various sources, various dates)	25
	(various sources, various duces)	
	Bessmertnykh, Mitterrand Meeting	25
	Bessmertnykh on UK, French Arms	25
		25
	Rogachev Briefing in PRC	
	Karpov on Thatcher Meeting, by Paul Cheston	26
	Petrovskiy Report at UN	27
	Bessmertnykh News Conference in Paris	27
	Bessmertnykh Talk With Craxi	28
	Semenov Briefing for Koivisto	28
	Aleksandrov Meeting in Denmark	28
	Petrovskiy Call on Perez de Cuellar	28
	Milan: Bessmertnykh Rome News Conference on Summit	28
	Karpov Briefing for SPD	29
	Karpov to FRG Press	29
		30
	Rogachev Briefs Qian Qichen	-
	Hu Qili Cited on Talks	30
	Semenov-Tindemans Talk	31
	18 October Briefings	31
	Belongov to UN First Committee	32
USSR's	Gerasimov Briefs Press on Reykjavik Outcome	
	(Various sources, 16 Oct 86)	33
	,	
	TASS Report	33
	World Service Report	33
	Gerasimov, Semenov on INF Stance	34
	Gerasimov, Semenov on int Stance	34
HCCD C	and an an INT CDI I delega	
U55K 5	pokesmen on INF-SDI Linkage	26
	(Various sources, various dates)	36
	Tun on	20
	INF-SDI Linkage In Force, Yuriy Beketov Interview	36
	Karpov: INF Possible Without SDI	37
	Genscher: No INF Linkage	39
	UK Official Countered on Linkage, by Nikolay Borin	39

USSR:	U.S. Reaction to, Justification for Reykjavik	
	(Various sources, various dates)	41
	U.S. Legislators: 'Missed Opportunity'	41
	'Concern' Over Aftermath, by A. Blinov	42
	Political 'Turmoil', bu L. Koryavin	42
		44
	Speakes on Going 'Forward', by Vitaliy Ilyashenko	45
	Reagan Grand Forks Speech	45
	Soviet ABM Stance Distorted, by K. Georgiyev	47
	Shultz Report to NATO Council, by Albert Balebanov	47
	Reagan Television Address	48
	Reagan Tries 'To Decline' Responsibility White House Justification Campaign, by Eduard Mnatsakov	48
	Shultz Briefs Allies, Defends SDI, by V. Antonov	48
	Poindexter 'Counterattack', Reaction, by I. Ignatyev	49
		51
	U.S. Propaganda 'Tricks', by Yuriy Kornilov Kornilov Counters 'Lies', by Yuriy Kornilov	52
		54
	PRAVDA Hits Perle, Buchanan, by Yu. Kuznetsov	34
USSR Co	ommentaries on Summit Results, Reaction	
	(Various sources, various dates)	56
	IZVESTIYA on Summit End, by A. Bovin, et al.	56
	PRAVDA on European Reaction, by Yu. Kharlanov	58
	PRAVDA: Praise for Gorbachev, by T. Kolesnichenko,	-
	A. Maslenníkov	58
	Ptashnikov: Meeting Not 'Fruitless', by Andrey Ptashnikov	59
	Lomeyko: 'Important Insights'	60
	Vinogradov: Peace Efforts Continue, by	
	Alekseyevich Vinogradov	61
	Chernyshev: U.S. 'Space Rush' Specter, by Vladimir	
	Chernyshev	61
	Grigoryev: SDI Allies' Disappointment, by	
	Aleksey Grigoryev	62
	Fesunenko: 'Conflict' Plaguing Reagan, by Igor Fesunenko	63
	Pozner: Flexibility Shown, by Vladimir Pozner	64
	Druzhinin: U.S. Can Reconsider	65
	Levin: Offer Still 'In Force', by Viktor Levin	66
	Ovchinníkov Commentary, by Vsevolod Ovchinníkov	67
	Pozner Commentary, by Vladimir Pozner	70
	Zorin Commentary, by Valentin Zorin	71
	Kornilov Commentary, by Yuriy Kornilov	72
	Shvets Hits Shultz Speech, by Yuriy Shvets	73
PRAVDA	Editorial on Summit Aftermath, Assessment	
r introdu	(Moscow PRAVDA, 16 Oct 86)	74
	the second state of the second	, ,
NATO Co	ommanders Criticize U.S. Summit Tactics	
	(Paris AFP, 17 Oct 86)	77

Mitterr	and, Thatcher Discuss Reykjavik Summit (Francis Cornu; Paris LE MONDE, 18 Oct 86)	78
French	Foreign Ministers on Euromissiles, Reykjavik (Paris LE MONDE, 17 Oct 86)	79
France'	s LE FIGARO on Effects of Reykjavik 'Failure' (Jean Francois-Poncet, Editorial; Paris LE FIGARO, 18-19 Oct 86)	80
French	CP Daily Blames Reagan for Summit 'Failure' (Paris L'HUMANITE, 14 Oct 86)	81
Belgium	Foreign Minister on Meeting With USSR's Semenov (Leo Tindeman Interview; Brussels Domestic Service, 16 Oct 86)	82
Belgian	Daily Sees Reykjavik 'Step in Right Direction' (Pierre Lefevre; Brussels LE SOIR, 14 Oct 86)	83
Portuga	al 'Satisfied' With Reykjavík Outcome (Lisbon Domestic Service, 13 Oct 86)	84
Spanish	Foreign Minister Comments on Reykjavik (Madrid YA, 14 Oct 86)	85
Spanish	Editorials Comment on Reykjavik Summit (Editorials; various sources, 13 Oct 86)	86
Turkey'	s Foreign Ministry on Results of Reykjavík (Ankara Domestic Service, 14 Oct 86)	88
Various	Reaction to Reykjavik Summit Results (Various sources, various dates)	89
	Jankowitsch Expresses 'Disappointment'	89
	KPOe Politburo Statement	89
	KPOe Chairman's Assessment, by Franz Muhri	90
	Daily Sees 'Great Chance' Missed, by Robert Wiesner	91
	DIE PRESSE Terms Meeting 'Success', by Engelbert Washietl	91
INTERMEDIATE-F	RANGE NUCLEAR FORCES	
French	President on New 'Hades' Missile	
	(Jacques Isnard; Paris LE MONDE, 15 Oct 86)	93

## NUCLEAR TESTING AND FREE ZONE PROPOSALS

	TASS:	U.S. Explodes Nuclear Device in Nevada 16 Oct (Moscow TASS, 16 Oct 86)	94
		TASS Report Chernyshev: 'Salute' to Summit, by Vladimir Chernyshev	94 94
	Soviet.	Invit: Scientists To Observe Nuclear Test Site (David Wallen; London PRESS ASSOCIATION, 9 Oct 86)	96
	IZVESTI	YA Reports From 'Silent' Nuclear-Test Site (Boris Ivanov; Moscow IZVESTIYA, 30 Sep 86)	98
	Moscow:	Nuclear Test Ban 'Could Become Permanent' (Moscow World Service, 6 Oct 86)	102
	Briefs	USSR-Japan Medics Roundtable	103
RELATEI	ISSUES	S .	
	USSR:	Argentine President, Soviet Officials on Arms Issues (Moscow TASS, 13-15 Oct 86; Moscow PRAVDA, 15, 18 Oct 86).	104
		Cromyko Speaks at Dinner Alfonsin Replies TASS Details 14 Oct Talks Meeting With Gorbachev Moscow Press Conference PRAVDA Version of Gromyko Speech Joint Statement	104 104 105 106 107 108 109
	TASS:	Voss Addresses Interparliamentary Union on NT, SDI (Moscow TASS, 10 Oct 86)	111
	USSR Ge	eneral on Summit, SALT II, ABM, SDI (Moscow TASS, 22 Oct 86)	112
	TASS:	U.S. Arms Upgrading Programs, Testing, ASAT Hit (V. Chernyshev; Moscow KRASNAYA ZVEZDA, 5 Oct 86)	114
	TASS:	FRG Populace Opposes U.S. Missiles, Testing (Leonid Ponomarev; Moscow TASS, 11 Oct 86)	116
	Moscow	Radio: DPRK-Soviet Proposals on Weapons Eliminations, NFZ (Moscow International Service, 11 Oct 86)	117
	Budapes	st: Bessmertnykh Queried on Non-Lab SDI Tests, Summit, ABM	118

Toky	yo: USSR's Kapitsa Briefings on Reykjavik, SDI, ABM (Various sources, 20, 21 Oct 86)	119
	Talks With Deputy Minister Yanai NHK Interview, Mikhail Stepanovich Kapitsa Interview Meets With Foreign Minister	119 119 120
/12223		

/12223

SDI AND SPACE ARMS

TASS: PERLE SAYS U.S. TO CONTINUE SDI

LD202149 Moscow TASS International Service in Russian 1530 GMT 20 Oct 86

[Text] London, 20 Oct (TASS) -- TASS correspondent Nikolay Pakhomov reports: The U.S. intention to continue implementation of the star wars program and the absence of any sincere desire on Washington's part to aim for a reduction and liquidation of nuclear weapons arsenals are the main reasons that an arms control accord was not reached in Reykjavik. This was reaffirmed by statements ade by Richard Perle, U.S. assistant secretary of defense, who has arrived in Great Britain to take part in a regular session of the NATO Nuclear Planning Group, which opens tomorrow in Glenagles (Scotland). In an interview for BBC television, the "hawk" stated that he was "as proud and happy as he could be" that the Soviet-American meeting in Reykjavík was not crowned with an agreement.

R. Perle stressed that the U.S. Administration intends to continue at full speed with work on the 'Strategic Defense Initiative,' having made it clear that restricting this work to just laboratory research does not figure in Washington's plans.

/1.2858

CSO: 5200/1040

SDI AND SPACE ARMS

USSR'S GERASIMOV CITES 'CHEAPER' COUNTERMEASURES TO SDI

LD211408 Moscow TASS in English 1403 GMT 21 Oct 86

[Text] Moscow October 21 TASS — If the United States goes ahead with its Strategic Defense Initiative the Soviet Union will take countermeasures which will be adequate but not identical, Gennadiy Gerasimov, chief of the Information Expartment of the Soviet Foreign Ministry, said at a briefing in the press centre of the Woviet Foreign Ministry today.

According to him, Soviet scientists have estimated that countermeasures to neutralize SDI will be far cheaper than the Strategic Defense Initiative program itself and well within the Soviet Union's possibilities. Some scientists believe that countermeasures fully to neutralize SDI will cost a mere 5 per cent of the SDI spending.

/12858

CSO: 5200/1040

## USSR MEDIA ON U.S. 'STAR WARS' DEVELOPMENTS

#### Livermore Research

OW200-35 Moscow Television Service in Russian 1220 GMT 17 Oct 86

[From "The World Today" program presented by Aleksandr Zholkver]

[Text] Last night, militaristic America once again made itself heard. This is the America of the generals and the bosses of military concerns.

It was they who achieved one more nuclear explosion on the proving range in Nevada, this time of such great power that, as was reported from Las Vegas which is situated more than 1JO miles from the range, the earth shook there.

Not far from the Nevada nuclear proving range, at the sadly famous Livermore Laboratory, work on the preparation for star wars is being speeded up. The use of the so-called nuclear-filled lasers [lazeri s yadernoy nachinkoy] is also planned. It is precisely this that explains why during the period of the Soviet moratorium, 22 nuclear explosions took place in the United States, including those which have not been officially reported but which were nevertheless registered by sensitive seismic equipment. So it is not a matter, here, of difficulties in verification. The matter is quite different: It lies in the lack of desire to end the arms race.

It is precisely this that Patricia Schroeder, a member of the U.S. House of Representatives, spoke about after the new explosion in Nevada: It became completely obvious that the President's declared statement that he supposedly aspires to arms control is nothing more than empty words.

#### New Space-Based Reactor

LD181647 Moscow TASS in English 1528 GMT 18 Oct 86

[Text] San Francisco October 18 TASS -- The United States has started developing space-based nuclear-power plants, which are designed to become the key element of Reagan's "Strategic Defence Initiative". As the newspaper "SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINED said, a new type of atomic reactor is being developed at a Sunnyvale facility of the General Electric Company in the north of California at official Washington's request "It will be small enough to be carried aboard a space shuttle."

The reactor named SP-100 is of vital significance for the Star Wars programme drawn up by the Reagan administration, the newspaper said. It can be put in orbit in 1943. This is a forerunner of much larger nuclear-powered systems that would use lasers and particle beams. Such a system ultimately build involve hundreds of these Star wars weapons. Already now, according to the newspaper, work has been started at the U.S. military centres to develop space reactors with a power tens of thousands of times that of SP-100.

Test for Ground Laser Device

LD211748 Moscow TASS in English 1504 207 11 Oct 36

[Text] New York October 21 TASS -- Preparations for the testing of a ground laser device intended for obtaining a light beam capable of destroying ballistic missiles in space is in full swing in the United States. This information was provided to the TPI news agency by officials of the missile testing ground in white sands, New Mexico.

According to the officials, the National Radiation Laboratory in Livermore, which is the U.S. leading centre for the development of the most up-to-date armaments, is taking part in the tests. The testing of a laser device in white Sands is part of the research program within the framework of President Reagan's Strategic Defense Initiative, UPI points out.

/12858 CSO: 5200/1040 SDI AND SPACE ARMS

MOSCOW: U.S. SATELLITE PHOTOGRAPHY, ABM TREATY

LD181054 Moscow Radio Peace and Progress in German 2030 GMT 18 Oct 86

## [Unattributed commentary]

[Text] In the United States it has become a kind of written rule to justify in advance its own military preparations by references to a Soviet arms buildup. This has been the case several times in the past, and something similar is happening now, when across the ocean they have started work on the SDI, which envisages the creation and development of offensive space weapons.

In this connection, an article in the U.S. magazine AVIATION WEEK & SPACE TECHNOLOGY is indicative. It reports, among other things, that a U.S. Air Force spy satellite has photographed two new objects in Soviet Central Asia. The magazine maintains that these objects have been constructed on the basis of the most modern technology.

Well, what is unusual about that, one might ask? There are thousands of new construction sites in the Soviet Union, including those based on the most modern technology. These include factories, housing blocks, sanatoria, convalescent homes and the like. Why, then, have these two in particularly attracted the attention of the United States? First, because, as the magazine writes, they are huge; and second, they are situated on mountain tops. This is sufficient to draw a significant conclusion—that this is allegedly nothing other than perhaps an operational antisatellite laser system. In other words, the Soviet Union already possesses what the creators of SDI are wracking their brains over.

Although this justification is quite (?stupid), the magazine accuses the Soviet Union in all seriousness of violating the ABM Treaty by creating antimissile systems and new control centers. One is involuntarily reminded of a similar story of recent vintage. In the region of Krasnoyarsk in Siberia a Soviet radio direction finding station is under construction. What have they not said about this in the West? Invented reasons were cited, claiming that this station was intended as a missile early-warning system against missile attack. In fact, it was all much more simple. The station has no relation to an early-warning system of missile attack. This was officially communicated to the U.S. side. The Krasnoyarsk station is intended for the observation of space objects and for the continuation of the extensive program of peaceful use of space. After this Washington was compelled to tone down its false accusations against the USSR for a time, but the need to justify its own policy of preparing for star wars remained.

Broad sections of progressive public opinion are speaking out increasingly strongly against the policy of the Reagan administration, and that is why new, or better to say renewed, fabrications on an old theme have begun. It is this relevant to state that the U.S. intelligence authorities have refrained from an assessment. The intelligence authorities are not decided, and the magazine — more precisely, those behind it — have already cobbled together appropriate conclusions. Immediately after this, the management of the SDI project stated hurriedly: Whatever the objects photographed by the satellites were, they bore no relation to any U.S. laser weapon objects. Consequerage ty, such objects really exist in the United States. In connection with this, the or for arises: Who, then, is infringing upon the ABM treaty?

/12858

CSO: 5200/1040

TASS: CHERNYSHEV TERMS ARGUMENTS FOR SDI 'ABSURD'

LD181552 Moscow TASS in English 1417 GMT 18 Oct 86

[Text] Moscow October 18 TASS -- By TASS military writer Vladimir Chernyshev:

After the Soviet-U.S. summit in Reykjavik it became absolutely clear to the whole world that SDI, or "star wars" program, is the main obstacle to reaching agreement on reduction and, finally, elimination of nuclear arms, on the road to a world without nuclear arms.

Particularly surprising is the reasoning of the President of the USA about the "need" for SDI even if strategic offensive arms are eliminated.

The White House came up with two arguments: first, that the anti-missile "shield" will allegedly be a guarantee that the Soviet Union is honouring agreement, second, that it will project the USA if some maniac will get hold of a missile with a nuclear charge.

Both arguments are simply absurd. First, if two great powers are seeking agreement on an extremely serious step, joint elimination of offensive strategic arms, this, undoubtedly, must promote a sharp increase of mutual confidence. If confidence is lacking it will be useless to embark on such an undertaking. At the same time, there must be absolute clarity about verification in order to ensure complete confidence that the obligations adopted are being implemented. And the Soviet leader said firmly in Reykjavik that in view of the readiness to make deep cuts in nuclear arms, in connection with embarking on a concrete stage of the elimination of nuclear weapons, verification must become tougher. The USSR confirmed its readiness for any form of verification. It declared for triple verification which should guarantee absolute confidence for each side that it would not be led into a trap. To propose to accumulate large amounts of weapons in space and to try to pass these weapons as "system of control" is not serious, to say the least. What is more, it is ridiculous.

Let us consider the second "argument," so to say, about a "maniac" who might get it into his head to prevail over the "defenseless", non-nuclear United States. Such a script can clearly be traced to the Hollywood. But even the notorious Hollywood hit [as received] managed to get by without a system of the "star wars" type and without nuclear explosions. Seriously speaking, how can pragmatic American taxpayers seriously contemplate the spending of thousands of billions of dollars to inundate outer space with space weaponry platforms, guiding themselves by the idea of the possible arrival of a "mythical personality" that will be able to manufacture hundreds of nuclear charges in secret (a large-scale system must be ready to rebuff an ambassed strike, or else why concoct all this)?

Elementary logic dictates this: If agreement is sought for scrapping swords, then there is no need for shields. But if a shield is actually conceived as an addition to a sword, there is nothing to camouflage this. Mankind is not to be misled.

/12858 TSG: 5200/1040 SDI AND SPACE ARMS

KYODO: GERASIMOV DENIES USSR WORKING ON NUCLEAR LASER SYSTEM

OW220035 Tokyo KYODO in English 0003 GMT 22 Oct 86

[Text] Moscow, Oct. 21 KYODO -- The Soviet Union Tuesday charged as groundless a statement attributed to Japan's Foreign Minister Tadashi Kuranari that the Soviets are developing a nuclear laser weapon. Soviet Foreign Ministry spokesman Gennadiy Gerasimov singled out Kuranari for criticism at a news conference at the Foreign Ministry, saying that the allegation he said Kuranari made at the Japanese Diet was aimed at justifying U.S. nuclear tests.

Gerasinov was reacting to questions on the U.S.-Soviet summit at Reykjavik when, in an unusual move, he cited what he described as a groundless and "unique" interpretation made by Kuranari. He said Kuranari told the Diet that the Americans are developing the space-based antimissile system, known formally as strategic defense initiative (SDI), as a countermeasure against a nuclear laser system now being developed by the Soviets and so the U.S. needs to conduct nuclear tests.

The Soviet Union has no such development program, Gerasimov said.

He said Kuranari's remarks not only run counter to Japan's nuclear policy but even contradict with statements made by Prime Minister Yasuhiro Nakasone and U.S. President Ronald Reagan that the SDI is a nonnuclear system.

/12858

CSO: 5200/1040

PRC JOURNAL COMMENTS ON SUMMIT FAILURES

Beijing BEIJING REVIEW in English Vol 29, No 42, 20 Oct 86 p 10

[Article by Chang Qing. First paragraph BEIJING REVIEW comment]

[Text] The two-day meeting between U.S. President Reagan and Soviet leader Gorbachev failed to reach any concrete agreement. This once again proves that the defence of world peace rests with the peoples of all countries and not only with the superpowers.

The two-day summit between US President Ronald Reagan and Soviet leader Mikhail Gorbachev ended in Reykjavik, Iceland, on October 12 with no specific agreement finalized and no exact date fixed for a full-scale summit, due to be held in Washington by the end of this year.

Speaking to US servicemen near Reykjavik. Reagan said he had made the Soviet leader a historic offer on arms control which Gorbachev rejected. Gorbachev, addressing a news conference in Reykjavik, said the US leader had come to the meeting with empty hands and the talks had collapsed.

Reports say the summit failed because of a dispute over the US Star Wars programme to build a space-based anti-missile shield. Gorbachev proposed "strengthening" the 1972 Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty over a 10-year period while offering to accept US "laboratory testing" for the Star Wars programme. But Reagan insisted tests must be carried out in space and not only in the laboratory.

Why are the two superpowers so serious about the Star Wars programme? Because both the

United States and the Soviet Union are saturated with nuclear weapons, and the relative strategic balance that has existed between the two countries since the 1970s will not be upset by any improvements in the quality or quantity of their strategic arms. However, whoever masters space weaponry could gain a first-strike capability

Of course, the dispute over the Star Wars programme is only one aspect of the overall confrontation between the two superpowers. Another reason for the failure of the talks is that the summit meeting was arranged in a hurry, and the two leaders went to the meeting with domestic political purposes in mind. Reagan hoped a successful summit would give a boost to the Republican campaign in the mid-term US elections in November. Gorbachev wanted to bring the costly arms race under control so that the Soviet Union can devote more attention to domestic economic development.

In the two months before the meeting in Iceland, the United States and te Soviet Union held a series of consultations to explore the possibilities of reaching agreements on arms control,

regional conflicts and the observance of anti-ballistic missile treaty. Both sides made compromises and also came up with proposals of their own. However, neither yielded an inch on the Star Wars programme.

In the first three rounds of the summit talks the two sides moved closer on the issues of medium-range missiles, the reduction of strategic nuclear weapons, regional conflicts, human rights and bilateral relations. The Soviet Union proposed that both sides reduce strategic offensive weapons by one half, and the United States was ready to agree to this. However, in the last round of the talks the atmosphere worsened sharply as a result of the dispute over the Star Wars programme.

Observers believe that although this meeting failed, it does not mean that relations between Washington and Moscow will necessarily deteriorate. Both Gorbachev and US Secretary of State George Shultz said it was not the end of the road. "We'll return to Geneva and I suppose they will," Shultz said, while Gorbachev indicated that "this meeting has brought us to the point where accords are possible."

19274

#### U.S.-USSR NUCLEAR AND SPACE ARMS TALKS

#### GORBACHEV 22 OCT TV ADDRESS ON REYKJAVIK OUTCOME

LD222054 Moscow Television Service in Russian 1800 CMT 22 Oct 86

[From the "Vremya" program; speech to the nation by Mikhail Gorbachev, general secretary of the CPSU Central Committee, from Moscow on 22 October-live or recorded]

[Text] [Video shows Gorbachev sitting in studio] Good evening, dear comrades! I am once again meeting with you and once again it's on the same issue—Reykjavik. This is an extremely serious matter; the outcome of the meeting with the U.S. President has aroused the whole world.

In the previous days much new information requiring assessment has appeared; information which I would like to share with you tonight. You remember I said at the press conference in Reykjavik that we will return more than once to the meeting between the leaders of the USSR and the United States. I am sure that we are not yet aware of the whole importance of what happened. But we will definitely come to understand it. If not now, then tomorrow we will understand the entire significance of Reykjavik and give both the achievements and gains, as well as the missed chances and the losses, their due.

For all the drama of both the progress of the talks and their results, the meeting in Reykjavik--perhaps for the first time in many decades--advanced us so far in our search for ways toward nuclear disarmament.

I think even now that is a result of the meeting we have become elevated to a higher level not only in the analysis of the situation, but also in defining the aims and the framework of possible accords [dogovorennosti] on nuclear disarmament. Having been a few steps away from a practical accord on such a difficult and vitally important problem, we have all begun to understand infinitely more the danger in which the world finds itself, and we feel more strongly the necessity for urgent decisions and, what is most important, we now know that elimination of the nuclear threat is realistic and possible.

I would like to note here that even quite recently the Soviet program for liquidating nuclear weapons by the year 2000 was declared by many pillars of world politics to be illusion and an unrealizable dream. Here, indeed, is that very case when past experience is neither wealth nor a counsellor but a burden hampering a search for solutions.

But Reykjavik not only gave rise to hope; Reykjavik also highlighted the difficulties on the path to a nuclear-free world. The results of the Iceland meeting cannot be properly assessed without an understanding of this fact. The forces opposed to the tendency toward disarmament are great. We felt this at the meeting itself, and now. There is much talk about Reykjavik now. The meeting in Iceland is viewed by realistically thinking people as a major political event. The fact that as a result of it we have managed to reach new qualitative levels in the struggle against nuclear weapons is welcome. The results of Reykjavik, as they appear to the Soviet leadership, encourage all those who want a change for the better. Interesting assessments are being expressed in state, public, and scientific circles in the majority of countries. The possibilities that have taken shape are described as responding to the aspirations of all mankind. In the general view, the meeting raised to a new level the Soviet-U.S. dialogue, just as it did the East-West dialogue as a whole.

It was brought out of the plane of technical computations and numerical comparisons and on to new parameters and dimensions. From this height can be seen new prospects in solving those problems which face us so acutely today. I have in mind security, nuclear disarmament, the prevention of new spirals of the arms race and a new understanding of the opportunities which have opened out before mankind.

It may be said that the worldwide debate on the results of the meeting is still only developing. I believe — more than that, I am convinced — that it will grow. We believe that the common efforts of the peoples and of political and public circles, directed toward realizing the opportunities which were opened up at the meeting in Reykjavik, will also grow. It was there that was signposted — I would put it this way — a route to move toward a solution to some of the most important problems on which mankind's very destiny depends.

But the period since Reykjavik has shown something else, too. The circles connected with militarism, with the profits from the arms race, are evidently alarmed. They are striving with all their might to cope with the new situation, and by coordinating their actions, are striving in every way to confuse people, to take control of the moods of broad circles of the world's public, extinguish their enthusiasm for peace and to prevent governments from adopting a clear stance at this crucial moment in history. These circles have at their disposal political power, economic levers, and powerful information media. One should not, of course, overestimate their strength, but neither should one underestimate it. To all appearances it will be a tough struggle.

A fresh regrouping of forces has started among the opponents of detente and disarmament. Feverish efforts are being made to set up obstacles so that the progress started in Reykjavik will become bogged down. In these conditions, I consider it necessary to return to the issues which have come to be acute ones on the agenda in ronnection with the meeting in Iceland. Our point of view, which I expressed I hour after it ended, has not changed. I consider it necessary to speak about this not only in order to reaffirm the assessment previously made. I am doing it in order to draw your attention to the chopping and changing Ichekhardal dissonance that we are observing, whether they be the result of contusion and bewilderment, or whether they be actions thought out in advance in order to swindle ordinary people.

Various explanations of the objectives set before the meeting are current. From the initial curies directed toward Revkravik they have rapidly and simultaneously gone into

talk of triumph. A noisy campaign has begun to appropriate proposals made by others and the main forces have been directed in defense of SDI, which was disgraced in Reykjavik. In sum, there is a great deal of bustle in Washington at the moment.

Is this a pre-election game which needs success in Reykjavik? Or are we faced with a unpredictable policy for the forthcoming years?

This phenomenon requires serious study. It has not escaped our attention how and where certain political circles are trying to direct the discussion of the outcome of the meeting. It is worth speaking about the chief aspects of such a campaign. They would like to whitewash the destructive position of the U.S. Administration, which came to the meeting unprepared, and I would say again, with their old baggage.

And when there was no escaping it and the situation demanded clear answers, t administration wrecked the possibility of concluding the meeting with accords. The want to coerce the USSR, in the new situation which has come about following Reykjavi to return to the old approach, to draw it back to fruitless discussions of numbers, wandering in a circle in a deadlocked situation.

It is evident that there are not a few of this kind of politician in the West whom t talks in Geneva suit as a screen rather than as a forum for seeking accords. What we previously carefully masked is now becoming clearer.

There are powerful forces in the American and West European ruling circles which a striving to wreck the process of nuclear disarmament. Some people have again begun assert that nuclear arms are almost a blessing. It is said that half-truths are t most dangerous kind of lies. And it is very alarming that this position -- sometime it is one of pure deceit -- has been taken up not only by the right-wing mass media but also by highly placed figures in the U.S. Administration.

I have already had the opportunity to say what happened at Reykjavik. We arrived for the meeting with constructive proposals, the most radical in the history of Soviet-U.Stalks, for arms reductions. They take account of the interests of both sides. Havis arrived in Iceland, I talked about this on the eve of the meeting in a conversation with that country's leaders, and in the middle of the first conversation with the U.S. President, these proposals were handed to him. Far-reaching and inter-related, the form a complete package, and they are based on our program announced on 15 January, for the ellmination of nuclear weapons by the year 2000.

First: Reduce by half all strategic weapons without exception.

Second: Completely eliminate Soviet and U.S. intermediate-range missiles in Europe are embark immediately on talks on missiles of that type in Asia, as well as on missile with a range of less than 1,000 km, the numbers of which we proposed to freezy immediately.

Third: Consolidate the ABM regime and begin full-scale talks on the total banning a nuclear tests.

It was on the basis of the Soviet proposals that discussions began in Reykjavik -- x which I spoke in detail in my earlier addresses.

As a result of difficult struggle and keen arguements, an encourageing drawing together the positions on two of the three directions took place. The logic of the talks le

the sides to determine specific dates for the elimination of strategic offensive weapons.

President Ronald Reagan and I came to agreement that such armaments of the USSR and the United States can and should be completely liquidated by 1996. An accord was also reached on the complete liquidation of the U.S. and Soviet medium-range missiles in Europe, and a radical reduction in that class of missiles in Asia.

We attach principle importance to these accords between he USSR and the United States. They have shown that nuclear disarmament is possible. That is the first half of the truth about Reykjavik.

But there is another half as well. It lies in the fact, as I have already said, that the U.S. side wrecked the agreement which seemed just a stone's throw away.

The U.S. Administration is now trying as hard as it can to convince everyone that a possible major success leading to specific agreements was not achieved because of the anyielding attitude of the Soviet side over the program of the so-called strategic Defense Initiative. They are even saying that we lured the President into a trap by putting forward breathtaking proposals on reducing strategic offensive armaments and medium-range missiles, and then, they are saying, we demanded in the form of an all timatum that they reject SDI.

But the essence of our position and our proposals is this: We are in favor of a reduction, and then the complete liquidation, of nuclear weapons, and we are resolutely opposed to a new stage in the arms race and transferring it to outer space. Hence we are against SDI and for strengthening the ABM Treaty.

Every sensible person understands that it we embark upon the road of protound reductions, and then complete elimination, of nuclear weapons, we have to exclude any cossibility that could be used either by the Soviet or by the U.S. side to achieve inilateral military superiority. We see the main danger of the SDI as an attempt to extend the arms race into a new sphere, the desire to move into space with offensive weapons, and thus to achieve military superiority. SDI stands in the way of ending the arms race, and getting rid of nuclear weapons; it is the main obstacle on the road to a nonnuclear world, and when Mr Shultz, the U.S. secretary of state, tell the American people that SDI is a kind of insurance policy for the United States, this is, at least, in attempt to mislead the American people.

In fact, SDI does not strengthen the United States' security. It does not strengthen it. By opening a fresh stage in the arms race it destabilizes the military-political struction and thereby weakens both the security of the United States and general security too. The American must know this. They must also know that the U.S. passion in aDI, which was announced in Reykjavik, is fundamentally at variance with the ABM Treff. Article ID of the treaty does indeed let one depart from the treaty but have not at hits discumstances — namely, if extraordinary circumstances pose a threat of approve interests of the participants in the treaty. No such amstances have not to start, would render the occurrence of such extraordinary openmental or aclear weapons, over too start, would render the occurrence of such extraordinary openmental or aclear weapons.

of the ilm Trainy makes provision for something else--namely, that the sides of the

reverse: to devalue the treaty, to deprive it of meaning. These are all quotations from the document signed by the supreme representative of the United States.

They have made up a lot of fables to raise the prestige of SDI. One of them is that the Russians are terribly afraid of it. Another is that it was SDI that brought the Russians to the Geneva talks and then to Reykjavik. The third is that SDI is American's only salvation from the Soviet threat. The fourth is that SDI will give the United States a big technological advance on the Soviet Union and other countries, and so on and so forth.

Now, knowing what the problem is, I can only say one thing: Continuation of the SDI will draw the world into a new stage of the arms race and destabilize the strategic situation. Everything else that is ascribed to SDI is largely extremely dubious and is being done to sell this suspicious and dangerous commodity in attractive packaging.

The President, in defending his position which led to the wrecking of an accord in Reykjavik, asks rhetorical questions: Why are the Russians demanding so insistently that the United States remain eternally vulnerable to a Soviet missile strike? Why does the Soviet Union insist that we should be left eternally defenseless?

I confess I am surprised by such questions. They seem to imply that the U.S. President has the ability to make his country invulnerable, to reliably defend it from a nuclear strike. But as long as nuclear arms exist and the arms race continues, he has no such ability. Nor, of course, have we. If the President is here counting on SDI, it is in vain. The system will be effective only if all missiles are liquidated. But why, then, one may ask, is antimissile defense needed at all? Why build it?

I still have not mentioned the resources scattered to the winds, the cost of this system. According to some estimates it will reach several trillion dollars. For the time being, we are striving, trying to persuade the United States to abandon its dangerous venture. We are trying to persuade the U.S Administration to seek invulnerability, protection, on another path, the path of totally scrapping nuclear weapons and the creation of a comprehensive system of international security, which excludes all wars, both nuclear and conventional.

However, today the SDI program remains an integral part of U.S. military doctrine. The defense guidelines for 1984-88, which emerged from the bowels of the Pentagon at the beginning of Reagan's presidency and which are still in force, directly envisage the development of space-based systems, including weapons which can destroy Soviet satellites, and accelerated development of antimissile defense systems for the territory of the United States, with the possible withdrawal of the United States from the ABM Treaty. This document stresses that the military rivalry with the USSR must be channelled into new spheres, thereby rendering all previous Soviet spending on defense pointless and making all Soviet weapons obsolete.

As you can see, here again is the pursuit of a mirage; here again, in the words of former President Nixon, we see notes of wearing out the Soviet Union.

The present administration is slow to learn.

Is this not the answer to the question: Why do they cling so stubbornly to SDI? Star Wars plans have become the main obstacle to accord on elimination of the nuclear threat. And Washington Is now trying in vain to present matters as if we were moving toward agreement.

Only political simpletons could accept the elimination of nuclear weapons as a means to deter U.S. aggression, while receiving a threat from space in return. There are no such simpletons in the Soviet leadership.

It is difficult to recomcile enesel: to the fact that because of all this a unique chance has been missed for ridding mankind of the nuclear threat.

Having this in mind, I said at the press conference in Reykjavik that we did not regard the dialogue as finished, and we hoped that, after he returned home. President Reagan would consult with the U.S. Congress and the American people, and would adopt the decision whose need stems logically from what was achieved in Reykjavik.

Something quite different happened. Resides the distortion of the whole pinture of the Reykjavik talks — about which I shall have more to say — actions have been taken in recent days which, to the normal numan view, look simply wild after so important a meeting at the level of the top leadership of the two countries. I im referring to the expulsion from the United States of a further of workers from the Soviet Embassy and Consulate. We will of course take retaliatory measures — very lough measures, so to speak — on an equal basis. We so not intend to tolerate such a disgrace.

But now I shall speak about something lifterent. What sort of government is it; what can be expected from it in other matters on the international scene; what degree does the impredictability of its actions reach? It turns out that it not only has no constructive proposals on the key piestions of disarmament, but it does not even have the desire to maintain an atmosphere essential for the normal continuation of a dialogue. It turns out that Washington is prepared for neither one nor the other. The conclusion that arises — and it is a conclusion onfirmed by no small experience — is that every time a ray of light appears in attitudes to the major questions of Soviet-U.S. relations, to the settlement if questions which involve the interests of the whole of mankind, a provocation that atmosphere.

But where is the true face of the 1.8. administration? Is it in tayor of seeking ways to sort things out and work for solutions, or does it limited want to destrive everything which could serve as the masts or progress, and deliberately rule out every kind of normalization! A very oversely extrate emerges of the administration of a great country which is the in rose destructive, which is administration of a great country which is the interest, which iterative destructive for Eresident cannot spe with his extractive, which iterative destructions that the solution and travel extration of its interest interest in the solution of the second s

As for informing the American people about Reykjavik, this is what happened, absolutely in keeping with what has been said earlier. They concealed the facts from them. They were told only the half-truth about which I spoke earlier. They distorted matters to appear that the United States, acting from a position of strength, practically wrung from the Soviet Union agreement to reach an accord on U.S. conditions. And the day is not far off when the United States, they say, will get what it wants. There must be no let-up in the tempo of military preparations, the Star Wars program must be pushed through, and pressure be stepped up in all directions, they say.

These last few days showed a great 'ause frowning in petty politicking. They are sacrificing the vital interests of their own people, their ailies, and international security as a whole to the weapons' manufacturers. How much has been said about the openness of American society and about the freedom of information, about pluralism of opinions, and about the fact that everyone may see and hear what he or she wants.

In Reykjavik the President, in pointing out the differences between our systems, said to me: We recognize the freedom of the press and the right to hear any point of view.

I am quoting his words here. But what is the actual state of affairs? Here's a very recent fact. I was told that our public organization APN [NOVOSTI news agency] has published in English the tests of my press conference in Reykjavik and speech on Soviet television. APN has sent them off to numerous countries, including the United States. But the brochure with these texts has been held up for several days now by U.S. customs; it is not being allowed through to U.S. readers. So much for the right to hear out any point of view.

Or, concerning humanitarian affairs, I said to the President: Take rinema, for example. A huge number of U.S. films are shown on Soviet screens. Through these films Soviet people have the opportunity to picture the Americans' way of life and way of thinking. In free America, Soviet films are hardly shown at all. The President avoided answering and, as is usual in such cases, took cover behind private enterprise, which, as he said, does what it likes.

I also spoke to him about the publication of U.S. books in our country, and of our books in the United States; there is a ratio here of approximately 20 to 1. I also brought up with the President the question of radio information. I said that here, too, we are in an unequal situation. You have surrounded the Soviet Union with a network of radio transmitters and you broadcast whatever you like 24 hours a day from the territory of other countries and in many languages of the Soviet Union. Taking advantage of the fact that we are not close reignbors, the United States has used the medium-waves to fence itself off from the information carried by our radios. There they only have medium-wave receivers. The President was anable to put up any argument to this either.

I then proposed to him that we should do the following: We shall stop jamming the Toire of America and you shall give us the opportunity on your territory or somewhere nearby of setting up radio broadcasts to the United States so that it will get through to the population of your country. The President promised he would think about it.

It would seem the United States is becoming an increasingly closed society; they are unningly and afficiently cutting the people there off from objective information. This is a line to is a second

The American people should know the truth of what goes on in the Soviet Union, the true content of Soviet foreign policy, our real intentions, and indeed the truth about the situation in the world as a whole. I would say that at the current stage this takes on exceptional significance.

Now, about the way the results of Reykjavik are being presented in the United States: It only took a tew hours, or days at most, to start submerging everything that was discussed in Reykjavik in a fog of inventions and fantasies. They are trying to kill off the seeds of trust before they put out shoots. A few days ago the President stated that only ballistic missiles were the subject of agreement, and his aides said plainly that bombers and all cruise missiles remain untouchable. The secretary of state provided a different version, that accord concerned all strategic weapons. By the way, he was present at my conversations with the President, as was Comrade Shevardnadze, our minister of foreign affairs. Mr Speakes, on behalf of the White House, stated that perhaps Reagan had not been properly understood, and that the President had never agreed to the elimination of all nuclear arms.

fathers have gone as far as plain misrepresentations. For example, it is being therefore that at the meeting which took place, the President of the United States had a served to the Soviet proposal for total elimination of all offensive strategic regions of the USSR and the United States by 1996, and that it had not been possible to a single point of view about this proposal of ours. With a complete sense of proposality, as a participant in the talks, I state: The President gave his acceptant, although not with any particular enthusiasm, to eliminating all effensive in the gar weapons. I stress, all, and not just some individual ones, and scrapping them the appropriate of 10 years, in two stages.

The initiateral approach to this question by the United States is being truth. The initiateral approach to this question by the United States is being tred such that the Soviet Inion is supposed to have expressed full agreement with there was nothing of the kind, nor could there be. The issue of stratping the ingenishing in Europe is also not being presented correctly. It is had that it is being withdrawn from the package proposed by the soviet side. But he agreement to freezing the context of missiles with a range of less than 1,200 equations to be portrayed as an armowledgement of the part of the soviet Inion to Inited States' right to deploy trazmestit's in Western Europe U.S. missiles of the lass.

in the interpretations put in things, one soon starts having fruit. What is it is in severable, then? About removing the number threat, what has been extinged in his lear weapons; or was it about how this livest to be not also that it inversitying nuclear arsenais, about making into in arrha it is not making into in arrha it is not making into in arrha it is not making into in the latest and the latest arrha in the latest

or a modified Servict-U.S. mutual understanding has frightness or him advance, the mental of the stricts and the strentises of preliminary many contents are tax as to say that the bottom him of the property of the political contents of the political co

The matter of as a preliminary resulting. This is a quite serious matter in itself.

The properties and the substitutive of the soviet Dilon and the states of any of the properties of any of the states of the states of the former of the state of

The stury also tentalend proposate on eliminating nuclear weapons before the stury also tentalend provisions for foling away with themical weapons and thought recipied to this assume the late repeatedly returned to this assume that the state of the sta

In their most developed form, the proposals of the warsaw Fact countries were grawn up this summer in Budapest. We sent them to the other vite, by which I mean the members of NATO. To date there has been to reply.

Each day since Reykjavik demonstrates that the meeting is legand was the containing shall strain one can measure the true worth if the words and legislating politicians. Now many times was it recited that we must rid ourselves if the male and nightnare, how one could breathe sasily in a nuclear-free world it only the task and the onited states build move this proclem but if deadlock. But no shower had a claim of operated than many if these who sail vesterday were sursing nuclear weapons that there expresses and even hear voices in Western Burspe saying that it is hard to give up American even hear voices in Western Burspe saying that it is hard to give up American to be it wepains. American missibes. It is evidently the case that these who make that we pains. American missibes. It is evidently the case that these who make policy in the west do not think of nuclear weapons on the refersive level at all. Therefore it is difficult to explain why they are now seeking out pretexts for keeping the missibes of expressing their support for the SDI program at government avail. The same thing here to pender for buttons and for the west supposes putting

There are even more refined maneuvers going on alongside the frontal attacks. You among take from the negotiating table what is more advantageous, while ignoring analter values reasons, is not in your liking. They say that we — the sovier are forward our fundamental proposals in a parkage. But a parkage is a suject of little and injuries in a science for removing anxieties, as interrepresented by selective interests. It is as it overything here is on one about it has legal and allowed.

This is provedly sty the best washe this regularly based and fact business in accord again of lets parts, without some engines to restore the delicar account (ADAT) our proposable obtained in few backs are objectively linear to rector strategic sessions keepens

Our contraction are also part of the balance. If there is out pursuing there are converged to the contraction of the contractio

Treaty. For out part, there is no attempt to put an end to it. On the contrary, we are against revising supplementing it, or the like, and especially against replacing it with something else, as the President said in Reykjavik, or maybe he made a mistake. I was, I must admit, very surprised when during the meeting he started trying to convince the Soviet side and me personally: If you don't treat the ABM Treaty as hely scripture, then how do you treat treaties? As a piece of paper, or what? Without strict observance of treaties, and especially such a fundamental one, you will not ensure international order and elementary stability. Then arbitrariness and chaos will reign in the world.

I say again: When they prefer SDI to nuclear disarmament, only one conclusion is possible: By means of this military program they are attempting to refute the axiom of international relations in our epoch contained in the simple and clear words beneath which the U.S. President and I put our signature last year. Here are those words: Nuclear war must not be waged. Victory cannot be gained in it.

/12858

CSO: 5200/1048

#### U.S.-USSR NUCLEAR AND SPACE ARMS TALKS

USSR: SHEVARDNADZE BRIEFS ROMANIANS ON SUMMIT, REACTIONS

Meeting With Totu

PM200911 Moscow IZVESTIYA (Morning Edition) in Russian 17 Oct 86 p 5

[Excerpts] Bucharest, 15 Oct (TASS)—An official visit of friendship of Eduard Shevardnadze, a member of the Politburo of the CPSU Central Committee and minister of foreign affairs of the USSR, to Romania began today. Eduard Shevardnadze had talks with Romania's foreign minister, Ioan Totu, an alternate member of the Political Executive Committee of the Romanian Communist Party.

The sides had an in-depth exchange of opinion on outstanding international problems. They stressed that the main task facing mankind today was to remove the threat of nuclear catastrophe. Special importance was attached in this context to the Soviet-American meeting in Reykjavik. Although it failed to produce concrete accords on problems of the limitation and reduction of nuclear armaments and the non-extension of the arms race into outer space because of the stand taken by the U.S. Administration, the latest compromise proposals tabled by the Soviet Union have basic importance. They are putting struggle for nuclear disarmament onto a higher plane and offering a possibility for a dramatic turnaround in international relations and for developing peaceful cooperation among states.

It was noted that in the current qualitatively new situation the sides were fully resolved in close cooperation with the other Warsaw Treaty countries further to build up efforts to secure radical reductions in and the total abolition of nuclear weapons, and also to improve the world situation.

Meeting With Ceausescu

PM161347 Moscow PRAVDA (First Edition) in Russian 16 Oct 86 p 4

[Excerpts] Bucharest, 5 Oct (TASS)—There was a meeting here today between N. Ceausescu, general secretary of the RCP, president of the Socialist Republic of Romania, and E.A. Shevardnadze, member of the CPSU Central Committee Folitburo, USSR minister of foreign affairs, who has come here in connection with the session of the Committee of Ministers of Foreign Affairs of Warsaw Treaty states being held in the Romanian capital.

E.A. Shevardnadze informed N. Ceausescu in detail about the meeting held in Reykjavik between M.S. Gorbachev, general secretary of the CPSU Central Committee, and U.S. President R. Reagan. Particular attention was paid to the new constructive proposals put forward at the meeting by M.S. Gorbachev, the implementation of which would make it possible to rid mankind of the nuclear threat and radically improve the world situation.

The sides expressed the hope that the U.S. Administration will also make its contribution to the attainment of mutually acceptable accords in the field of limitation and reduction of nuclear weapons and the improvement of the international situation as a whole. This would serve the interests of all countries and peoples.

## Further on Ceausescu Meeting

LD161941 Moscow TASS in English 1832 GMT 16 Oct 86

[Excerpt] [Passage omitted] In the course of their conversation the sides discussed ways of further broadening and improving Soviet-Romanian cooperation and concerted actions of the two countries on the international scene.

Discussing the key problems of world politics, the sides concentrated on the further invigoration of the efforts of the socialist countries and all the peace forces in averting the nuclear threat, curbing the arms race, promoting disarmament and establishing a comprehensive system of international peace and security. Special importance is attached in this context to the implementation of a complex of new initiatives put forward by Mikhail Gorbachev in Reykjavik, initiatives paving the way to a breakthrough in the development of international relations, the improvement of the overall world atmosphere and a return to detente.

#### Shevardnadze Press Conference

LD162147 Moscow TASS International Service in Russian 1915 GMT 16 Oct 86

[Excerpts] Bucharest, 16 Oct (TASS)--Eduard Shevardnadze, member of the CPSU Central Committee Politburo and USSR minister of foreign affairs, who is on an official friendly visit here, held a press conference at which he made the following statement:

Our visit to Romania ends with our meeting with you. We have an urgent need to share with the press a number of impressions about the events of recent days. I hope they will not be without interest to you.

In the first place, we have carried out a responsible mission entrusted to us by M.S. Gorbachev — to inform the leadership of the fraternal socialist countries promptly about the content and results of the Soviet-U.S. meeting in Reykjavik, to expound our evaluations and to hear the opinion of our friends.

We had a meeting with Comrade Nicolae Ceausescu. During a very detailed and substantive conversation we analyzed in detail the Reykjavik negotiations and the situation that has arisen following their conclusion.

A working meeting of the foreign ministers of the Warsaw pact member states was also devoted to the results of Reykjavik. All the ministers highly evaluated the position of the Soviet Union and the course conducted at the negotiations by M.S. Gorbachev. In the difficult and dramatic discussion on the destiny of the world the general secretary of the CPSU Central Committee defended the position not just of our state alone: he was acting in the interests of all the socialist countries, of the socialist community and of all mankind.

The Reykjavik meeting raises the moral and political prestige of socialism to an even higher level. It has shown the world how great is the price we are prepared to pay for a stable and reliable peace and how unshakable is our commitment to principle in the defense of its fundamental interests.

The Bucharest session of the Committee of Foreign Ministers of the Warsaw Pact member states has deepened our cooperation. Having exchanged views on the situation in the world in the light of the results of the Soviet-U.S. talks, we coordinated our further actions and defined the priorities and directions of joint efforts, whose aim is the implementation of the initiative of the fraternal countries to create a comprehensive system of international peace and security.

We continually return in our thoughts to what happened in Reykjavik on 11-12 October. The impressions of those days are superimposed on all today's matters, and the perception of them is all the more acute. All the more profound is the understanding of how difficult each step toward peace is. Never before has it been so broad as in those days at Reykjavik, and never before has it been severed so unreasonably as by our U.S. negotiating partners.

Why did this happen?

M. S. Gorbachev replied exhaustively to this at the press conference in Reykjavik in 12 October and in his speech on Soviet television.

However, broad discussion of the subject continues, and, in particular, reports are coming in which distort the true state of affairs. It is essential to comment on, so to speak, certain commentaries.

Whether it is relevant or not, our U.S. partners use the President's favorite phrase: "It's not that peoples distrust each other because they have a lot of weapons, but they arm themselves because there is no trust between them."

well, if in Washington they are so greatly concerned about the problems of trust, they themselves should not undermine trust.

This is precisely what they are doing when they now state that they, allegedly, proposed to us the elimination of nuclear weapons by the end of the present century.

It is as if the sides did not reach a mutual understanding on this central issue in Reykjavik by confirming that they would commit themselves to eliminate all nuclear weapons, including ballistic missiles, by the end of 1986. The mutual understanding on this issue is of historic significance.

I would reconstruct a minute-by-minute picture of how in Reykjavik we came to such an accord. However, at present, dark smears are being placed on this picture. All these

insinuations as to the imaginary violations by the Soviet Union of its treaty obligations and the inventions about its secret work in the sphere of defense installations are both irresponsible and immoral. The rhetorical passages to the effect that only talk from a position of strength forces the Soviet Union to agreement are immoral.

Great, very great is the U.S. military potential, but the potential for decency of some representatives of the administration has been seriously undermined.

In this regard one wishes to say: the times of the Talleyrands have long passed. Petty acts of cunning with great consequences which are characteristic of the age of secret diplomacy ought by now to have been shelved. When with bated breath millions of people look you in the face with hope and faith and listen to you, be honest with them. Do not distort, for example, what exactly was discussed in Reykjavik and how. This is an elementary demand.

## Communique on Visit

LD161557 Moscow TASS in English 1550 GMT 16 Oct 86

[Excerpts] Bucharest, Oct 16 (TASS)—A communique issued here on the results of an official friendly visit paid to the Socialist Republic of Romania by Eduard Shevardnadze, a member of the Political Bureau of the CPSU Central Committee and foreign minister of the USSR, has reiterated a resolve to act to advance fruitful Soviet—Romanian cooperation in the interests of both countries and peoples, the common cause of socialism, peace and international cooperation.

Stressing the importance of a program for reducing armed forces and conventional arms in Europe along with corresponding cuts in military expenditures of states, which is a joint initiative advanced by the Warsaw Treaty members, the sides exchanged information about efforts made by both countries towards its implementation. They spoke positively on the activity demonstrated by the USSR, Romania and other countries in this issue.

The ministers, who paid much attention to the Reykjavik meeting between Mikhail Gorbachev and Ronald Reagan, emphasized the importance of large-scale and far-reaching proposals made there by the Soviet Union, whose implementation would make it possible in a short time to bring about a fundamental turn for the better in international affairs, achieve progress in all areas of work for disarmament, fend off the threat of a nuclear war, and start moving towards a nuclear-free world.

They called for the dialogue to be carried on and voiced the hope that the United States would take a constructive, realistic and responsible attitude to the Soviet proposals.

Stress was laid on the high significance of the assessments and proposals made in the final document of the Bucharest meeting of the Foreign Ministers' committee of the Warsaw Treaty member countries as meeting the basic interests and aspirations of the peoples of both these and other countries.

The sides spoke highly of the successful outcome of the Stockholm Conference on Confidence— and Security-Building Measures and Disarmament in Europe and stressed the importance of thorough preparation for the forthcoming meeting in Vienna and its conclusion with positive results.

The ministers spoke out for settling armed conflicts, tensions and contentious issues by way of talks, overcoming backwardness and establishing a new international economic order and for respect to be shown for the will and right of every nation to choose an own path of development freely, without outside interference.

The importance was stressed of strengthening the unity and cohesion of the member countries of the Warsaw Treaty which is an effective factor for ensuring peace in Europe and in the world as a whole.

Ceausescu Commentary on Reykjavik

LD201336 Moscow TASS in English 1109 GMT 20 Oct 86

[Text] Bucharest October 20 TASS — Romania believes that the results of the Soviet-American summit meeting in Reykjavik should not be all in vain, Nicolae Ceausescu, general secretary of the Romanian Communist Party and president of Romania, has told a rally in the city of Slatina.

Important issues of disarmament, especially nuclear disarmament, were discussed at the Reykjavik meeting, he said. The sides drew closer to each other on some of them.

"Everything must be done now to reach as early as possible a number of concrete agreements designed to abolish nuclear weapons in Europe and in the world as a whole. Everything must be done to turn to reducing conventional armaments. There is no other way," Ceausescu said.

The Romanian leader called on the peoples of Europe and the world at large to rally their forces to ensure peace and international security.

/12858

CSO: 5200/1037

## U.S. -USSR NUCLEAR AND SPACE ARMS TALKS

#### USSR OFFICIALS BRIEF FOREIGNERS ON REYKJAVIK OUTCOME

Bessmertnykh, Mitterrand Meeting

LNI-1742 Moscow TASS in English 1725 GMT 14 Oct 86

first! Paris October 14 TASS -- Aleksandr Bessmertnykh, deputy minister of foreign intairs of the USSR, who arrived here from Reykjavik in accordance with the agreement tracked, was received today by Francois Mitterrand, president of France. On the instruction of Mikhail Gorbachev, general secretary of the CPSU Central Committee, he this the president about the Soviet-American summit meeting in Reykjavik.

The universation was attended by Yakov Ryabov. USSR ambassador to France.

#### Bessmertnykh on UK, French Arms

LDL51014 Belgrade TANJUG in English 2318 GMT 14 Oct 86

Text: Paris, October 14 (TANJUG) -- Special envoy of Soviet party leader Mikhail Darbachev, assistant Soviet Foreign Minister Aleksandr Bessmertnykh said this evening that for the present French and British nuclear potentials are being left aside in Soviet-U.S. disarmament talks. At a press conference here this evening Bessmertnykh, who loday informed French President Francois Mitterrand on Soviet assessments of the British summit, said that the action to free Europe from nuclear arms will largely depend in the future on the level of responsibility of all countries that possess the learn weapons. Bessmertnykh said that he conveyed to President Mitterrand personal insersaments of Gorbachev concerning his meeting with U.S. President Ronald Reagan in days avik.

Williamrand, on als part, conveyed to Bessmertnykh his views on the last week's summit.

#### Rogachev Briefing in PRC

Labitation Moscow TASS International Service in Russian 1025 GMT 15 Oct 86

The ling, is the (IASS) — igot regarder, special representative of the soviety that the soviety the soviety that the soviety that the PRC, met with Quan Qichen, deputy PRC minister of foreign affilts, in the feather of the soviety. So summit meeting in Reykjavik.

The Soviet side stressed that the meeting in Iceland was an event of exceptional significance for the international life. Major far-reaching proposals by the Soviet Union on a number of key issues related to limiting and reducing nuclear armaments—which were put forward by Mikhail Gerbachev, general secretary of the CPSU Central Committee—were rendered. It was noted that the stand assumed by President Reagan on the SDI issue, prevented specific decisions from being reached.

The Chinese side expressed hope that the USSR and the United States would continue their efforts in the search for mutually acceptable accords in order to achieve substantial progress on the issue of monitoring armaments. That, in Qian Qichen's opinion, would assist in easing tensions in the world.

On completion of the ninth round of the Soviet-Chinese political consultations, Igor Rogachev left Beijing.

Karpov on Thatcher Meeting

LD141146 London PRESS ASSOCIATION in English 1134 GMT 14 Oct 50

[By Paul Cheston, PA statf reporter]

[Excerpts] A top Soviet official today spoke to the world's press outside No. 10 Downing Street — the first time such a high-ranking Russian envoy has done so. Chief arms negotiator Mr Viktor Karpov talked about his longer than expected one-and a-half hour meeting with Mrs Thatcher.

The prime minister gave full-hearted support to President Reagan's defence of his star wars programme at the Iceland summit. But Mr Karpov emerged to say he had had "a very useful discussion" with Mrs That-her and talked of his hopes for new disarmament talks in Geneva.

Speaking in fluent English, Mr Karpov said: "I talked to the prime minister shout the results of the Reykjavik meeting between General Secretary Porbachev and President Reagan. I expressed our opinion about why the meeting failed to produce results that could mean a movement forward in resolving all the complicated but very important issues of nuclear disarmament."

Mr Karpov said that both he and Mrs Thatcher agreed that more effort was needed to break the deadlock in disarmament negotiations.

Asked about a new meeting, Mr Karpov said: "I think the results of the Reykjavik meeting should be pondered on by both sides and it could take some time." When pressed to name a time and place for a new meeting with President Reagan. Mr Karpov replied simply: "Geneva."

Mr Karpov then touched on his personal relationship with Mrs Thatcher, and when asked whether he had had a sympathetic hearing, he replied: "We had a discussion and, of course, not all our views coincide." (passage omitted)

Mr Karpov's meetings with Mrs Thatcher and Baroness Young, deputy toreign secretary, were arranged while he was in Revkiavik as part of Mr Gorbachev's team on Saturday. According to officials, a message was received by the foreign Office in London pointing out that he planned a series of Furobean visits to discuss the summit and isking if it would be invested. To discuss the summit and isking if it would be invested.

## Petrovskiy Report at UN

LD150705 Moscow TASS in English 0634 GMT 15 Oct 86

[Text] New York October 15 TASS — The delegation of the USSR to the 41st session of the United Nations General Assembly Tuesday briefed session delegates on the results of the Reykjavik meeting between the Soviet and U.S. leaders.

Soviet Deputy Foreign Minister V. Petrovskiy told the General Assembly's First (Political) Committee that the meeting had aimed to give a fillip to constructive progress in the key areas of world politics, launch productive efforts to cut back on arms and reduce the risk of outbreak of a nuclear war, and start work, at long last, to fulfil the understandings reached at the Soviet-U.S. summit meeting in Geneva in November 1985. "The Soviet delegation did not come to the Icelandic capital empty-handed," he said. "It took there a whole package of major, compromise proposals which, had they been accepted, could indeed make for early breakthroughs in all areas of nuclear arms control, really fend off the threat of a nuclear war, and allow progress toward a nuclear-free world."

"The historic chance to come to terms on the whole package of agreements was squandered due to the obstructionist stand of the U.S. Administration," the Soviet representeative said. "It cannot be said that the meeting in Reykjavik was in vain," he said. "It was a step in the difficult dialogue in a search for solutions."

"There emerged the outlines of almost final accords but it just did not prove possible to finalize them there," Petrovskiy explained, adding: "The very road covered to such major accords on so significant cuts in nuclear arms is by itself a tremendous amount of experience and a huge gain. "The Reykjavik meeting was an exceptionally important event in international life and a major landmark in the Soviet-U.S. relationship."

"We believe," he said, "that there is no reason to despair. The meeting led us to the very important stage of understanding where we stand, and showed that agreements are possible."

"The formula for the further march torward is very simple: dialogue, realism and a sense of responsibility," the Soviet deputy foreign minister said. "We are convinced that in efforts for such a continuation, we shall not be alone and shall be acting together with all peace-minded forces.:

Bessmertnykh News Conference in Paris

LD151344 Moscow TASS in English 1250 GMT 15 Oct 86

[Text] Paris October 15 TASS — Visiting Soviet Deputy Foreign Minister Aleksandr Bessmertnykh has met here with representatives of the mass media of France and other countries to brief them on the results of the Soviet-U.S. summit meeting in Reykjavik and explain the meaning of Soviet initiatives for nuclear disarmament and a lasting peace.

## Bessmertnykh Talk with Craxi

LD152030 Moscow TASS in English 1904 GMT 15 Oct 86

[Text] Rome October 15 TASS — Aleksandr Bessmertnykh, a deputy minister of foreign affairs of the USSR, who arrived in Rome today under an agreement between the two sides, was received by Bettino Craxi, prime minister of Italy, and briefed him under instructions from Mikhail Gorbachev, general secretary of the CPSU Central Committee, on the Soviet-American summit meeting in Reykjavik.

## Semenov Briefing for Koivisto

LD151813 Helsinki International Service in Finnish 1600 GMT 15 Oct 86

[Text] President of the Republic Mauno Koivisto met this afternoon with the Soviet special ambassador, Vladimir Semenov, who accounted for his country's viewpoints on the Reykjavik summit. Soviet Ambassador to Finland Vladimir Sobolev was also received by the president. Special Ambassador Semenov led the Soviet delegation to the SALT I talks in Helsinki in 1969-72.

President Koivisto and special Ambassador Semenov agreed that efforts must be continued after the meeting for a development in the superpower talks.

## Aleksandrov Meeting in Denmark

LD160316 Moscow TASS in English 1902 GMT 15 Oct 86

[Text] Copenhagen October 15 TASS — Danish Prime Ministe Poul Schluter today received a special Soviet envoy, Ambassador A.M. Aleksandrov, who briefed him on the results of the meeting between Mikhail Gorbachev, general secretary of the CPSU Central Committee, and U.S. President Ronald Reagan in Reykjavik.

#### Petrovskiy Call on Perez de Cuellar

LD160424 Moscow TASS in English 2157 GMT 15 Oct 86

[Text] New York October 15 TASS — Vladimir Petrovskiy, a deputy minister of foreign affairs of the USSR, today called on United Nations Secretary General Javier Perez de Cuellar and briefed him on the results of the Soviet-American summit meeting in Reykjavik.

Milan: Bessmertnykh Rome News Conference on Summit

PM171452 [Editorial Report] Milan L'UNITA in Italian 16 October front-pages 1 500-word report on Soviet Deputy Foreign Minister Aleksandr Bessmertnykh's 15 October talks in Rome with Italian Prime Minister Craxi and Foreign Minister Andreotti, and the following exchange with journalists before leaving the prime minister's office:

"[Journalist] What is Gorbachev's assessment of Reykjavik?

"[Bessmertnykh] The summit gave us causes for both satisfaction and disappointment satisfaction at the understandings on offensive strategic weapons and missiles in Europe that were almost reached but not made official. For the first time in the nuclear era we were only a step away from the destruction of offensive strategic weapons within a decade; even the parameters for the destruction of American and Soviet missiles in Europe were agreed on; and an understanding was also reached on the Service SS20's in Asia.

"[Journalist] What were the causes of disappointment?

"[Bessmertnykh] There was disappointment at the fact that we failed to make these understandings official because the United States was not ready to come to an agreement on the whole range of issues. Faced with the choice whether to destroy nuclear weapons or continue reaching for their 'shield', the Americans opted for the latter rourse. But we hope that this will not be the U.S. leadership's final word and that mashington will return to realism.

"[Journalist] Is there a chance now of another summit?

"[Bessmertnykh] Yes, I do not rule out the possibility of another meeting between the two leaders, but it is more difficult now to talk about timetables and dates.

"[Journalist] Why did the United States say 'no' to the Soviet proposals on the space shield?

"[Bessmertnykh] It seems to me that the United States is still convinced that it an acquire superiority over the USSR by means of the space shield. But this is a serious error and if the United States persists in this error then relations between the two countries and the international situation will approach a period of destabilization."

### Karpov Briefing for SPD

LD151357 Hamburg DPA in German 1330 GMT 15 Oct 86

[Text] Bonn, 15 Oct (DPA) — Viktor Karpov, head of the Soviet delegation at the Geneva disarmament negotiations, briefed the SPD [Social Democratic Party of Permany] on 15 October on the course of the Soviet-U.S. summit talks in Reykjavik. He seet SPD disarmament expert Egon Bahr for this purpose.

SPD Executive Spokesman Wolfgang Clement announced that Karpov had given his allows in the possible results coming out of the conference, which were also important he Europe. The further course of the negotiations in Geneva and Vienna had also been discussed.

### Karpov to FRG Press

LD151854 Hamburg DPA in German 1825 CMT 15 Oct 86

Excerpt! Bonn 15 Oct (DPA) - Despite the foundering of the Soviet summit world a Reykjavik, the Soviet Union is still prepared to negotiate with the United States in a reduction of medium-range missiles and a comprehensive nucleur test at a negotiate agreements. This was stated on wednesday, it is the first of the comprehensive nucleur test at the negotiate agreements.

Soviet chief negotiator in Geneva, Viktor Karpov, in Bonn, where he had briefed the Federal Government on behalf of party chief Mikhail Gorbachev on the results of Raykjavik.

At the same time, Karpov stressed at a news conference in the Soviet Embassy that agreements on long-range strategic weapons remain possible for Moscow if the United States were to dispense with the development of the SDI program. The testing of such "space-based" weapons system beyond laboratory testing was clearly forbidden by the U.S.-Soviet ABM treaty (on missile defense).

The meeting in Iceland foundered on the dispute over the U.S. SDI program. Karpov stated that it was primarily the United States that now had to draw the conclusions from Reykjavik. Moscow was prepared to continue the dialogue for the purpose of overcoming the differences of opinion, on the basis of that progress that had been made at the summit.

In response to a question on whether he considered a new summit meeting between Gorbachev and President Ronald Reagan in the United States possible in the foreseeable future — before the end of this year — he said that this depended not on the Soviet Union, but on the U.S. side. [passage omitted]

## Rogachev Briefs Qian Qichen

PM200801 Moscow PRAVDA (First Edition) in Russian 16 Oct 86 p 3

[TASS report under the general heading "Political Consultations"]

[Text] Beijing, 15 Oct — I.A. Rogachev, the Soviet Government's special envoy and USSR deputy foreign minister, and O.A. Troyanovskiy, USSR ambassador to the United States, met with CRC Deputy Foreign Minister Qian Qichen and briefed him on the results of the Soviet-U.S. Reykjavík summit.

The Soviet side stressed that the Iceland meeting was an exceptionally important event in international life. The Soviet Union set out the major, far-reaching proposals on a number of the central issues linked with nuclear arms limitation and reduction, which were put forward by M.S. Gorbachev, general secretary of the CPSU Central Committee. It was noted that the position adopted by U.S. President R. Reagan on the SDI question did not make it possible to achieve any specific solutions.

The Chinese side expressed the hope that the USSR and the United States would continue their efforts in seeking mutually acceptable accords in order to achieve substantive progress on the arms control question. This, in Qian Qichen's opinion, would promote an easing of tension in the world.

I.A. Rogachev left Beijing after the conclusion of the ninth round of Soviet-Chinese consultations.

## Hu Qili Cited on Talks

LD1 [220] Moscow TASS in English 1806 GMT 17 Det 86

[Text] Tokyo October 17 TASS -- Hu Qili, Member of the Politbureau of the central committee, member of the Secretariat of the Communist Party of China Central Committee.

said in connection with the severally and the star things, that things consistently favours the continuation of a started at their Revision is a far that their confrontation. No agreement has been reached at their Revision been reached at their Revision been the preparedness was declared to continue the dialogue. We also wish the continuation. We would like as definite small to a history it has faller thing to the accontribution to the saise of practical over the world, within this taken interests of other states.

As far as the IDI problem is concerned, Bu Jili continued, we wild that the exploration of suter space should contribute to peace all over the world, while its use with military aims boosts the weapons race. Therefore we again the training inferior Initiative.

## Settemps-Timmum Talk

LDIAZZZO Mineriw ZARI to Ingulab Tell 10 pr ugg to

Text) Brussels October 16 TASS - incassador Visitair Semenal special representative of the Soviet Union, who arrived today in the Selgian capital, had a meeting with Lea Tindemans, Belgian mi ister of fireign affairs.

At the talk held in an iterative of forcess, the Soviet te, resentative well at length on the results of the meeting between Mishail Cirtains, general selectary of the CPSU Central Committee, and List Freehart Romaid Reagan in Sevajaria. During the exchange of spinions the representative intermed the height lite of the assessment of the results of the summit tell and the Length Sapital, given by the meeting of the Polithureau of the CPSU Central Committee held October 14. If was painted and, for instance, that the Rivejavia sumit is not in important over a situational life and in the struggle spainst the results and for banking and important meeting weapons.

## In the line of the contraction

LOURDLY TASK IN THE LINE THE WAY TO SEE THE

Text) London Ottober 18 Taxs - Frime Tomister dargared (Natione of Creat Spitals received Viktor fargive, bedd if the bound delegation to the bound in mentions on nuclear and space weapons in common. Saling the menting the read of the Spitals Government was intojeed about the organic of the meeting telegation flatter expenses, general sector of the State of the organic medities, and in formalist bound darger in days avik.

Roan Officer 14 TAbs — Tiking target and recreased by landinger of sentency mission of director attains of the Feeral English of Sentency Capper the set with the members of the west Serman Sentence that a sink indicate or the members making. The bring representative organisms then appear on require or the members between Mikhail Capparage and Feeral Diagram to the particular.

Malabaki debakar 18. Wab - Providence Balan Contract of Contract Contract and Contract Contract and Contract Co

Stockholm October 18 TASS — Sten Andersson, minister of foreign affairs of Sweden, received Ambassador Andrey Alexandrov, special envoy of the U.S.S.R., who informed the lovernment of Sweden about the results of the meeting between the Soviet and U.S. leaders in the lapital of Iceland.

## Belongov to LN First Committee

LDI 72102 Moscow TASS International Service in Russian 2025 GMT 20 Oct 86

Text] New York, 20 Oct (TASS) — The task of preventing the militarization of space is at the center of attention of the First Committee of the UN General Assembly. The Soviet-U.S. summit meeting in Reyk'avia again revealed in all its vividness the key importance for the destinies of the world of preventing in arms race in space, said A.M. Belongov, permanent representative if the USSR in the United Nations, today. The talks which, as everyone now knows, were opening up real opportunities for fracing the planet in a very short time from nuclear weapons, he ame togged fown and did not lead to the expected result precisely because of the position of the U.S. Administration with regard to SDI and the ABM treaty. Time does not wait; it imperiously demands a multiplication of the efforts of USSR and the United States, the participation of all states in the activation of multilateral negotiations, and the imparting to them of synamism in order to put into effect the UN invisions on prevention of the arms race in space.

12858 CD: 3200/1050

#### U.S.-USSR NUCLEAR AND SPACE ARMS TALKS

## USSR'S GERASIMOV BRIEFS PRESS ON REYKJAVIK OUTCOME

TASS Report

LD161445 Moscow TASS in English 1430 GMT 16 Oct 86

[Text] Moscow October 16 TASS — "Reykjavik is not the full stop, Reykjavik is a line after which fresh efforts need to be mobilized to achieve our common goal of disarmament," the head of the Soviet Foreign Ministry's Information Department said here today.

Speaking at a briefing for Soviet and foreign correspondents, Gennadiy Gerasimov added: "We believe that what has happened at Reykjavik should provide a powerful stimulus for all nations, mass organizations and governments to realize the need to join common efforts to consolidate peace."

Answering a question about the possibility of signing a separate agreement on medium-range nuclear missiles in Europe, the Soviet Foreign Ministry spokesman said that both issues concerning strategic offensive nuclear arms and space weaponry and those concerning medium-range missiles could naturally be discussed at expert level separately.

"On the whole," he added, "our proposals are well-known: Presented in Reykjavik has been a package of major measures." [quotation marks as received]

Speaking of the position of the Soviet delegation in Geneva, Gerasimov said that the new initiatives made in Reykjavik were now on the table at the Soviet-U.S. talks in Switzerland.

Explaining what the Soviet side meant under strengthening the ABM Treaty, he said that the treaty by itself was a good one and life had borne out its expediency and that "what is meant specifically is that although the treaty envisages the possibility of either party going out of it on six-month notice, we suggest refraining from using this possibility for ten years."

### World Service Report

LD162002 Moscow World Service in English 1810 GMT 16 Oct 86

[Text] The spokesman of the Soviet Foreign Ministry, Gennadiy Gerasimov, has given a briefing here in Moscow. Most of the questions he was asked by foreign newsmen and the spokesman of the spokesman of the Soviet Foreign Ministry, Gennadiy Gerasimov, has given a briefing here in Moscow.

drawn to the following: Is the Soviet Union prepared not to consider the package of proposals made at Reykjavik as an integral whole? And, are continued Soviet-American negotiations of varous aspects of that package an indication of its readiness not to do so!

Here is what the Soviet Foreign Ministry spokesman replied: The Soviet Union made relossal concessions at Reykjavik, and those concessions have astounded even the American side. It did so with the sole purpose of making a breakthrough toward disarmament in real terms. The Soviet Union advanced a whole package of proposals that was in the end turned down by the United States. But the Soviet Union is not calling if the talks that are under way in Geneva. There can be continued talks at expert level on medium-range missiles and nuclear space weapons, and there's no contradiction here.

The two sides discussed an agreement in principle at Reykjavik and, in order to carry such agreement out, one has to have details as to how verification should be exercized, low one is to go about eliminating the missiles and so on.

The Reykjavik meeting was in the focus of attention at the briefing. Gennadiy Gerasimov said that Reykjavik does not put paid to anything but rather it marks the beginning of greater understanding by all nations as to low their dream of peace and security may be realized.

The American side was prepared in principle to accept the Soviet package but the term laboratory proved to be the sticking point. The United States wants to carry out research under SDI both in the laboratory and in outer space.

Clearly the United States is after strategic superiority over the Soviet Union. We are against that, Gennadiy Gerasimov said, just as we are against the violation of the principle of equality and equal security. We are not going to attack the United States and we, just as any leading United States experts, believe that it is impossible to build a really effective space shield. The Soviet Foreign Ministry spokesman said it is unclear why the United States has to carry on with nuclear tests if, as it has said, it is committed to banning nuclear wearens.

At the Geneva summit the Soviet and American sides agreed that the arms race on earth must be stopped and that it must be prevented from spreading to outer space; but the issue of space proved to be the stumbling block of Geneva. What took place at Reykjavik, Gennadiy Gerasimov said, should give is all a fresh impetus in working for a stronger peace.

# Schaubert, herebyen lin manne

# ADDADAVE THERE ARE IN STREET YOU TAKE THE TROOM THE

[Text] Moscow. Oct 16 (AFP) - Soviet Foreign Ministry spokesman Gennadiy Gerasimov Thursday poured cold water on hints by chief Soviet arms negotiator Viktor Karpov that an arms reduction agreement was possible without affecting the U.S. Strategic Defence Initiative (SIII).

Mr. Karpov had told journalists in London after last weekend's U.S.-Soviet summit in Regardable that an according of the control of the contr

up the package on the table in Iceland — INF reductions combined with a ten-year moratorium on research into space defence systems, a condition U.S. President Ronald Reagan considered would kill SDI on star wars.

Mr. Gerasimov said disarmament negotiations in Geneva should still produce a detailed formula for INF reductions, adding that the Kremlin had made enormous concessions at Reykjavik to come up with the package deal. He said the Soviet position was that it would continue to negotiate arms reductions but would not sign an agreement unless the whole package ws ratified.

Mr. Gerasimov said his boss. Soviet Foreign Minister Eduard Shevardnadze, would "very probably" meet with U.S. Secretary of State George Shultz at the next session of the Conference on Security and Cooperation in Europe (CSCE) in Vienna in November 4.

Mr. Shultz said in an televised interview in the United States on Tuesday that a meeting with Mr. Shevardnadze at the conference "would be arranged", the first high-level U.S. -Soviet meeting since Reykjavik.

Meanwhile in Brussels Soviet Leader Mikhail Gorbachev's special envoy Vladimir Semenov Thursday told Belgian Foreign Minister Leo Tindemans the Soviet disarmament package was an "all or nothing" affair. Mr. Tindemans, speaking after the hour-long meeting, said Mr. Semonov had telephoned the Kremlin to confirm the Soviet position. Mr. Semonov had admitted that before Reykjavik the Soviet Union was ready to negotiate a separate agreement on INF, but said that in the light of new proposals made in Iceland the Kremlin now considered that an agreement on INF must be linked to the overall package. Mr. Semenov added that an historic opportunity was there for the taking and that Reykjavik had formed a very important element in U.S. -Soviet relations, and said he hoped there would still be a Reagan-Grobachev summit in Washington despite the failure to reach agreement in Reykjavik.

On his part, the Belgian minister expressed the hope that the results achieved at the Soviet-American summit would be developed further at the Geneva negotiations on nuclear and space armaments.

111505

USO: 5200/1039

#### U.S.-USSR NUCLEAR AND SPACE ARMS TALKS

USSR SPOKESMEN ON INF-SDI LINKAGE

INF-SDI Linkage In Force

PM151601 Rotterdam NRC HANDELSBALD in Dutch 13 Oct 86 p 1

[Interview with Soviet General Staff spokesman Navy Captain Yuriy Beketov by Ramon van den Boogaard: "The Soviet Union Returns to 'Linkage'"]

[Text] Moscow, 13 Oct — The Soviet — U.S. negotiations on nuclear arms in Geneva will continue, but a separate accord on medium-range missiles in Europe (INF) is impossible unless Washington abandons further preparations for SDI, a spokesman for the Soviet General Staff told NRC HANDELSBLAD in an interview in Moscow this morning.

Navy Captain Yuriy Beketov said in an initial Soviet military comment on the Reykjavik summit that the Soviet proposals "form a package from which it is impossible (for the Americans) to take only the elements which suit them and leave those in which they have no interest."

His statements made it clear that Moscow has returned to the line from before the summit in Geneva last year: A separate agreement on SS-20's, cruise missiles, and Pershings depends on U.S. readiness to abandon its SDI space shield. The so-called "linkage" between space arms and other topics being discussed in Geneva by the Americans and the Russians (European and strategic missiles) had not, during and after the Geneva summit, been officially thrown overboard by the Soviet Union, but it was no longer mentioned, as a result of which the Western side put some faith in "Reykjavik" as a change of separate accords.

Beketov was involved as an "expert" in the SALT II and START negotiations, and in the present talks in Geneva on European, strategic, and space arms.

The problem for Moscow, he said, is that the Americans may well have declared their willingness in Reykjavik and Geneva to extend the ABM treaty, but they interpret this treaty (on antimissile systems) in such a way that the further development of SDI butside the laboratory is permitted. Moscow seems to have the same stance as it did before November 1985 — that the ABM treaty and its appendices rule out SDI development.

The fact that it is now impossible in Geneva to isolate certain sections of the overall "package" and to ignore others was illustrated by Beketov with an example from the regent past. In a SALT II protocol, he said, it was agreed that further negotiations on traise missiles would take place, but these were never held.

Beketov denied that on the subject of "linkage" Soviet leader Gorbachev had been "accommodating" in Geneva and "hard" in Reykjavik. The difference is, he said, that last year it was a first meeting between Gorbachev and Reagan and that at the time the Geneva talks on nuclear arms were only I year old. Now that we are a year further in the "working meeting in Reykjavik has shown exactly where the two sides stand," Beketov said. He denied that the difference in the Soviet approach to the two meetings could be the result of increased influence by the Soviet military top on foreign policyk or even of opposition by the military to Gorbachev's approach to arms questions.

According to Beketov the link between SDI and possible accords is "not a military but a political question." Moscow's foreign policy, he said, is determined by "party, societal, and scientific interests." "We in the military do not dictate policy."

He also repeated Soviet positions well known before the Geneva summit, that a military-technical response to SDI "will be adequate, cheaper, and more effective," and that here specific "measures against SDI" will be under consideration.

Karpov: INF Possible Without SDI

LD142123 London PRESS ASSOCIATION in English 2044 GMT 14 Oct 86

[Text] The Soviet Union's chief arms negotiator tonight in London offered a glimmer of hope on an America-Soviet arms deal.

Mr Viktor Karpov, speaking after a longer-than-expected meeting with Mrs Thatcher, said a deal on European medium range nuclear arms -- including British-based cruise missiles -- was possible without it being linked to the USA scrapping their Star Wars project.

This appeared to be a significant move away from the stance taken by the Soviets at the Reykjavik summit.

Mr Karpov told a press conference: "We do not deny the possibility of finding a solution to medium range nuclear weapons in Europe separately from the space and nuclear offensive arms."

He said the Russians did not seek to exploit the differences between the U.S. and their European allies.

But the move could be seen as an attempt by the Russians in their new high-profile diplomacy to put the pressure on President Reagan.

In Reykjavik the Russians firmly linked the scrapping of star wars to the other issues. But before the talks collapsed great strides had been made in agreeing to out dramatically medium range nuclear missiles in Europe and Soviet Asia.

Mr Karpov's talks with Mrs Thatcher were the second time in 24 hours that she was drawn in writer stage on international arms control. On Monday night President Reagan telephoned her a few hours before he went on television to give the American people a robust detende of his negotiating stance. During the 15 minute chat Mr Reagan told her his personal version of the summit and discussed the way ahead. Then came Mr Karpov's visit.

The fact that the Soviet authorities have taken the rare step of ordering a top official to seek a meeting with the prime minster herself demonstrates the importance they attach to Britain's role in disarmament talks. Normally Britain's briefing by the Soviets would be at impassager level.

Mr Karpov's meetings with Mrs Thatcher and Baroness Young, deputy foreign secretary, were arranged while he was in Reykjavik as part of Mr Gorbachev's team on Saturday. Late today he flew to Bonn to explain the Russian position to the West German Government before going to Moscow.

After the one-and-a-half hours of talks with Mrs Thatcher, Mr Karpov spoke to the world's press outside No. 10 Downing street — the first time such a high-ranking Russian envoy has done so.

Mrs Thatcher gave full-hearted support to Mr Reagan's defence of his Star Wars project.

But Mr Karpov described the talks as "a useful discussion" before ending his impromptu press briefing in the middle of Downing Street by inviting reporters and camera crew back to the Soviet Embassy for a full press conference.

At the press conference Mr Karpov was repeatedly questioned on why the Soviets in Reykjavik had insisted on linking star wars and the other issues, medium and long-range missiles. He replied: "The Soviets suggested a complex solution at Reykjavik." Russia had also suggested a series of test ban talks, which could also be considered separately, he said.

When pressed to name a time and place for a new meeting with President Reagan, Mr Karpov replied simply "Geneva." He then said he was waiting for instructions from Moscow.

Later it appeared that this comment could have referred only to general discussions, probably at the level of government officials and not a Reagan-Gorbachev summit.

Mr Karpov refused to lay down any time limit for pondering the outcome of Reykjavik, but added: "It will be a speedy deliberation."

He disagreed with Baroness Young, who said after her meeting with him that Britain regarded it as "a step backwards" by the Soviet Union in making progress achieved at Reykjavik hostage to an amendment to the Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty. He commented: "I believe Lady Young has the right to make her own assessment of what happened in Reykjavik. I have my right to disagree with her."

He declined to give details of his discussions with Mrs Thatcher saying that the exchanges were "confidential."

He felt that the star wars initiative "provides a false sense of security". He said: "If one side feels it has a shield so to speak it might in that situation imagine it could strike first and start a war."

Mr Karpov felt that the complex proposals put by the Soviets in Reykjavik represented "an historic opportunity" to change the course of events. President Reagan had said he wanted his star wars programme to be a programme of research and the Soviets had agreed. But they insisted that the programme should not go expend research.

Genscher: No INF Linkage

LD151816 Hamburg DPA in German 1752 GMT 15 Oct 86

[Excerpts] Bonn, 15 Oct (DPA) — For the Soviet Union there is evidently no linkage between a U.S. renunciation of the SDI research project and an agreement on the reduction of medium-range missiles. This emerges from a Foreign Ministry statement on a talk between between Federal Foreign Minister Hans-Dietrich Genscher and Viktor Karpov, the Soviet negotiating leader at the Geneva disarmament talks, in Bonn on 15 October.

According to this, Karpov confirmed the Soviet Union's readiness to continue negotiating on the whole spectrum of disarmament issues. In this regard, as far as medium-range missiles are concerned, a disarmament agreement could be achieved independently of other matters. [passage omitted]

Genscher expressed the view that the Reykjavik meeting had been "important and necessary." What was achieved there must be preserved and brought into the existing negotiating bodies. Genscher and Karpov also discussed a worldwide ban on chemical weapons, which, it is said, was not a topic in Reykjavik.

As well as Genscher, the federal chancellor's foreign policy adviser, Horst Teltschik, and the SPD were briefed on the talks in Reykjavik on Wednesday. The partner in the talks on the SPD side was disarmament expert Egon Bahr.

### UK Official Countered on Linkage

LD160442 Moscow in English to Great Britain and Ireland 2000 GMT 15 Oct 36

[Nikolay Borin commentary]

[Excerpts] Speaking on the World Service of the BBC today, the deputy foreign secretary, Lady Young, said this in part: We see the Soviet insistence when so much progress has truly been made over a great many very important areas. Insistence on linking this to the Americans giving up SDI was in fact a step back from their previus negotiating position. We think that, in fact, they played the card which they knew the Americans could not pick up. Nikolay Borin of our staff has this comment.

I'd say that statement by Lady Young is pretty unfounded. To begin with Moscow was prepared to accept the nuclear zero option for Europe. It's the American delegation that made a step back when it tried to return the talks to the interim uption, providing not for the scrapping but only for the reduction of medium-range missiles in Europe. But the Soviet side did not let the Americans make this step back. [passage omitted]

The Soviet side took another considerable step forward in Reykjavik. It agreed to put aside the question of the British and French nuclear forces. In fact, the Seviet Union accepted the idea that the British and French nuclear forces would remain the not nuclear stop on the nuclear-free map of Europe. Mikhail Borhachev Jescribed that as a serious concession at the talks with the United States. But at the same time it was a concession that took London's official stand into account.

One should be out of touch with reality to claim that the Soviet position in the states was a step back. It seems London, on its side, capitulates before the United States desire to bring SDI from laboratories to space.

The agreement on Britain's participation in SDI contains a special reservation in that any plans to lead the program out of the research stage should be sound by the sound by

Does Lady Young's blind faith in SDI mean that London has virtually given in the mechanism that controls this condition of its participation in SDI? The report is in a question would be all the more relevant since it is Washington's attempt in region weapons in space that turned out to be the stumbling block in the way of reaching historic agreement in Reykjavik.

11.558

CSO: 5200/1038

USSR: U.S. REACTION TO, JUSTIFICATION FOR REYKJAVIK

U.S. Legislators: 'Missed Opportunity'

PM141325 Moscow KRASNAYA ZVEZDA (First Edition) in Russian 4 Oct 86 p 3

[TASS report: "Real Opportunity Missed: That Is What U.S. Legislators Are Saying"!

[Text] Washington, 13 Oct — Sensible U.S. legislators do not hide their profound disappointment with the fact that, because of the U.S. side's obstinacy, a real opportunity to reach substantial agreements during the Soviet-U.S. summit in Reykjavik has been missed. "This has been a bitter day," Senator C. Pell stated in an AP interview. "We turned down a bird in the hand, the reduction of strategic offensive arms, for two in the bush, the 'Strategic Defense Initiative.' One can only hope, "the senator continued, "that President Reagan will review his position and agree to the Soviet proposals."

Senator G. Hart, considered a possible Democratic Party contender for the Presidency In the 1988 presidential election, stated in a NEW YORK TIMES interview that the administration appears to consider implementation of the "star wars" program more important than effective measures in the arms control sphere. Many of us have tried to show that reckless adherence to a dubious space-based defense system will block rest progress in the arms control sphere, the senator said. By all accounts, that is what has happened.

Congressman E. Markey stated that President Reagan missed an opportunity to "swap 'he 'star wars' program for the best deal the Russians have offered."

Millions of people throughout the world pinned their hopes on arms control progress during the Soviet-U.S. summit, Senator F. Lautenberg stated in an interview for AP. "If we want to progress in resolving the existing problems," he stressed, 'the dialogue must be continued."

Senator 5. Nunn, a Democrat and a leading member of the Armed Services Committee, stated: "It seems to me that the administration has changed its wain priorities on the arms control issue. It began by considering it necessary to reduce the Soviet first-strike systems to a sevel which would strengthen stability. The administration's main focus and main priority has now become the lefense of SDI, even if the lost of rejecting sharp reductions in the soviet systems. There should be a soliting at this news." The senator predicted that the administration's requests for new instructions for SDI will now be the "autority of more thorough strating than ever before in longress. The inited States is a military financial position, s. Note that for that read of that the large of the first in the military of the senator predicted that the administration's requests for new instructions.

## 'Concern' Over Aftermath

PM141403 Moscow IZVESTIYA (Morning Edition) in Russian 14 Not 86 7 .

[Own correspondent A. Blinov dispatch: "Not Without Prompting From Ultrarightists"]

[Text] Washington — It is with a clear sense of concern that American newspapers are reporting that the Reykjavik meeting ended without reaching accords on questions of disarmament, although the two countries leaders were close to doing so.

"Impasse Over SDI": "Dreams of SDI Outweighed Hope of Arms Control" — those are the headlines of articles in today's newspapers. The press cites the assessments of the meeting and its results which M.S. Gorbachev, general secretary of the CINC Central Committee, made at a press conference in the Icelandic capital. It particularly singles out the words that the "historic opportunity" of reaching very important agreements in the disarmament sphere was missed because of the American side's stubcorn reluctance to abandon the plans to deploy space weapons.

An article over the signature of Lou Cannon, THE WASHINGTON POST'S white House correspondent, admits that "far-reaching potential nuclear arms reduction arounds came up against an obstacle — the 'star wars' program." As Acbert Kaiser and walter fincus write in the same newspaper, "the 'star wars' program has pushed tack real progress in the matter of implementing radical nuclear arms reductions." 'SHI," the article reads, "has a powerful clan of supporters in weapons manufacturers, members of longress, and military figures. Adherents of SDI both within the administration and in longress and in the Republican Party have seized upon it as a 'sacred cause' that must be outlined at any price. For example, on the eve of the Reykjavik meeting longressman in Kemp implored Reagan not to agree to inviting in Reykjavik that might same sDI."

The informed commentators R. Evans and R. Novak report that at the beginning of October, that is, literally on the eve of the Reykjavik meeting, a number of influential SDI adherents — both Republicans and Democrats — sent Reagan a letter with "extraordinary" contents. The letter recommended embarking on the immediate deployment of SDI without waiting for the final results of all the research. Phose who signed the letter included Ebigniew Brzezinski, former permanent J.S. representative at the United Nations; and a number of senators and member of the House of Representatives.

Newspapers believe, however, that these actions could elicit a munterreaction from those Americans who understand the langer of intensifying the arms race.

### Political 'Turmoil'

PM110801 Moseum TZVESTIVA (Morning Fifting) in Russian 13 Oct 46 p. [

Own correspondent .. Keryavin lispatin: "washington After Reykjavia"

Text: washington -- Washington is in firmer. Fortical discussions on the Soviet-1.5, summit meeting while ended in Revajavik are building up. Even though the meeting fell on Saturday are suiday, followed by mother public wildry in the United States -- Columbus Day, there proved to be a broad circle of policial lightes, representatives it the public, and jurnalists taking part in the letters.

The main topic of television commentaries, press material, and public and private conversations is the disarmament problem which was discussed in Reykjavik. Something many people in The United States deliberately wanted to avoid even yesterday is being actively debated in the country today: dardly anyone ian now dispute the truth that there is no more topical task for manking than the task of preventing a nuclear ratastrophe. And the first conclusion from today's situation:

The administration will not succeed in avoiding discussion of the key problems of disarmament, while the Soviet initiatives, which generated a major response here, gave an impetus for their practical solution and most serious deliberations.

When U.S. President R. Reagan came down the aircraft steps at Andrews Base one television commentator said that he has returned empty-handed. This comment needs amplification. The President did not bring the Americans any disarmament agreement because the United States refused to accept the USSR's constructive and sensible proposals.

Instead of seeking agreements. Washington is relying in a "policy of strength." Its arsenal still contains old formulations like, for example, "the way to disarmament lies only through the super-armament [sverkhvooruzheniye] of America." The U.S. President's latest statement was imbued with these very ideas. Correspondents expected a statement by R. Reagan on his return to Andrews Base from Revkjavik, and they also expected a press conference in the traditional Blue Room of the White House, but the head of the administration avoided meeting the press. The President spoke on television from the Oval Office and, as before, upheld his positions and primarily the "star wars" program.

The approach displayed toward the Reykjavik meeting became a kind of test of political maturity and sincerity in the solution of disarmament problems. The U.S. President's stance was backed primarily by the country's right-wing circles and the military-industrial complex. Incidentally, the approach toward the "star wars" program, as THE BALTIMORE SUN writes, has been turned by conservatives into their ideological test of loyalty to their principles. A television commentary by Assistant Secretary of Defense R. Perle reflected the viewpoint of military circles and contained the same sterentyped praise of SDI, which would supposedly bring security to the United Staes and would "force the Russians" to come to the agreements needed by Washington.

Observers emphasize that adminstration will have to face a difficult task: "how to justify the results of Reykjavik." It annot fail to perceive the increased role of the public opinion factor in the United States. Now it is also necessary to take into account the opinion of West European allies, who had also placed hopes in the meeting. The while Buse is also perturbed by the prospect of relations with Congress. Congressman Foley said that Reagan's speech was just the first explanation, and it must be followed by other, more detailed explanations.

The reaction by Congress to the Revelous weeting is far from iniform. Those who sent the fresident off to the Soviet-C.S. meeting didding him "not to faiter." "not to give in" in disarmament questions, and particularly with respect to the "star wars" program, sannot hide their gratification. This was pency befored by face Kemp, leader of the Thew tight" congressment. There are, invever, other views in Congress, People here to all the Congress welcomed the President in the return from leneval where positive development makes and a viet on a relations. Today, therefore merged in the process of disarmament and a viet on a relations. Today, the minute, the President will not be given upon a inspitable reception; on the interpy, wis stance in being subjected in their rities who have ingressmen and equal of

Here it is also assumed that the debates on the "star wars" program, which blocked the reaching of agreement in Reykjavik, will be exacerbated in Congress.

The Republican administration's refusal to come to an agreement which would have played a historic role in the disarmament process will no doubt have an effect on the forthcoming elections to the country's supreme legislative body. Less than 3 weeks remain until the elections, and the champions of restrictions on military expenditure in the Democratic Party are taking a more active stance in the struggle for seats in Charless.

summing up the initial results, it can be said that the intransigence of the administration's stance on questions of the arms race and the desire to transfer it to some greate for it real difficulties not only in the international arean but also in the country's domestic political situation.

Speakes On Going 'Forward'

LU152152 Moscow Television Service in Russian 1953 GMT 16 Oct 86 [From "The World Today" program presentd by Vitaliy Ilyashenko]

[Text] Hello comrades. To judge from the latest speeches by U.S. statesmen, a clear distribution of duties has taken shape in the White House. President Reagan is speaking in harsh tones when he talks about the results of Reykjavik and the star wars program while his aides have been given the role of expounding Washington's position on matters of disarmament. Reagan's statements are, of course, being seen as a mark of preparations for elections to Congress, which, as is known, will take place on 4 November.

Speaking in the state of Maryland at a preelection meeting, Reagan once again defended his favorite creation, the star wars program, and bombastically repeated that its renunciation, which the Soviet Union is supposedly striving for, would be a tragedy for the present generation of American people. [video shows Reagan making speech on rostrum]

For the American conservative attitude to the U.S. Strategic Defense Initiative has become a kind of criterion of patriotism and loyalty.

The bellicose tone of Reagan's speech in Maryland was smoothed over by Speakes, the White House assistant press secretary. He read out the administration's official statment on the speech by Comrade Gorbachev on Soviet television. [video shows Speakes taking position on rostrum and delivering statement]

Speakes said: We consider that the accords reached in Iceland remain on the negotiating table. The talks which resumed today in Geneva will begin from the point where we ended work in Iceland. The talks in Reykjavik were highly important and a significant step in moving things forward. We want to build further work on the results attained in Iceland.

Since this statement reflects the official position of the White House, I'll quote his speech further: We note with pleasure, said Speakes, that both at his press conference in Roykjavik and in the address to the Soviet people, General Secretary Gorbachev third that the work done will not pass by without leaving a trace. The way has now

been cleared for further advancement in the direction of a significant red. The weapons. We also adhere to such a point of view. We consider that beginning true that point we can go forward in a business-like way. We must hear each other's views; look for common approaches and get agreement in decisions view this aim was achieved in Reykjavík. The aim of any of our meeting shall imprecisely in this.

As you can see it is a very optimistic and reassuring statement. The main thing now is that what has been said should be implemented by the Washington administration.

# Reagan Grand Forks Speech

PM2014-37 Moscow KRASNAYA ZVEZDA (Second Edition) in Eussian 14 Gr. 44

TASS report: "Trying To Distort"

Text: Washington, 18 Oct — The U.S. Administration is continuing propaganda campaign to foist on the world public its own interpretation assumed results of the Soviet-American summit in Reykjavik.

Speaking in Grand Forks (North Dakota), President R. Reagan maintained that the same time declared a real move in our talks with the Soviet Union. At the same time declared that "the only way to hold talks about peace is to it so from a string strength." The administration head made another attempt to usify the Administration's refusal to limit the implementation of the utilized plans. He gave an assurance that "SDI is a purely defensive, peaceful terminal."

### Soviet ABM Stance Distorted

PM171710 Moscow PRAVDA (First Edition) in Russian 18 Oct 56 m .

K. Georgiyev article: "Contrary to Facts and Logic"

The whole world received an accurate and clear idea of how, thanks to the persistence and, to be blunt, boldness the top leaders of the line of states came close to reaching an understanding on radically reducing the eliminating nuclear arms -- both strategic and medium-range:

the monstrated why, through whose fault, this breathtaking the state of the time being a straight out issume the form of an accord binding upon the sides.

For would think everything was thear to everyone, Substituting, National at representatives of the U.S. Administration, including the secretary it will be treated to assist and the state of affairs, are continued in interest to distort the true state of affairs.

DOE DON'T BE THE WORLD OF SAID TENERS AND THE STATE OF THE SERVICE OF THE SAID THE SAID THE SAID TENERS AND THE SAID THE SAID TENERS AND THE SAID

their atmost to persuade the world that the obstacle to everything in Revelous was the Soviet side's remand for changes in the ABM Treaty, which in its present form allegedly less not prevent implementation of the American SDI program right up to the start of the deployment of the space ABM means freated within the framework of that fright.

In an ittempt to prove the supposed invalidity of the Soviet side's formulation of the sweetien of not carrying out research into such means outside the laboratory. Secretary of State G. Shultz, for instance, openly stated: "The Treaty on the Limitation of ABM Systems makes no mention of this, so this would definitely be a change in it."

Is that claim commant with the facts? Let us look at the facts — that is always the must reliable way of establishing the truth.

Here is what Article V of the AMB Treaty says: "Fach party indertakes not to develop [azdavat], test, or deploy ABM systems or components which are smallased, air based, spare-tased, or mobile land-based."

As we can see, this speaks unambig custy of a pleage by the aldes set only not to deploy but also not to test space-based AMM means. So you can only claim the appointed if you are counting on uninformed people, but is it permissible for statuseen to behave in this way?

In an attempt to confuse this extremely clear issue, people in Washington weether cite me at the agreed statements appended to the treaty; this statement allows if the passibility of the wides acquiring ABM means based on physical produples after than those which existed at the time the treaty was signed. Since, so they faim, it is precisely fundamentally new ABM means such as lasers, directed many evapors, and so forth that are being developed [razrahotka] under the ED organs, the collapsed [razrahotka] under the ED organs, the collapsed [razrahotka] indirectly.

The appearance of such "exotic" means as replacements for these that previously exlated is admitted by the statement in question only with regard to the United ABM areas authorized by Article III of the treaty and only with regard in stationary (and spaces). In other words, it has no relevance whatever to Article V of the inequy, which prohibits space-based ABM means.

The text of both the treaty itself and of the appendices to it used of an other interpretation. Dust is not simply the device side's opinion. The official report of the U.S. tens fortrol and disarrament Agency submitted to Congress II is any 1981 - inst 18, other the present administration is stated in line of only on that the traity's time of the development [asstanize], testing, and imply out of particular and the traity of the sum systems "applies also to institute the ray of the large of the sum systems "applies also to institute the ray of the large of the sum systems and the state of the sum of the sum

If would not be put more clearly: As we can use, there were no first corn because the DUR and U.S. punitions on this labor out; be 22 Mayon just, but is, y works often the distributioned opens. Profilent A. Bongan community for Tolly in delicate multiplent. Then ski of a notice Manifoglous began to contrary marks as bondary in the contrary of the contrary of the contrary of the contrary of the contrary profile to this day.

Then, it is a water of a decire on the part of the American Life, and in-randy our one mortal within the Course of the best advantage deciring the low finishes. We have a more deciring the low finishes, the more within all promiting the low rests.

That this is precisely the case is also proved by the fact that in Reykjavik the American side openly proposed replacing (and not merely changing) the ABM Treaty with some other treaty that would regulate the procedure for developing (sozdanive) space ABM systems -- .0 less!

In this connection it is natural to ask the to wing question: Why did the President find it necessary a produce a proposal for a using the ABM Treaty a maint which people in Washi at a now prefer not to mention, incidentally) if the SNI program really does fit in with the present treaty, as they endeavor to prove?

Washington is clearly at odds both with the fact and with logic.

Shultz Report to NATO Council

LD131727 Mosco 1 155 in English 1709 GMT 13 Oct 56

[Text] Brusse | toper 13 TASS--TASS correspondent Albert Balepanov resurt :

A special sest on if the NATO Council at the level of foreign ministers of the NATO member-countrie, h., been held here today to hear a report of U.S. Secretary of State George Shultz on the Soviet-American summit meeting, which closed in keykjavík on Sunday.

George Shultz iddiessed a press conference on the results of the session, which demonstrated serious embarrassment of the U.S. Administration in face of the bitter disappointment among the allies at the outcome of the meeting. George Shultz was trying to justify the unconstructive stand of President Reagan on the basic problem of the present -- that of putting an end to the arms race on earth and preventing its spreading into hit space.

Reagan Television Address

LD1-1224 Moscow Reside Service in Russian 1130 SMT 1- Oct 86

[Excerpt] President Ronald Reagan has gone on U.S. television with an address to the country on the results of the Soviet-U.S. summit-level meeting held in Reykjavik. His speech amounted to a fastification of the unconstructive [nekonstruktivnaya] position by Washington, and his wrecked [sorvat] the achievement of real and effective arms control measure. Its, in point of fact, Reagan confirmed when he acknowledged that his tough position in the so-called Strategic Defense Initiative, known as the star wars program, and led the realization of a far-reaching agreement outlined at the meeting in the left, it nuclear arms reduction. He did not nide the fact that such an agreement does not not washington because it would have deprived the United States of the opportunity to implement the SEI program.

The White House a sistion, which pleases the interests of the E.S. military, threatens the vital interests it all numanity, including those if the American people, and eveks sharp criticism to sessible circles in the Trited States. (passage uniffeed)

## Reagan Tries 'To Decline' Responsibility

LT. 40713 Moscow World Service in English 0700 GMT 14 Oct 86

|Text| Speaking on national television, President Reagan tried to decline all responsibility for the wrecking of agreements on the limitation of nuclear arms at the Soviet-American summit meeting in Reykjavik. The head of the American Administration explained his refusal to make a compromise on the question of the Strategic Defense Initiative by saying that the program was allegedly the key to a nuclear-free world.

Meanwhile, the President's stubborn striving to continue the realization of the "star wars" program blocked the working out of history making agreements in the field of disarmament. According to news analysts, by demonstrating his adherence to the SDI once again, the President has put to doubt the sincerity of his statements about being interested in arms control

White House Justilication Campaign

Lilloud 14 Moscow Television Service in Russian 100) MI 13 vet 36

From "The World Today" program presented by Eduard Mnatsakov

Text! In an attempt somehow to justify the unconstructive position of the United States at the Reykjavik talks, the White House has now launched a campaign aimed at U.S. public opinion. The U.S. President, Secretary of State Shultz, and Regan, the head of the White House staff, have joined in this, along with other high-ranking representatives of the U.S. Government. Their actions, the ABC television company reports, have taken on a somewhat trenzied aspect.

THE WASHINGTON POST published an interesting report today. It has discovered that on the eve of the meeting in Iceland a group of ultraright American figures sent Reagan a letter demanding that he immediately give the order to start deploying the space detense systems within the star wars program. The newspaper reports that this group includes Brzezinski, former national security aide to the President; former Secretary of State Haig; Kirkpatrick, former U.S. permanent UN representative; Edward Teller, tather of the American hydrogen bomb; and a tew others. What can one say? These are all famous personalities who have already gained sad notoriety as knights of the Cold War. They and their like incessantly exert pressure on the Reagan administration, trying to push ahead the militarization of Space.

I cannot but cite another report from THE WASHINGTON POST — this is quite different in character. As the newspaper writes, over 700 U.S. scientists have put their signature to a pledge declaring that under no circumstances will they ever take a direct or indirect part in work or research connected with the SDI program. They include 15 Nobel Prize winners for physics and Temporary.

Shultz Briots Allies, Defends SDI

PMT-1131 Moscow IZVESTIVA Promine Cili on 1 Tessian 15 Oct War V

Two forrespondent V. Antonov report: "All: constitutions With Partners"

TORT | trussels - Literally stints | few outs | filter of it the saviet as summit

A sitting of the NATO Council special session at the level of foreign minister and permanent representatives of this western military-political organization's menter countries has been held at the North Atlantic bloc's headquarters here. The wind session took place in an atmosphere of heightened secrecy. Commentators here point that the U.S. diplomatic leader informed his allies about the course of the talks in Reykjavik between M.S. Gorbachev, general secretary of the CPSU Central Committee. The U.S. President R. Reagan, and on the position taken by the U.S. side there.

At a press conference held at the end of the session G. Shultz made a short statement in which he determinedly defended the "importance and expediency" for the United States and its bloc partners of continuing the development [razrabotka] of SDI, omenasizing its exclusively defensive character. Local and foreign journalists received and concrete reply to the numerous questions put to the U.S. secretary of state is to will the U.S. Administration needs the development [razrabotka] of the "star wars" program.

At the press conference journalists were also given a statement by Lord Carrissian, NATO secretary general. It notes in particular that the Soviet-U.S. samily Reykajavik created certain preconditions for progress across the whole rates if East-West relations. In this connection the NATO allies stated that an opportunity appeared for achieving significant progress on a number of aspects of disarmament and noted the importance of the energetic continuation of those efforts at the correspondent talks.

Poindexter 'Counterattack', Reaction

PM151523 Moscow KOMSOMOLSKAYA PRAVDA in Russian 15 Oct 86 p 3

[TASS correspondent I. Ignative telephone dispatch specially written for EUMS MESSAYA PRAVDA: "The Echo of Reykjavik"]

[Text] Washington, 14 Oct -- Admiral John Poindexter looked somewhat tense, start, behind the podium in the White House press room. Carefully scrutinizing the journalists packed tightly in the room, he seemed to be trying to guess what they will write in their articles and commentaries. Even this surprise briefing was milled, held on a Monday when, to mark the columbus Day public holiday, all federal of the were closed. Another unusual aspect was the fact that instructions to 'prime the press' were issued to the admiral, assistant to the President for national affairs, who does not regard reporters with particular favor and tries to would be whenever possible. On the handful of occasions when, during his 10 months responsible position, he had decided to "share with" journalists "views" with understanding that the name of the "high-ranking administration spokesman" was be revealed.

Now, however, everything was different. The American colleague standers to completely obvious reason totaled the sudden changes. He had remarked even that the briefing that now, inclowing the meeting in Sevkjavik, the administration to try to put a brave fall a drive alleged. Actually, foundation the secret of the reason with a display had to meet representatives in the subject of the secret. In his secret, which is use decided to "Frief" the armains the discussions went and wall we obtained in the application of manufactures.

eminent U.S. politicians and experts on military-strategic mesons who had already made their negative assessment of the fact that an historic opportunity had been missed by the White House through its pathological invillingness is also any compromises whatsoever on the "star wars" question.

Many people were frankly perplexed by the fact that the President returned empty-handed from Reykjavik. "A unique chance existed in the capital of local to reach a major accord on arms control, but the United States preferred not to the advantage of it." Princeton University Professor Stephen Johan Teclared. The All, the Russians arrived there ready to conclude an accord. But the U.S. The displayed its worst face. [paragraph continues]

The Liverian people now understand that the Reagan administration still believes only in the political virtue of the arms race. Desire on both sides is needed to conclude in a cort, but in Reykjavik this was displayed by Mikhail Gorbac ev alone."

similar opinions were expressed by two other major authorities in this sphere — Gerard mith, figure head of the U.S. delegation at the SALT I Treaty tails, and Paul Warnke, in the part and of the U.S. delegation at the SALT II Treaty tails. They openly pointed but the main reason why the summit meeting failed to produce so liftic results. The minimistation, C. Smith concluded, made a mistake in deciding that preparations for "tar wars" would lead to establishment of arms control. The dent Reagan, "Paul wars stressed in his turn, "taced in Reykjavik the choice with arms control and the stressed in his turn, "taced in Reykjavik the choice with a stressed in his turn, "taced in Reykjavik the choice with a stressed in the choice with a stressed in the choice star wars," and that was incorrect.

First in in the U.S. Congress was no less stormy. Last November, on his return from First, the President reported on the results of the first Soviet-I.S. summit meeting that Jung Lime. Then he was welcomed with prolonged ovations at a joint session of the U.S. degislative lody. This time the legislators decided to invite the head of the administration, while applau e was heard only from republican farty members, and not even all of them. Precide t Reagan, Maryland with itan Senator. Mathias stated, made a "mistake" by opting the "the theoretical must inties of the "star wais" program instead of the precided realities of upst intial arms resultions." Eminent Democratic Party figur Senator Edward Kennedy Time Trank in his statement. "There was a tremer bus historic chance in the and," he said, "but it was sacrified to the uncertainty linked with SDI."

The very same feelings are shared by ordinary Americans. Democrati. Congressman Thomas Towney, who was in its electoral district of Long Island, a part of New York City, a low days are, stressed that the voters are disappointed. Thaving talked with people, I but the impression that they really wanted to see some results. But the objective in the complement to arms is obviously greater that he desire for arms unital, the impressman remarked.

The little is now making leverish efforts to guide public of ten in the direction town, before it - in the words of NPC-TV — "grystailizes" thally in a negative called the identification's actions. So Adm Frindexter and other migh-ranking that the identification's actions, which is not to give a reason why to distinct the first accordance to the soviet.

## U.S. Propaganda 'Tricks'

Labelago Muscow TASS International Service in Russian 1323 GMT 16 Oct 86

["In a Distorted Mirror" - TASS headline]

[Text] Moscow, 16 Oct (TASS) -- Yuriy Kornilov, TASS political observer, writes:

washington politicians and propagandists have been performing an astonishing — let us say — semersault recently. For a long time, it seems, the U.S. mass information media, commenting on the Soviet-U.S. meeting in Reykjavik, have been noting that as a result of this meeting Wasington has found itself facing a serious political defeat, and THE WASHINGTON POST noted than when the U.S. secretary of state reported on the results of the meeting, high-ranking U.S. representatives reacted with "long and gloomy taces." Only a few days have passed and we can see on the faces of these high-ranking representatives obviously officially programmed smiles, and the results of the meeting in the capital of Iceland are characterized by the U.S. press as — "grandiose" and even a "breathtaking" success for the United States. What has happened?

Of rourse, the results of the meeting in Reykjavik have not changed, and could not shange. The essence of what has taken place in the capital of Iceland is well-known. The Soviet Union came to the summit meeting with thoughts about detente and peace and put torward in Reykjavik a weighty package of major measures, whose implementation—and this is being admitted everywhere — would have initiated a new era in the life of pur planet, and would have made it possible to start moving toward a nuclear-free world. This aim was pursued by the USSR's proposal to cut radically, by 50 percent, the most lethal weapons, the strategic ones, so as to eliminate them completely afterwards. That same aim is pursued by the Soviet initiative aimed at claring Europe's land of intermediate-range nuclear weapons. No need to say with what relief millions of people would breathe if entire mountains of nuclear missiles were consigned to the scrap heap.

Such is the position of the USSR, dictated by a concern for peace — an open, active, horest position. But in Reykjavik the sides which were on the verge of making decisions of major significance were not able to make such decisions, and the reasons for this are evident: They are that the U.S. side resolutely refused to review the ignessive plans, compiled by Washington, of preparation for "star wars." Can one really talk seriously about disarmament and simultaneously work to move the arms race to a tresh sphere? Can one sincerely agree to reduce some types of weapons, and then and there announce the development and plans to commission other much more supplicated and powerful types, to stabilize the situation — and simultaneously iestray the Indefinite Soviet-U.S. ABM treaty which enables the arms race to be somehow restrained?

Filitinal and public figures of different countries, and the press, today note with numbers justification that the United States' ceaseless attempts, by rushing arms into specific the military-strategic parity which has taken shape is an irresponsible and larger as course.

That it is this appraisal of Washington's aggressive and obstructionist position at the

And now the U.S. President, aware of the immense magnetic force of the Soviet proposals, has taken up, and, without batting an eye, ascribed these proposals to nimself. Stunned readers and television viewers had hardly had time to digest this "hiscovery" when they were bombarded by an avalanche of other statements, speeches, and interviews, the authors of which are at pains to prove that at Reykjavik the United states was contemplating nothing but peace and arms control; representatives of washington's "upper circle," people like Perle and Poindexter, Regan and Buchanan, and others, vied with one another in trying to convince people that if real progress in disarmament was not reached in Iceland then it was not Washington's fault. They are being particularly diligent in this respect in their efforts to "brainwash the public" of western Europe....

Propaganda tricks have long been an integral part of U.S. foreign policy, but they have never brought those responsible for them any political dividends. And no doubt that's now it will be this time. You can't expect a crooked tree to cast a straight shadow....

Kornilov Counters 'Lies'

In Ilai Moscow TASS in English 1524 GMT 22 Oct 86

[Minderstood Nothing and Learned Nothing" - TASS take identifier]

Text | Moscow October 22 TASS - Political news analyst Yuriy Kornilov writes:

The higher the wave of international indignation and protests against Washingtion's regative, obstructionist stand in Reykjavik the more vigorously and cunningly are the Aperlan authorities trying to camouflage their aggressive, militaristic policy, to reluce public opinion. Their chosen method is lies, runaway lies, I would even say pathological lies.

It is a lie that Washington went to the meeting in the Icelandic capital with thoughts, is it is now contended, of strengthening peace and trust, of ensuring arms control because it was already on the eve of Reykjavik that the American Administration had true virtually everything to step up tension, to spoil and aggravate Soviet-American reliable. The else can one qualify the deliberate whipping of the "spy mania" in the inited states interlaced with rabid anti-Sovietism? How else can one qualify wastington's absolutely unlawful, provocative "demand" that the staff of the Soviet has in the United Nations be sharply reduced?

It is in its that already in Reykjavik the United States, "motivated by concern for the state", has made "exceptionally important", almost "epochal" proposals to curb the arms

The live the American delegations came to the summit meeting with nothing in its carried a place in the annals of international relations as a meeting that meeting much ground (in the search of ways) in questions of disarmament, and active position.

The state of the United States that proposed in Reykjavik a package of the state of which, and this is now acknowledged throughout the start genuine advance towards a nuclear-free world.

It was the Soviet Union and not the United States that proposed a radical cut, by the per cent, of the deadliest of weapons — strategic weapons — with a view to eventually totally eliminating them.

Moscow and not Washington came but for cleansing European soil of medium-range nuclear weapons and showed readiness to settle the question of the future of medium-range missiles in Asia and missiles with a range less than a thousand kilometres.

There is no need to say how millions of people would heave a sigh of relief when while mountains of nuclear-missile weapons would be scrapped.

It is a lie that the USSR is at fault for the failure in Reykjavik to reach a gramatic, historical result in the sphere of disarmament. The Soviet proposals contained a broad positive programme and an unprecedented readiness for compromise, for concessions of all equal basis. But they were confronted with a desire to "outplay the Russians" at all cost, with the hypocritical talk about disarmament while the real intent is not ally uncontinue the arms race but to quickly spread the arms race to a new sphere — its space.

It is natural to ask -- how can one "sincerely" agree to the reduction of some types if weapons and in the same stride announce the development of plans of putiling the service of other types of weapons, much more sophisticated and powerful ones at the How can one square words about desire to stabilise the situation and the intent of wreck the timeless Soviet-American ABM treaty, which makes it possible the stability restrain the arms race? The reaching of accords capable of working a verification breakthrough was frutstrated precisely by the intent of the American leaders in a achieve military superiority by means of SDI.

In 1984 the Pantheon publishing house in New York put out the book "There is and Again: R. Reagan's Reign of Error" by the American journalists Mark Green and MacColl.

Noting that the President of the United States makes all information suit ideological patterns the authors write further that if Reagan's pronouncements the past 15 years were compared with authoritative sources it would transpire that it least 300 occasions he had grossly distorted reality. These distortions. The MacColl write further, are used by way of six methods: Obvious exaggerated deliberate ommission of facts important for the understanding of the given statements deliberately couched in the style of jokes; use of juggled statements rejection of "unpleasant" facts; and finally open deceit.

As the occupant of the White House advertises today his supposedly "positive" The Reykjavik he obviously puts to use his "method number six."

In his time, when making a sordid anti-Soviet and anti-Communist special terminal convention of the National Association of Evangelists, Ronald Reaging Tyoung father" from his home state of California who had openly declared that prefer his daughters to perish in the flames of a nuclear conflagration father "live order manufacem." Judging by everything even after Reykjavik to Washington have not understood anything or learned anything. So sound, given him like a simister echo are the tunes of that old cannibalistic month to declared that communiced and therefore there is only one course to the similar to the light to the course to the sound of the similar to the similar to the course to the sound of the sound o

These militaristic, imperial ambitions of Washington, aggravated by its lies and shameless propaganda tricks, cannot but give rise to the most serious and growing alarm of the international public.

### PRAVDA Hits Perle, Buchanan

PM221520 Moscow PRAVDA (Second Edition) in Russian 19 Oct -6 8 .

[Yu. Kuznetsov "Sketch": ""Good Morning, America!""

[Text] There is a saying among our people that the morning orings more light. Meaning that you can think more easily when your mind is fresh. But in the Onited States, it appears, they now have a slightly different saying. There, the morning orings more obscurity. That emerged from a Lelevision program in which two or minent washington figures spoke — Assistant Detense Tecretary R. Perle and white Lose Director of Communications P. Buchanan. The program was called "Good Morning, Americal". At these gentlemen were so abstruce that the darkness of night seemed to fall before the viewers' eyes when they tried to follow their tortuous train of thought. The point is that Perle and Buchanan were expounding their for rather, the white Boise's version of the recent Reykjavik meeting. They were performing all kinds of output into an order somehow to substantiate that version. Among the washington administrators, for some reason, there was a lack of morning and of now it mind. The may it was a failure. Others, in the Contrary, that it was a success. There exist it is it was a shining victory for Washington.

It is well known that variations of this kind require sold, strong ingular harking. But here the banking was very fine and subtle. As subtle as so he. Fet it is sometimes said (again, among the people): There is simulting [140] strict too much subtlety."

In this connection the washingin propaganda agenda was buttile, were mainly with bich questions as (a) who really wanted arms reductions in Reyklavik and the relations proposals), and (b) who plotted against the talks and why?

Point (b) presented no difficulties. Index the present administration. It is as about as daylight that in everything, always, and everywhere, performing the future, "those Russians" are to blame. Point (a) is more and allowed.

We must take inother that if the sariant madings is the lamb of the expension vocabulary. In sariantar, the work lateral tions of the sariant tions of the s

It separate that the amendative time themselves while size if a couring to separate that there were an interior agreements at maximum. The six kind, but radical steps, and in the set of firsts.

Filter and other make weat according to the contract of the co

Here, true, their own D. Regan rather dampened the impression of major initateral T.S. initiatives. He was approached, as white Youse chief of staff, by NBC television. As far as one can tell, much of what the Bussians proposed in Iceland, intiuding the major arms reduction and the elimination of medium-range missiles, was a surprise for you? the correspondent asked.

And Regan replied hastily (it seems there is more than one pearl on the Washington scene): "Yes, perhaps, but I'm not sure, we were familiar with many of these proposals beforehand."

The what a thing to say! How do well growed administrators reply in both cases? Unly like this:

"What Soviet proposals?! I know nothing about them! There were none, the mental and the soviet proposals."

No why, one wonders in that case, did the Russians go to Reykjavik at all?

"It's twicus!" Perle and Buchanan declared, authoritatively and almost with the voice. "The Russians are terrified of our SDL. So they are is thry it."

Buchanan reported the stupefying, bloodfurdling tetatis it has Min we sure settly temanded: "Kill SDI in its cradle!"

fort. Itsly the administration was, as always, a the plant, and personned the intention. It course, it had to sacrifice the agreements which had a present prepared needed at arms reduction. What it it.

Stor, the reader cries, after all, it was may a prestion of a prime at the restrict the SUL trigram to laboratory research. Try proving that to F. Buchanan. I bet you wend a succeed. He and many (alast) of the unit early of the legion, are simply obsessed with the idea of covering the say with a three-tier shield, so that the will enemy would think the say was going in fall on his bear.

But is the game worth the canally. The seministrators, especially the solver measure to be seen to the about that:

Experially aimbe it will be other Josephikos who have and while alliers all many if the Those who are prepared in set fire in the whole placed its the Lake of an oner homologists trillines of outland, a later take other — even for the require of parts.

"It's a gold minef" (he seaped turnery managers and Leakers willied to particular to california, "exas, and Serson,

"Mark you heard what Mr Alebana, Sirerry of the cost Landon and Communications, AAUL to other may"

"What! Was! Wast!"

"The dense of the contract of the second on the decide and

MILE AND THE PERSON OF MARKET BY THE PERSON OF THE PERSON

# USSR COMMENTARIES ON SUMMIT RESULTS, REACTION

## IZVESTIYA on Summit End

PM131930 Moscow IZVESTIYA (Morning Edition) in Russian 14 0-1 86 p 4

Dispatch by special correspondents A. Bovin, N. Yetimov, and A. Palladin: "Expectations and Unexpected Developments"]

Text | Reykjavik -- The last day of the Soviet-U.S. meeting in Reykjavik was a day of tense expectation for the 2,000 journalists here to cover the Soviet-U.S. summit talks. But let is narrate everything in its proper order.

It was learned the day before that the two sides had set in two working groups: one for disarmament questions, the second for humanitarian and regional problems and disarrance relations. Something else was also learned. Both groups were summoned to the Villa Hofdi and right away, without wasting any time, emissed on the elaboration of mordinated proposals. This is a state a waspaper carried a full account of M.S. Gottarnev's press conference in Revetavia, and our readers know the scale of the proposals that were being discussed and merdinated there.

The working groups displayed both redurance and staying power. The first worked for 10 bones and 20 minutes, without closing in eye until morning. The second did not work out as long, but also worked out I have. The journalists drew the conclusion: Something is browing and, in all irreducity, it is major decisions that are prewing. After all, matters of secondary importance are but discussed through the night.

In Sunday merging the Journalists tried by mere and by street to worm but of one unother what they knew about what was Lappenia but what was being prepared. Exceptible, tell offer, that amending important was or the sir. 2.8. parenalists felt that their sayled address has mire of translated, sometimes and the court of the pressure of the case of the pressure of the pressure of the case of the pressure of the pr

I denote the plants of the skill this or green that the shift hears that at 1400 onto the plants of the skill this or green that the small menting would be returned. There was no probable for the desired with the desired of the pressure was making of the plants of the probable for the militaries of the desired of the deep of the probable for the militaries of the menting of the probable for the militaries of the menting of the probable for the militaries of the menting of the probable for the militaries of the menting of the militaries of the mil

positions are very close and they now hope to river the small distance between the reach a compromise." Was the U.S. correspondent hasty? We do not think at thinking logically. The world was very close to hearing about historic implicit. About decisions which would have had a colossal effect in Soviet-U.S. relations and in the entire course of international life.

Meanwhile, the unplanned round of talks went on for more than a hours. For the journalists this meant more than a hours of tension, expectation, included sappointment. We stood in front of a television set in the longy of the long of the press conference, we were in the stast of a lambdar, the liquid for M.S. Gortachev twose turn it was to play test if the see off the U.S. President. You should have heard the manimous sign that expect the hundreds of people in front of the television set. That sign intuined the lambdar and bitterness. The screen showed a liberal of the lense and, as it includes confused [skonfuzhennyy, face of the los. Fresident. The fresident even seemed to great — and he rarely loss this — that press indents and television in the possession of the farewell from a special platform, he is not glance at them, it say tarewell. When the President first appeared in front if the Villa field, to correspondent called out to him: "Are you ready to be business here," he is confidently: "This is what we are here for." But he proved not in the rest indicated exactly this, to do business. It was is if the Lis. arrespondent had seen in advance.

This is how the summit meeting ended. We are convinced that corn frage in arresult was not reached in Reykjavik, the talks were not wasted. Paragrain intin as

They showed that nothing is impossible and that even most complex and most inlicate disarmament problems can be solved. As you already know from M.S. Sorbachev's statements, the USSR and the United States were on the brink of making major decisions. They were. Had the U.S. ruling circles not believed in the Illusion of military superiority over the Soviet Union, Reykjavík would have gone down in all annals not as an example of missed apportunities — of great opportunities, artually—but as a symbol of common sense and new thinking.

Reykjavik failed to become such a symbol. The Reagan administration and the forces that stand behind it and determine its rourse proved to be blind and shortsighted. Blind as politicians and shortsighted as statesmen.

This day ended in a most inexpected fashion. Altogether fantastic. President William addressed servicemen at the is, have in Ketlavik, near the president appearance in the white House Sief culterted his wits and, taking advantage of the Charact, to other words the two ides agreement of the reveal to courtable any information state pairs of the menting, summary fishered that apparently be and or a few manual the proposals at the registrating fibre. This was said by the bead if delegation who appeared in seyklavik emptytament, without any political laggar. I will advantage of thirty, we written wrote. To make by the second of the second of

### PRAVDA on European Reaction

PM141425 Moscow PRAVDA (First Edition) in Fission 14 Oct Ho z .

[Own correspondent Yu. Kharlanov dispatch under general heading "The Echo of Reykjavik: Seeing the Historical Perspective"]

[Text] Brussels, 13 Dct — U.S. Secretary of State G. Shultz flew to the Belgian capital today in order to present the U.S. version of the course and results if the meeting between M.S. Gorbachev and R. Reagan at an extraordinary session of the NATU Council. The secretary of state's mission, as local observers point out, is by no means easy. It is really difficult, very difficult to explain to the U.S. NATU allies what happened in Reykjavik and why the U.S. side, clinging to the "star wars" program, wrecked the agreements which began emerging in Iceland and which would have been historically significant not only for the United States and the USSR but also for the fate of the whole world.

The U.S. stance caused particular disappointment among broad political circles in west Europe which linked the Reykjavik meeting with far-reaching hopes for progress along the path of arms reductions and particularly the solution of the problem of medium-range missiles deployed here. An agreement on medium-range missiles, former Belgian Foreign Minister H. Simpnet stated, rould have been an event of exceptional importance for Europe and would have influenced not only the foreign but also the domestic policy of several countries, including Belgium.

P. Lefevre, observer of the newspaper LE SOIR, asserts that in Revejavia Reagan set his view of planetary security based on the "star wars" program, which is shared by only a part of U.S. political world, in opposition to the possibility of achieving a sharp reduction of nuclear missile weapons, which would have led to a real strengthening of security on the globe.

The newspaper LIBRE BELGIQUE, for its part, expresses the hope that even so, despite the failure in Reykjavik, the USSR and the United States would continue their contacts on questions of arms reduction with a view to achieving mutually acceptable solutions.

### PRAVDA: Praise for Great and

THILLIET Mescow PRAYDA (First Edition) in Gasalan 34 Oct 86 pts

[Special obserspondents C. Edlesnicherks and A. Maslennikov signatur under general heading "The Enho of Reykjavik: Seeing the Historical Perspective"]

[Text] Reykjavik, IS Not — The press conterence given by M.S. Contractor in sext javik on the conclusion of the meeting with the S.S. President attractor great attractor throughout the world. Its contents are already among to millions at people in all careff of the planet — The press tenferonce was televised to many countries.

The Statement by the general retretory if the CPSS Sentral Sometime succlided real prospects for the sometiment the change for discrement on the solicities of the change for discrement on the solicities of the change for the page and peace print forces of the change.

following the profession of the press conference, we conversed with many western policy and specialists on military strategic mestions and that come in Appliable.

I was greatly impressed by the gress underence," we are told by J. [Bartiel] as a fillebod, filed of NEwswith's told wolffice. "I was stunned by M.S. distribute a linear-side logic and his stillity to single out the main issue. His analysis of the international situation makes is conder the most important problems furing is side.

The peneral secretary of the PSU Central committee painted a profound and convincing picture of way the distinguishment talks teld here now ended in deadlock. At Fire, political deciver of the Fritish newspaper THE GLARDIAN, noted. Now the presting is not resulted the controllations, particularly the question of the fate of the star wars program which became the main obstacle to a Soviet-C.S. accord."

trategic binder, said in a poverpition with is. If fear that the insurvestil butcome of this meeting smill ask a stadew wer the current disarmament talks. Reagan to large that he would never agree to any restrictions on the "Strategic Defense Initiative" he has but jurward. Even though the word never is perhaps inappropriate in serious printing. We stylingly must wait and see how events will develop in the little."

Literally a few minutes before filling this dispatch we were sought but by T. Ningama, they were not of the Lapenese newspaper ASARI. I homestly fried. In Jund any amount deposit assessments of the results of the Reysjavik meeting in the statements by Ls. Digitars. All I hand was total confusion — not in Duf it more limitly by saying a self. A really supposeful and highly convincing analysis of enact supposed the limit of view of world statement statements. I admire his political daring the limits of view of world statement is takening to him at the press conference. I felt in the specific of the supposed limits of the mountain when one of the limit of the lim

Prasimikasi Mesika Net 'Fruitless'

CONTENT STATES (SECURE) SERVICE IN RESISTANCES IN SEC. 11 Sec. 34

Them int prinspectors Andrey Plantsker from Reyklaviki

The importantions on 12 C-times to tween Comrade Conflictive and Free Land Landson Limit to the end of the tay, at the very Last moment, the time limit for the menting was changed! Instead of a previously planned three rounds of take over the I tays, a further extra enough time place. This further beightened the already great internal in the opens to ference by Comrade Contachev, the general serietary of the internal companions will be a to 10 to

The entral Hall of Reykjavik, where the press onference took place, was full. By the time you hear this dispatch, comrade listeners, you will already know what Comrade mortaches said. The progress of the discussions that took place once again confirmed that washington afficialism as before dies set intend to give p implementation of its aggressive star wars define, and is striving any way it can to obtain military superiority over the USSR. The United States, as Comrade Cortaches emphasized, came to new saving empty-handed, and a lack of new ways of thinking and clearly be felt during the ourse of the talks.

important accords ould have been achieved in the implanding rapital on key questions of railing the arms rare and railing number arms. The DSA delegation did all it could to achieve this. A whole series of new instructive proposals was put on the table; not only was flexibility displayed but also a readiness to impromise, and even significant concessions. So the meeting at Reykjavik was not after all crowned with the success which was expected from it. And yet the arrent, extremely complex and tense international situation demands argent, decisive and, most important of all, written of all armites by states to rid marking of the threat if a number atastrophe.

Can the Soviet J.S. working summit meeting in Reyklavia be called absolutely fruitless? Of nourse not. Because the exchange of spinions took place after all, and, as Comrade Garhantev emphasized, this neeting showed that the need for dialogue has grown even further, no matter how difficult it might be.

"Lamerkon" 'Invertigat Toolights."

initially manners now to person 1104 CRT 11 Oct 64

Text Num. 13 byt so TPA) — Even if in the absence of an SDI impromise no new tapprovided in the two great overs was feasible, the meeting at Reykjavik provided important insights. To this assessment of the meeting in Eveland, Viadimir Lomeyko, the Soviet special ambassador, added the expertation that in principle the conclusion of disarmanent agreements was also possible separately from an SDI solution.

Lewylo, former head of the press department at the Soviet Ministry of Foreign Affairs, stated at a greak conference at the Soviet Estates in Semi-Teas out in vain."

It had led to a comprehensive exchange of opinions, which had brought about more alarity on both sides. To repeated questions as to whether in particular the elimination of medium-range missiles was still possible. Lomeyou answered emphatically, yes. The soviet diplomat, who had been laking part in an international conference in Hamburg, also underlined Soviet readiness for a zero solution on medium-range missiles in furnper After this offer from Moscow, however, the United States had set new conditions, including the demand to be able to keep "several dezen" medium-range missiles in furnpe.

Lameyen explained the strict rejective of the U.S. concept of missile defenses in space ISDIs by the Soviet conviction that the would bely the Douted States coward military coperingity. Reagan had evidence "not been able to give way," said Lameyee, who regarded the U.S. Freeigent as nome also of princeer of the mulitary and of interested industry. The "working meeting" at the (relamble capital had nevertheless passed off as "interesting and promising."

Vinogradov: Peace Efforts Continue

LD131456 Moscow Domestic Service in Russian 1330 GMT 13 Oct 86

[Commentary by Academician Vladimir Alekseyevich Vinogradov]

[Text] Soviet people, and possible the majority of people on earth, welcomed the new Soviet initiative which led to the meeting between Mikhail Sergeyevich Gorbachev and President Reagan Reykjavik.

Yes, this meeting really was capable of providing a new impulse to reducing international tension. The Soviet delegation arrived at the meeting with constructive and far-reaching proposals which envisaged a reduction of strategic missiles by 50 percent, the complete destruction of medium-range missiles in Europe, and much else.

However, President Reagan was unable to relinquish imperial ambitions, the desire—even in conditions of nuclear disarmament—to secure for the United States superiority over the Soviet Union by way of developing new weapons and deploying then in space within the framework of the so-called SDI. It was this also which determined the outcome of the talks.

There is no doubt that all people of good will on earth are disappointed and concerned with such an outcome. The entire blame for this lies with the U.S. Administration. One cannot disarm with one hand and prepare new weapons with the other. Such a policy is unacceptable.

We hope that common sense and also the hopes of the American people will want in influence on the U.S. Administration and that the accords which did not are it in Reykjavik will find their substance in Washington. After all, the question of new meeting and the continuation of the talks has remained open.

At the press conference which we watched and heard on central television and radio. Mikhail Sergeyevich Gorbachev stated that despite the unsatisfactory results it this meeting, the Soviet Union will in no way slacken its struggle for peace, for averting the nuclear threat, and expressed certainty that this noble desire is according to peoples of all countries. We scientists fully share this position and will decrepthing we can for the triumph of peace on our planet.

Cheravalev: C. . 'Space Rush' Spector

SECTION HOLLAND TABLE IN EMPLISH THE MT 13 Oct 46.

"Mass out" (but a sor Royk avik" - TASS Seadline)

The Task of the Task - Task military writer Vietness Cherry terms

to working structure of emitting in Reverser's three incommonly bright high on the transfer of the C.S. talling it lies. A fighteen productive to incommon and truly is every area of the structive for the initial of the leader examine and truly is every the threat of nuclear war, the productive such because the first of the same of the same

Fresident who said way tack at the down of the case age that the world clearly prevailed over the last delegation to be part. The spectre of a chase for military superlyity through "technologies, edge was timeled large over the Reykjavik meeting.

Washington's "space rush" valled to ripd the sent the 'sold tid," when there were no constraints or sense of reality.

The Soviet leader told the U.S. President: If you want so the space that SDI can give you we are not against your conducting research and institute in affordations for the next III vears. It seems a practical U.S. It wants a perfectly free and it make preparations for the militarization of space. It sees not want to think what littical risks this policy is traught with.

And these risks are very grave. First, the threat of the placement it weathers in mean earth space would stude twicks uncertainties in strategit, atming, which would tuel outlided mistrust. This side would warry all the time if the other side is not overtaking it in any way. This siduation could read to be isless which would have very grave consequences for the cause of peace, second, in this siduation one and hardly imagine any cits to dreven limitations of the strategic difference weapons. To agree to this would mean to improve the efficiency of the first strate to this live and under the guise of an "inti-mission strain", it at is, to play but the latter in the side which is contained to the contained to the side which is a planting to contain a present a side which is a planting to contain the side which is a planting to contain a present a side which is a planting to contain a present a side which is a planting to contain a present a side which is a planting to contain a present a side which is the side which is a planting to contain a present and the side which is a planting to contain a present of the side which is the side of the side of the side which is the side which is the side of the side of

Third, SDI work this lead in preaking ages this entirely new types if weather and start a dislitatively new arms rate with all the principus massequences for marked fourth, SDI would read to the invarial agreement the soldental attracts of war as a consequence of an error, the content of the soldental actions in each computer systems:

As for state for ever the area control (page to added, three error and a require appearance will enteresting of empirity controlly, containable copy, as in or receipe with the agriculture of the control of the contro

Mankind is imperatively demands, a special transfer the account of the such therapy that the account of the such the such that the second of t

# SPERMINE THE SECOND CONTRACTOR

CONTRACT Change I had no conclude. As MY 11 to Con-

Text! Moscow Jethier LA IAnh - Thillien has married freezes originate at the

Several nucles in begin with. "How can one for the sake of the SDI let slip chances of considerably reducing nuclear arms." The President should have known that his rejection if the Soviet proposals would cause a serious conflict with allies..." Ithe west berman FDEINER STADT AN/FIGEE); "Reagan ind not even try to alter his 'principled' stand in the question of the strategic Defense Initiative' (the Japanese MAINICHI); the talks in Reykjavik enter in failure when President Reagan refused to waive his support for the 'star wars' programme (the London FINANCIAL TIMES). These are eloquent quotes from west orman, Japanese and Italian as received) newspapers, that is newspapers of those three contribes which together with Italy have joined the United States in preparing for 'star wars'. The notorious SDI, which became the stumbling block at the Soviet-Accrical meeting in asystemis, might well become a similar stumbling block in washington's relations with its ailies.

It is an open secret that the leading firms, say, of the FRG or lapan, with the exception perhaps of Messerschmit-Belkow-Blom and Bitachi, are in no burry to full the implementation of the SLI because they see in this programme not benefits but danger to themselves. "The lapas of seven digit orders within the framework of SDI tave turned out to be a soap bubble", it was openly stated recently by the weekly WIRISCHAFTS with, a publication of West Forman business simples.

It is indicative, newever, that even in the political circles of the FRE, which in their time had been in an obvious though sometimes artificially generated emplorial about the American differs, a pertain sobering up is beginning lately.

It is not by name that the west Jerman Minister of Economy Martin suggestion, who signed the common agreement on the SDI in the American capital this spring, bitterly complains now about "washington's bad policy". Have we really signed the agreement of SDI only to get after that a kick in the back? This statement, as reported by WIRTSCHAFTSWOCHE, was made by a West Jerman politician who is very lose in the fellow that also the fulling property of as Born's afficial support, improved in the successful that the soll, which as Born's afficial support, improved in this the successful proposal to limitate the American Tershings" and rules mustile, out the Soviet SSIP classics in largue. But it is exactly the solution of this relief that Bonn had puttle the actual to easity on the event Roykjavia, appearing the West arms of the parliamentary are in the Social emerstic Party at serman first finke stated that an Detect of the Band was taken by the political forms in the judge States which is marting in the field it in armanent.

This is in the become there is no also be called a memory of their words demonstrated with continue country and use unividity thinking is not and and the commentaries of the comment of the continue of the comment of the continue of the co

Amountaine Principals Published Revision

There is a second of the secon

tion and the common of the Periode Residents

The same of the meeting of the first of the section of the section

the chilist plaguing fresident Reagan who, as Reston wrote, was in the crossfire of the constition in his seeding with the Seviet leader. Indeed, the U.S. President found himself and up to the mark in seykajvik; he failed to place himself above dated through, allow the place in all steral military superiority, which have been retuted by life itself.

## Paner: Pexilize Shown

LECTRONICS MINNESS IN DIRECTOR IN SECTION AMERICAN LINES LANGE BA

ligan the Tanight origin; organiary by Viadinir Pozner

The Thank you and to it. Revkjavik is over. The initial emotional reaction to what it failed to achieve must be one if its appointment. With a breakthrough in sight, the T.S. returns in owner the antiballistic missile, or APM, treaty of 1972, proved to be an insurant able bartlet. Never between has a chance of a major success been ac real. The siviet base has a series of a major steps in arms reduction. A determination reflected to its proposals.

responding to the same percent out in offensive strategic nuclear wear as, incl. in other dimental ministers, submarine laminted missiles, and strategy material to the strategy of the original as, zero option of the same that the same and the same and

the mickage the CMA induced that the UM Treaty be given trop-lad guarantees for a life-year person. As a third part of the variety person are a life-year person.

the oping that he was period the United States must limit its week on SUI to interact the mount of the Travers, that us, or must set at interaction agreement that was within region to the travers to be. The that is eny the fashers agreement that was within region to the travers to the contract of the

form the sentiment of the form of strains of the sentiment of the sentiment completely to the sentiment of t

(Timeir) most fervent desire — to get neet ruts in Soviet heavy ICPMs and rid Europe of Soviet SS-21's! Those neavy SS-18's, with their 3,000 warteads (Thad) always been a number one or the American list of security concerns, and here was a concrete hance to see them rut, at light 1, all warteads. I contradily zero.

In view of that, even SOI becomes a stringe notion, what would it be defending the United States against in the absence of Soviet offensive strategic nuclear weapons? Yes, that question must be asset, and seriously analyzed. The answer may be acceptively simple. Namely that the military-indistrial complex and the U.S. Administration of the really want described that the property over the societ Union, and that they have been led to believe is that the goal can be reached.

That belief has been implanted by CIA assessments of the Soviet employed by the vay I.S. think tanks have them Is interpret Soviet readiness to compromise. Nordly, as a sign of how budly the Soviets need some breathing space from military production to improve their employe. This the T.S. reaction, let's not give (?in). That is what Reykjavik means, at least to this observer.

Should me be disappointed? Well, but really. Reykjavik showed the world exactly where we all stand, who wants what: It showed as who is flexible and who is not. It set of the difference between Iranillanal patterns of thought and a new approach to the whole complex at international relations. It showed that real and very substantial agreements for indeed to achieve the tracked provided the desire is there. So, in an important sense keyk total and after a situate that society lifts and others a silmose of beliefy previously his magined. It therefore well we are really achieve, we, the people of the world and the governments that wo are will. That in Itself is at a longer than really

#### Bruckinini Lib. Cm Sepaller

LOTATORS Moscow which Service in Englished to GMT to use #0

(Sext) that competitation Advantage Druglings (when a look at the require of the opening)

Time is the most sterious asset in a nuclear again ago, the time still left to adap the sixte towards a nuclear statistismic. If start of ministing the attemptine of weapons capable it stilles at life in this planet. This is the planes was missed, a major specimen that at the fere page number of major specimen that at the fere page number of major specimens.

percent oil in all omporents it the stategic trial — that is, grand-launched missies, submarine-launched strategic missies, band context-aparis strategic altraft. Se also suggested removing both perturn and toviet medium-range missiles from Europe and the retestion of Britis oil French action ferros. The United States and time ago put forward the arms of a, along it core spring. The court more has low a spread [t] it can be a sufficient to would agree to any open it will interest than I to a state of the latter of the second along the mission with a range of less than I to a state of the second along the mission with a range of less than I to a state of the second along the second and the second along the second along the second and the second along the second along the second along the second and the second along the se

Mashington has offer rederred to discrement or a corporate Velli using the investmentagy of the investment of the conference of the formal Marine in the conference of the few opens

fargain offered by the Russians. However, the bargain is not materialize. Soliticians and the press have been unanimous in pointing to the inwillingness of the easthington administration to abandon the Star Wars program as the only cause of this. Frime Minister Rajiv Candhi of India has said that concrete results in the field of disarmament were blocked by plans for taking the arms rate to other areas, a clear reference to space. American Senator Claiborne Pell has replored the fact that the administration sacrificed ruts in strategic arms to the strategic Defense Initiative. The Austrian news agency says Washington's position on the Issue of Star Wars was the main obstacle that prevented agreement.

One annut but subscribe to such spiniors. Genuine itsalmament annut be achieved if outer space remains open to weapons. There is no sense it shalling the front door while keeping the back door open. As he spoke on television after the Reykjavik summit, President Reagan justified his position by claims that the SDI is the insurance will y that guarantees America's safety. But aren't major arms reductions suggested by soviet Union at Reykjavik the best guarantees of one's security? Such reductions will make a space shield innecessary. That washington intinues insisting on its illigical laims is evidence of its intention to seek military surremany over the USSR. We in its strike weapons of mass destruction in space. Fresident Reagan in his address in itself confirmed this. He said that the nited States would achieve progress in disarmament only if it relied on torre. This is a danger is delistin testifying to the last if my political thinking. IThe rely or force) is may 20 fm to out the arms face. It is not force but reason that an laye the way it disarmament, and the Soviet only proceeded from this when it initiated the Reykjavik some!

The profound understanding of today's realities prompted the like in advance proposals which Mikrail artachev said meet the interests of the American people and the people of all other countries. As for Wastington, it still has the like to coulder what it like to keykjavik and what that it should take to resort to threat of outlear annulation banging over the world before it is too late.

### Depute Drive Still "Invitation"

1204 har deputy Company of Services by Business than but he have be-

"-atam News" immentary by Viktor Levin on Fresident Reagan's sizes to the nation on the Berkjavik meeting with Seviet Leader Histail Cortains

[lest] The fact that specific actures were not reacted in Resejavik, although they were within feach until the United States, by sticking to sol, provented them, facuet a sense of theappointment.

ful over a attention is drawn to the fact that at keys sack inches on come proposed a study of drawn of intuitions that meet the immercant begins in the people. Let us retail exact the brains is proposing. First, to reduce its also begins weapons by all proposing to the foreign entropy of the proposing of the proposition and make the entropy of the proposition is a foreign entropy. In foreign the foreign and make a foreign entropy in fact, and the entropy to the proposition is entropy to make the entropy of the foreign and the feet one interpretation is entropy to the feet of the feet of

convincingly that the question of monitoring, which has for many years been the subject of pullifical speculation in the West, is removed.

Disserting the in little the bonds which even pestrial have the bonds which even pestrial have the little bonds that her pestrial have the later bond fast. The world is more again convinced that her tountry is not neverly to Larba the need for a new way of thinking, but is anowing in example it have in turn this idea into practical feeds that meet the supreme objective on the objective of the o

The first and filler we have also shown a readiness for concessions over the first and filler and the first as a stress of the speaking of strategic analysis, we have removed the mestion of the American medium-range missiles in Europe and are removed the mestion of the American medium-range missiles in Europe and are removed to pust as a first of the average decided to put as ide the nuclear potential of first and first movements are shown a readiness for concessions over the position of the stress over the position of the stress of the stress over the

who are en deing this? Because we must get things in motion. Mikhail Sergeyevich consider annualized that being imaginative solutions are required. We are proposing with unintends. The more the world thinks about them, the more actively it will anyour them.

#### CYCHIELENY DIRECTARY

refilled in the Warran Philips Wirel Saliforn's In Descript 17 Set 46 - 3

married and from theorems there is the probability set (the). "Herbell opportunity"!

The major that the magazana meeting small pare the way for important could nave the way for important could nave the way for important and could nave had colored. Shwerely the talks index without specific the nave of the color of the color

The contract omplytanded, with detaining the their name, one of an elemental contract to reside the fundamental language in a large rathin way and the property of the contract talks.

The county dispersioning secondary, the participants in the county of the county and the county of the county and the county and the county of the county of

The control of the co

The state of these agreements would have progress strategic weapons on land, at sea [na vocal, and in the strategic weapons on land, at sea [na vocal, and in the strategic reasons of the strategic weapons of the strategic reasons of the strategic

Treatest tried to reate to lead and the said are an arranged to the said are arranged to the sai

In this short levels and sublevels which the Americans started in Geneva and repeated in task assist is testined to confuse the substance of the question and by pass the office his it smallty and identical security which is necessary at every stage of the reflections. In consume that function are the first office, the major of the pility. The highest being supplemented its fract with a simple, clear specifications at the first stage. In halve each component of the an-called strategic offensive arms "Irlag" or ground-based strategic missiles, submarine-launched strategic missiles, and strategic beers.

The Italic agreement is strategic wearons which was proposed in Seyajavik contains major to easily a to the Saviet side. Let us remember that in Jeneva it according a Department contains in the muclear arms of the LSSR and the United States capable of the children and other's ferritory. That was fair. After all, the American medium-range missions in statem former former are strategic weapons in relation in the Soviet Labor. What it is described forward-based systems? Make we has now consented not in containing the treatment of Labor and the strategic very masses of the statement of the strategic very masses of the statement of the strategic very masses of the statement of the s

The series begins is missing the cores well and range missines. The draft agreement on this question is discontinuously for the occasion and the approach and the interior of relical values of the measures proposed. It missings abandoning all the interior of tentions of the interior of tention of the interior of tentions of the interior of the i

The cost formed that deather the old will be a feet property and controlled the sense of the sen

special convers for their allies in Asia. A Lierue detate flared up, in the rourse of which the Soviet delegation book set another step to meet them. It stated that given the total elimination of american and Soviet missiles in Europe, the USSR agrees to leave 100 warmach of its benchmark missiles in Asia, while the Americana would have the same number on similar missiles deployed on U.S. territory.

Frier to the Revelous meeting the American press was redicting that the scale of Soviet reductions in Asia would meet likely be the main obstacle in an amord on medium-range missing, fruits were expressed as is whether the SSR would agree to any changes in the Asia part of the party. American the other day on the Soviet proposal made in Revelous, Historier, national security advisor to the U.S. President, noted that for the U.S. President, noted that for the U.S. It would mean reducing its medium-range missiles in Europe by 100 percent, and in Asia 1, 40 per ent. However, the desire to break the deadlock and seek held, Original solutions prompted the Soviet Union, in this question too, to make what was a difficult reproduce for it. A preasibrough was made in yet another avenue of nuclear disarmament.

The third question which forms an integral part of the parkage of Soviet proposals innermed the Treaty on the Limitation of Anti-Ballistin Missile Systems ABWI and the ending of nuclear tests. The Soviet approach here is as follows. [paragraph inntinues]

Dare there is an active on embarsing on a sharp reduction, in nuclear arms and on eliminating them wer a 10-year period, it is peressary to have a guarantee that neither side will seek during that period to achieve military superiority or treate new means capable of undermining stability and parity. It is therefore necessary to ensure reliable verification [kontrol] of the process of reductions, and also to take care that all the mechanisms, all the retarding factors, which now hamper the treation of new types of weapons and the spread of the arms take to new spheres are not only preserved. But strengthered. That is why, in its third draft, the soviet Union proposed to the United States that the ABM Treaty's operation be strengthered by a mutual pledge by the sides not to make use if the right to withdraw from the treaty for 10 years (that is, for the period of the planned reduction and elimination of nuclear arms).

This constructive auditals have it provide to find an acceptable formula on the question of nuclear explosions and it was proposed that full scale talks begin on the complete and final reseature of purious weapon tests. This was envisaged as a process in the course of which it would be mostile also to examine American proposals on partial matters related in this topu. But step by step in move forward toward the elaboration of a treaty which would be all this east to examine.

Taking around of the particular accesses of the administration and of the U.S. Fresident personally to the so-called Strategic Defense Collistive (SDI), the USER did not demand the ending of work under the "star wars" program. But this was on the understanding that all the provisions of the ABM Treaty will be fully discreted, that is, that tesearch and leafs will of go untaide the laboratories. This was where the real coefficient between the two directions, is world policy. The white Prize in amount losisted to the one that the falles should have the right in est mentioned relating to the one one that the falles should have the right in est mentioned relating to the one one one one that the laboratories, but also ministed them, and that includes these increases against the laboratories, but also ministed them.

This was not usely its normalists force was the key to understanding what is really on the 2-d. Assistance with the product of the delitary-industrial continues. The state of the state of

stare. In this end the American telegation even went so far as to bury the accordances reached in Reykjavik. After all, you amout work toward the reportion and elimination of nuclear arsenals on the one hand, while on the other hand giving your blessing in the testing of fundamentally new types of weapers in space. The device relian could not agree to this and become an accomplice to the undermining of the ADM Device.

The position adopted by the Americans in Bevalavik gives rise to still more sounts about the "peaceful" nature of SII. Mimple legic suggests that if there is no sword. It was have deciped to similate militar weapons, why strate a telense against them?

This sithmigh they were literally a few stars may it made and the artificiants of the salidate age, the artificants of the salidate age, the artificants of the salidate in the political will, bolizons, and responsibility in a salidate the political will, bolizons, and responsibility in a salidate the salidate will be stage in a second difficult that the continuous companion of difficult that it is, the path traveled of the salidate experience and a salidate rain. The salidate alterady come a long way and almost reached agreement a great leaf. Toyslavia the salidate to dear the way, to get rid it the distances, the intally, trivial, and describes which were fettering the alterances.

A fundamentally new situation has been ireased. The airiggs for the standard has reached a higher level, from where it is as w necessary turther to step up offers with a view to radical reductions and the intal electric if the second of the would be a mistake to lose a filling operating for the field of the product.

#### Popus Comporari

LOSTICAS Mescaw in English to North America (000) ANT IS AND NO.

Excerpts) [Announcer] The Beagus motivistes in a seesing period of the following in the position in the capital of orders: "Later a sees of the following proposals to itself, whereas in actual both their were made in the beautiful the following the follo

Printer! Think you and notice, show control overstary Wikhos common anti-control on only or interesting on Darkier workings or survey outside a control of what deposed of the Service of the control of

that people receive a truthful riture if the way things developed at Reveloped at Reveloped at Reveloped at Reveloped at Reveloped at Reveloped at the way they developed, as we was that after the two sides agreed, first, to a 50 percent across the based recultion of their offensive strategic lines — that is, land-based strategic ballistic missions, submarine launched missions, and strategic benefit and legion, with the control of the Americans basised at a Ul-year agreement that would limit SDI research and testing to lateratory confines.

Thus SDI emerged as being the single rindblink along the way to nother distributed. Let us take a closer look at that The Inited States Government has indicated in the past that the Strategic Defense distribute is a tremend as bargaining chip is dealing with the soviets. This was initially in the Tresident in Monday when he said that SDI had brought the Soviets has in the registrating table. While that is absolutely not the mase, let's say it is the argument's sake. We sat down, we regulated. We proposed an historic and framatic way it mitting tack on nuclear weapons and the U.S. refused to use SDI for the purpose IT was supposed to server bargaining.

Description arises: Dust went to SDE's real purpose? And that question is not being raised just by in even nainly by the seviet Union which never had any foilts in the first place. Dust questles is being raised by average Americans, as well as by their elected representatives in Lagress, many if whom feel not only disapplinted by the failure to reach agreement at sevejavis, but indeed misled; a nice word for many. For what becomes apparent two is that all the talk about this great targaining this was a gigantic red berring. Most Americans would naturally support a mething that bould be used to good effort in equitables with the seviets.

But would they support a new westers system? A very iffy question to say the least, the magressee are regimning to see SDI for what it really is. Now they want the details, the whats and the wiers and the whys. And that in itself makes Reykjavik a very meaningful and positive event. For it is crucially important that Americans know waitly now sold is person, by its laters. Spassage omitted! In the absence of strategic nations wearing, where he side has SDI and the other does not, the former actions a fine side with the sold and styles it for. There is, as I see it, lies the reason for a 30 percent out it strategic off-makes weares over a type period, and completely doing away with the over a later wear set of that is also why he would not agree to any limits on

#### Sittle Consentates

ADDRESS NAME OF TAXABLE OF THE OWNERS ASSOCIATED BY THE OWNER.

Talant U. Dir D. Demot Sary Links by Attropress

That I was among the few puggasters out of a total of 3,000 or an newsmen from a proof the wife with were granted to the Antil Villa, the versue of the numerical of the numerical formation of the numerical feature. Mixhail Garbachev's resident of the major temperature of the total the talks netween Mixhail notation of the numerical positions closer than ever before a total of with war if Mixhail northern and fight after the talks with temperature of the talks with

The last own was not the sense from accutions to problems that had beened to a up to the problems as shown that it is probable

The second that the proposite Liarussed had been made by the Institute of the second track of the second t

The while is a returned from Engalanta. I teld movelf that I was far more minute; after the summit them better it. I am deviceed that the far-rearring minute of the following state of the following the f

#### The second of

Annual of two tests of the contract of the contract of

The Annual Schools on TARY -- Tricking Describing by SAR Seek Stricks Sharp.

The torthean conditions, against become in manufacture of markets in Mark parts, a success, as a second of another the torthean conditions, against become in the torthean of market whicher, the large second of another than the large second of the torthean than the property of the property of the torthean than the property of the torthean than the property of the property

The control of the co

The state of the s

If me is to listen to these gentlemen, he would be led to think that the MSR distribution display at Revkjavik preparedness for a major compromise and remove all the division mention medium range missiles from turner, while leaving aside the introduction where weapons at Britain and France.

The essence, the core of the attents at misleading public opinion taken the selectoryer and ferie is not that, or not on main that. While expetiations of track about essentially saiding to deliver further from medium range modern weapons, these confines deliberately "forget" that for proposals put forward by the beyon out topy again are if a complex marketer, are a pockage. This was not make that the ranket on each of the three areas. On which they are already being conducted at Geneva — on apara weapont, strategic offensive engines and order engines.

a separate agreement can be prepared in each if these issues, set the Delivior Villing them Into the effect annula be taken in immire, with the regard for his the half the configuration.

Threetrainess, since both of them are sealous advicates of the Washington-Spotsopen for narrowaise progresses the Satar wars breparations. But what is the washington-Spotsopen narrowaise progresses the Data wars breparations. But what is the real worth of the Data progresses of the DA to but weapons only in one area — while it is constitutely diving a green [agit to the weapons often in an auditor area — an Arms by is not suggesting one — in outer apace? Task one tally satisficant a specialism on the error threshold the matter area progresses as a progress on the error threshold as a state of a way?

"Sec shear New Ablogs on the cally will the author. The Pertagn Stake (S) of Traparedness" in settle 124 the settlem of medical-range maximes of the militaria.

#### Days of Recommend of Taxable

Extensity feworise (MWS), has bright that the body of the control of

The Analyting of the Park Table Control of the Park of the Park Table Control of the Park Table

The contraction patty at the court of an appropriate the court of the

The property of the control of the property of the control of the

## U.S. - 1286 MUCLEAR AND STACE AND TALKS

PRAYDA EDITORIAL ON SIDMIT AFTERMATS, AUGISTORIA

PMISITAL Mesoya PROVER (First Edition) to the class 16 dec 86-2. I

"After Seyklevik: Time for Antico - Expitalized passages are as published!

Text: The whole world is discussing the results of the seviet-American summit meeting in Reykjavik. It was a major political overt in international life and in the struggle against the arms rais, for the problitties and elemention of nuclear weapons, and for the removal of the threat of war from marking. Due processors of this event will long affect international relations.

At the Reyslavis meeting the invist rum strated paramount importance to the main questions of world points — The string of the irms rate and suclear disarmament, what was place on the negatiating table was while schage of major measures that, had they been adopted, would have solved in a new era to mankind's life — a suclear-free wraterable is the essence of the health property, will promise to subminate in a cardinal breakthrough in the world bituation, the possibility of which was bylous and real.

The seviet side's pusition of the developic meeting was honest and open. It was based on the principles of equality and deliver security and took into a count the interests of both countries, their allies, and the peoples of all states. The Seviet compromise proposals put forward at the new interest as period to be the first and the new ininking.

THE FIRST PROPOSAL related to atratego officially armaments. The hoviet side advocated a 30-percent reduction in them eyer the name of the first 5 years and subsequently their total elimination, as envisaged to the CPSL Central Committee general secretary's statement of 15 facuary this year.

THE SECTION PROPERLY INSCREENED MEMBERS THROUGH THE INTERIOR IT WAS ATTRACTED THE SHOPE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE

THE THIRD DESCRIPTION AND ADDRESS OF TAXABLE HAVE A THE THIRD OF STREET PROPERTY AND THE WAR AND THE AMERICAN AND THE PROPERTY AND THE PROPERTY AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER

military superlimity. In view it this the Soviet side proposed measures to strengthen the ALM limits with a piece not in withdraw from wykhodit iz it for the nuration of the literary over sold the united states will be likelihold.

These are bold, buginative product lesigned to get the rause of nuclear disarrament moving so that such as entury, in section of the entury. This process the solder Chris teriors, must be subject at the most regardus verification unucoil, of which our madify favors any forms.

Warlet the case control that developed in Reykjavik the Soviet side, desiring to reach a matually implicable percenter, made major new funcessions. As a result a possensual as reached on positions of both strategic arms and medium-range missiles. However, in the problem to enjoy this desensus in accords binding upon the sides because of the U. . Administration's student relief to present the conditions for their position of strengthering AFM practices [restlim rSU] and admitting pledges that were included in both sides.

It was in this income that a real collision between the two approach. In world politics theory with positive alike ending the area case and tailing outlear weapons that place in reak parts. The American side instained that the Collect States be able to larry out outling to recruing reasons in the all not make in the saturation administration problems in the saturation administration graphically income rated that, relieving that if has the technological edge, it is start formula to write in the military experiently over the Soviet indoor in a military experiently over the Soviet indoor in a military experiently over the Soviet indoor in a military experiently over the soviet was again even as all one for the first that the sample that the same thing is in any as the tenth of the first of

Variety of the last section was not in Vain. Main with was done there are important partition will implain the comparison of the compariso

The property of the contract o

And the control of th

declared. "We will not atlandon our line of peace and of struggling against the arms race, to promibit and eliminate nuclear weapons, and to remove the threat from the entire glube. And I am emfident that we are not alone in that struggle."

What happered in Reykjavik must be a jowerill incentive for all peoples, public organizations, and the governments of all states, irrespective of their orientation, to realize the necessity of ording in the power struggle for the normalization of the international situation and a way out of the leadlocks.

The time has some for action. Resolute action. The fate of all mankind depends on it.

/1.816 Can Line/1048

#### 1.5.-USSR MUCLEAR AND SPACE ARMS TALKS

#### NATO COMMANDERS CRITICIZE U.S. SUMMIT TACTICS

#### AU171111 Paris AFP in English 1100 GMT 17 Oct 86

Oct 17 (APP) Top commanders in the North Atlanta Tract, Organisal of NATO have expressed their descriptions at not being properly consulted over U.S. distinct of the Neugan-Gorbachev summit in Reysland a seconding to rehable sources here I reday.

the calend the report in Thursday's Innuncial Irmes that NATO generals feel that were "not properly consulted", especially our proposed US reduction of redoum-range missiles in Europe.

Construction Have Josephim Mark. West German dejuts supreme allied commander in Europe fold the Financia. Times that U.S. Lancian Harriard Rogers has superior orticer, that complained a work limiter Brokewok to U.S. Defence Secretary Caspar Weinberger that the Affield Command had not been given erough to the U.S. Defence Secretary Caspar Weinberger that the Affield Command had not been given erough

General Mack said NATO was concerned that their elimination might endanger the alliance strategy of flexible response, but these warnings "had been ignored."

There was general "displeasure" with U.S. negotiating factions. General Mack told the Financial Times in what it called an "extraordinarily frank" interview.

NATO and diplomatic sources Fridas bore out General Mack's statements, which were also made at the same time to the British Broadcasting Corporation (BBC).

General Mack, making it clear that he was speaking for General Rogers, admitted that the "quick dese spinent" at Reykinsik find made it hard for the Reagan administration to king all informed, the Financial Times reported, but he said it was unacceptable that Supreme Headquarters Aired Powers in Earlies (SHAPE) should still be largely in the dark four days after the summit [passage omitted]

/92/4 (20: 54/0/1425 U.S.-USSE NUCLEAR AND STACE ALT ALT

MITTERRAND, THATCHER DISULSS RETRIAVIE SUMME

FM201444 Paris la MOSIII de l'escri la Oct se e a

[]. nci Cornu distatch: 'Mr Mitterrand Thinks That the Summit inabled Great

[Excerpts.]

/9274 CSO: 9209/7479

## FRENCH FOREIGN MINISTERS IN EUP MISSILES, REYKJAVIK PM171502 Paris 1E MUNIE in French 17 Oct 36 p 4

Remains and terminal TRemains of All U.S. Nuclear Arms

The I man Minister lean Bernard Raimond said on Wedness of tax or of an agreement on intermediate transition mission more for political than for misstary because the prospect of all U.S. nuclear arms trape. This would be a bad thing for the discount of the property security, especially since the property of other areas of imbalance, such as the minister said when the control of the minister said when the control of the minister said when

Year of the security equation

which is the second that the participating in the second that is security is concerned to the second that the second the second the second that the second th

Mr sum no sidital the two tempt we take up or trace expreme to notice at all except we take up or trace expressed that are the presentation at a large

1, 1 a Late 1 (1) (1) (1 a

## U.J. -UJSR VICLEAR AND SPACE ARMS TALKS

# PM210030 Paris LE FIGARO in French 18-19 Oct 50 p 1

Jean film and and a start of the same

That I The production of growing to the principal of and Miles of the Review of the principal of the product of the principal of the product of the product

Programme in particular residen-

Has been a more than the more

A to the more than a distribution of the control of

Describe deviages duling permanently provide prove the provide day in the Secretary of the cross state of the secretary of th

The state of the s

This is affaither reason for thinking about Europe stole. Although it was as usual absent from the negotiating table, it is certainly not about from the negotiators calculations or from what is at stake in their arguments. Can Europe think it is in its interests to me the two prolagonists vie with each other to do away with attended his necessitis for almost 50 years?

Did the US ally conson its European partners before playing the dangerous game. Are the French and Germans in particular a greenient and prepared to set according to as far as their own trienals are concerned. If this is not the case or if they are not extinced to the subject, sarely public opinion will be confused to the over it major elections in Germany, Britain and France.

If must be admitted that there are all que form the answers to a both must be left to chance

/8174 ESG1 5/8U/2429

#### U.S.-USSR NUCLEAR AND SPACE ALMS TALKS

# FRENCH CP DAILY BLAMES REAGAN FOR SIMMIT 'FAILURE' PM171500 Paris L'HUMANITE in French 1. Oct 56 p 1

The of the dispersal are not a see within a distribution of the control of the world would had be the third of the within the control of the same of the Ringal and the third with the control of the same of the

-Court 1 Ann Comp (c) C of 1 to 1.5 year way.

The state of the s il izm -- + i - + r + his mirres the -1 maj process the gas to to a far it is not ust a question of in a less missies of and there in a cw warhoads being month will in military this must are scene mixtured by an makented to irrowment prices for characterizing misand a unit of reduced by half in the analysis of the exeminated by 1998. Medicine taken missing the law last S. S. I missiles on - de and the Printing and Cruise mission with others and baggers from our or there last may be what that in-On Europe, while two way I wars have been tought where the street or what are streeted, and white oil the obnight are basked just has no the resources A service and the service of the ser eg g tim restraer peoples i t amen't have been an about which was wo as pacifist, in the and are all the work as the fined large or wide throughout the end is entured a part of this creative ugh in the The same of the same state of the locandic HE HILL NOT LIFE FOR THE AND THE UP TO THE TWILE LANGING WORK." the propies Sidebille mair in greate dense emploi

All a contract partial about marriage

/92/4 (38): 72(8)/.429

### DELETION PURETON MINISTER ON MEETING WITH ESSEMAN PROCESSION

## ED101920 Brussels Domestic Service in French 1800 GMT to Det Do

If the two wild fine go Minkter I and nation to be a conference of the manager's meeting with brovet environment of the Server of the Brusse's recorded.

Text Last West talks on disarmament after Revkiavik. It will be a long thing. This is the Soviet position expressed in Moscow at Long This is the Soviet position expressed in Moscow at Long This is the Soviet Ambassador Vladimir Semenow, the Long talk of the Lo

Horger onling [T should The Sales point of the sales of t

(B) mer Tie sinnige findere findere. Car ward mas and he is in presupe Good mange of issue to deposit the agreement of the order of sections of objects of range whose ordered.

Inherences research open in the case of SDI, but it will not allow the instantial and will not allow the instantial and with the same of SDI, but it will not allow the instantial and with the same of the same o

Record The Savara model have that the Atmosphy of proposed in regions of MH as the wood that the oblivious for any or that Meson has some on with a golded proposition of body SIH to represent the Light Lymphology SIH to represent the last Lymphology SIH to represent the

Disserved A. S. Depose, the Depose will provide the second collection of the second collections and the second collections.

1.4214

#### U. L. - CASH NUCLEAR AND DRACE ARMS TALKS

## WESTERN PARTY THE THE PROPERTY OF THE PERSON PROPERTY.

## PMINITED Brishelf LE SOIR in French La Cot 36 p 3

Pirry Leining Indoment - Clark Brisant Two Stranger

The Life little first mer or the size of the large in Berksine's (I): Contactory was already but revised that M.). Program was street and made. Their constitutes were a latter good in below.

For the Seven general acceptant, this squares with the to Seventee with the transfer and the transfer and the transfer and the seventee when to quantitate particles are performed at the Kriender and about the transfer and the Kriender and the short the transfer and the state and th

Mr Fugge, or de eller hank was somig in Reason & hans comment of final game, semant is not for a functional as I see I search shall only gam of the I M games world forms and which the I MM is benefit applied, makes a form of a back the I MM is benefit and a property of the comment of the I MM is been particular and all the property of a Ultra of the last M and particular and all the property of a Ultra of the last M and particular and all the property of a Ultra of the last M and particular and all the property of a Ultra of the last M and the last makes and a set of the last makes as the last makes and the last makes and the last makes and the last makes a set of the last makes and the last make

This is the contract of the property of the contract of the co

Delegations.

e. The same ways face in the commence of the c

Sings Make Currenters an play in the sensitivity of the sensitivity of the constitutions hand. Since his interfequence shand is a present to see the constitution of t

in the first be bed up

if the common rights

if the common rights is expected as bed one or

if the common rights is expected as bed one or

if the common rights are common rights as a second or the

grave and first as a second right or

if the common right right as a second right or

if the common right right right as a second right or

if the common right right

0/974 (30) 200/2427

#### U.S. -USSR NUCLEAR AND SPACE ARMS TALKS

## FORT AL 'LATISTIED' WITH REYKJAVIK OUTCOME

LABLE Of Listen Comestic Service in Portuguese 2 od MT 13 ct -6

[Trat | The Portuguese Unvernment has described the flas satisfied with what I call the very significant progress achieved has no the Royal of a summit

Areyodi Scares, while hidded that he progress a quest of a street of the progress and the page of t

The Portuguese Support Party has socied a porturn one ferrome of the local of a pointine purchase by the Reagan Gorbacter to the Comments.

The Siecal visition the interests of peace demand that each appears were should describe in seeking or gain the upper hand. The Siecal of Party advisors the party party of the European interest of the Evantament negligible security in the Ended States and the Sievet Lesson as well as the formal proof for defending specification of the Control of the

/92/4 CBO: 3280/2425

#### U.S.-USSR NUCLEAR AND SPACE ARMS TALKS

## SPANISH FOREIGN HINISTER COMMENTS ON REYKJAVIK

## PM200943 Madrid YA in Spanish 14 Oct 14 p 23

Use V Colcher report Spain Does Not Consider All List

Excerpt | Madrid — If Reagan and Gorbachev were us come to a hieving a package of agreements on nuclear disarmament witing he withdrawal all for impulses from the Old Continent, the Reyujavik meeting cannot have been either a last word. Spanish Foreign Minister Fernandez Ordonez, who did not attend the briefing given by Secretary of State Shultz at NATO headquarters in Brussels yesterday morning (Spain was represented by Under Secretary for Foreign Affairs Fernandi-Purpusa and Ambassador Jaime de Oledak, has avoided a permit the secretary in the spanish Government have not been reached now, the possibility of securing them has been made clear — a view maintained by the Spanish Government. In the Spanish reaction there is an isomous desire but it brand Reagan or Gorbachev as guilty. "Diplomatic soft soap," has

#### Withdrawal of Vissoles

This is aimed at entiringing the time that it is at passible to it. Fur moves and has Rengan and Corphaches will see a partition of form moves and has Rengan and Corphaches will extend a partition of the entire moves at it in each side which the finished will entire the finished will entire the finished will entire the finished with which Rengar is more than the finished with the finished with which Rengar is more than the finished with the finished with

Distriction will indicate in the formation of the source product of the large state of th

## SPANISH EDITORIALS COMMENT ON ELYPLIANTE SIMMIT

PMITIBLE [Editorial Report] Newspapers on 12 October carry editorials which comment on the Revkjavik number.

Reserves La Forguerdia et Spanish en II Detaine manuferent sour I Detaine manuferent sour I Detaine for U.S. Premiero Religio I estado la manuferent de SDI de mare las illegas la manuferent de SDI de mare las illegas como del manuferent de SDI de mare las illegas como del manuferent de SDI de mare la manuferent de Solar della fractiona de SDI de SDI de mare de SDI de mare de SDI de SD

The intliniquency mintered by Romald Rangas in Rejains 4 much have provided explainment, commented tool on much with the and in the last taken the consequent pre-mouther in the at the Rengal the Change of Latter and the last of the mer concerning products a LS complete in demonstra alon providing the argen of the U.S. uttracementation outliers. which required livin of thesig prepared to make common toformulas when the latter was being personnel in miss. Western The second second frame of the Same I am or around in Free Heart Kauliger the limbel searching it also with feature the widden of Asiativ in the 1979's could this R-Put R Station of the Union of presidence better information Use all-day root to the popular that phangelos and reprotest of activated with the USSE made tremeter laber spend thro Provident 4 to Linux Rescribed the Rowel Regime in Lit. Frank in mc 1

The treat and Companied Institute of State (Companied Companied Co

The larger than Republic as controlled and the result that the control of the result o

March 1/ Fac II States II 1 Million care of care of the de Sill on the State of the Control of the Sill of the Sil

\_\_\_\_

If a tipe that they performs his plantane, between the LTAP and the LT

Makind II die homen an I families uneeld, in page a real to come the Research water by the Research water by the right water by the Research water by the Research water by the Research water by the Research water by the large water by the Research water by the right water water by the right water by the right water water water by the right water water water water by the right water water water water water by the right water by the right water water

The same of the sa

COLUMN TARREST SALES

### ILLI-COLK BUSILLAY AND SPACE AREA NAME

# TERRITY FURIED MINISTRY DR RESULTS OF REYELAVIR. TAIN1141 ADRAFA DODRESELL SERVICE IN THESIAN 1100 DWT 14 Det 86

Tire of the second of the seco

Among the service of any page that they be appreciately the service of the servic

(i) amount the legal that the repeated results agree that selections in the control of the contr

The four for the present of the congress made of Benkjards

of the first the present of the congress and considers agreed

of the first the congress of the congress and considers agreed

of the first the congress of the congress and congress and congress

of the first the congress of the congress and congress and congress and congress of the congress and congress and congress of the congress of

The first of the control of the cont

#### U.S.-USSR NUCLEAR AND SPACE ARMS TALKS

#### VARIOUS REACTION TO REYKJAVIK SUMMIT RESULTS

Jankowitsch Expresses 'Disappointment'

AU14,215 Vienua Domestic Service in German 1100 GMT 14 Oct 86

[Text] Japan — Foreign Minister Peter Jankowitsch assessed the results of Reykjavík as a disappointment during his visit to Tokyo. Jankowitsch stated that additional efforts now are needed to counteract new tensions in East-West relations. In this context particular importance is attached to the Vienna CSCE conference, he said.

## KPOe Politburo Statement AU150955 Vienna VOLKSSTIMME in German 15 Oct 86 p 4

[Statement issued by the Politburo of the KPOe Central Committee in Vienna; date not given]

[Text] Gorbachev's proposals in Reykjavik have proved that the most stringent disarmament measures ever are indeed possible: halving all strategic nuclear weapons, from intercontinental missies to nuclear submarines; totally eliminating intermediate-range nuclear missiles, whose presence deeply worries Europe because their use would mean Europe's destruction.

U.S. objections were taken into consideration if they were in any way justifiable from a practical point of view; even previous American proposals were taken up and now submitted to Reagan. But this liberating possibility has not become reality.

Reagan preferred his "star wars" project. The most primitive logic says: Disarmament measures of a previously almost inconceivable scope — which were actually submitted for deliberation — are incompatible with the U.S. attempt to simultaneously develop qualitatively new weapons of destruction. The interests of the overwhelming majority of mankind, not only of those people suffering deprivation and starvation, demand that the waste of natura, wealth and human labor for armament be stopped.

The destructive power of the weapons that have been accumulated so far, which is absolutely sufficient to destroy mankind many times over, requires a new way of thinking: the insight that security is necessary for all. But Reagan acts on the principle that America's supremacy, which cannot be achieved, is to make

the rest of the world as insecure as possible. Thus the breakthrough to new conditions, to a world with less justified fear, is made impossible for the present.

It is a success of the peace forces that, after hesitating for quite some time, Austria's Government has taken up a stand against Reagan's armament in space. However, this was done in such a diplomatic way that until now the majority of Austrians have not heard about it.

But there is too much at stake. The KPOe demands: Because of our neutrality and because of the interests of the Austrian people, the Austrian Government is obligated to make it absolutely clear to the American Administration that disarmament is incompatible with an arms race in space.

The Soviet proposals for a radical reduction of nuclear arsenals, which remain on the negotiating table, must not be dismissed in favor of the illusory hunt for military supremacy.

During the entire period of its existence the KPOe has been most closely linked to the struggle against war and the threat of war. This chance to reach comprehensive disarmament instead of a new over more costly and unpredictably dangerous armament in successful to the missed. The KPOe will dedicate all its to that — as a party and as a part of the nonpartisan covernent. In view of this situation, the campaign for collecting signatures for a nuclear test ban decided by the Austrian peace movement is gaining even greater importance as a first step.

[Signed] The Politburo of the KPOe Central Committee

#### **KPOe Chairman's Assessment**

AU101058 [Editorial Report] Vienna VOLKSSTIMME in German on 10 October on page 3 carries a 750-word article by KPOe Chairman Franz Muhri headlined "The Question 'War or Peace' Concerns Everyone." Muhri calls the meeting between President Reagan and CPSU General Secretary Mikhail Gorbachev in Reykjavik a "success of the peace forces" and stresses that the "attitude of the Soviet Union has proved to be correct." The meeting, however, "does not mean that there has been a change toward disarmament and peace in the Reagan administration's attitude." After discussing Moscow's position Muhri points out that the KPOe fully supports a signature campaign initiated by the Austrian peace movement for the cessation of all nuclear tests, which also calls on the Austrian Government to "advocate with determination an immediate halt to all nuclear tests in the spirit of an active policy of neutrality and peace."

Muhri stresses that the KPOe categorically opposes any attempts by the United States "to integrate neutral Austria into the aggressive military concepts of NATO," and criticizes the Austrian Government for partially yielding to this pressure. In conclusion Muhri emphasizes the importance of the CSCE follow-up conference in Vienna and says that "not only we Communists, but all peace-loving forces in our country expect austria to make a positive contribution to this."

## Daily Sees 'Great Chance' Missed

AU141253 Vienna NEUE AZ in German 14 Oct 86 p 6

[Robert Wiesner commentary: "Wonderful Arguments"]

[Text] Nothing has come out of the meeting of the world's two most powerful men, but nothing has happened either. Certainly, our hopes have been disappointed once more; the reduction of the nuclear threat ardently desired all over the world has once more been pushed into the remote future. From the military viewpoint everything remains the same, and that is bad enough. But not from the political point of view.

Ronald Reagan and Mikhail Gorbachev themselves have provided armament opponents with wonderful arguments, when they disclosed which far-reaching disarmament measures they had almost agreed on: no intermediate-range nuclear missiles in Europe any longer, only half of the current number of intercontinental weapons. No one could have been more credible in giving the lie to those politicians and experts of both sides who have been trying for years to make us believe that the balance of power and thus our security is endangered without this or that weapons system, without the capability to destroy the world many times over.

In Reykjavik Ronald Reagan made himself guilty of having missed a great chance by stubbornly adhering to his SDI program. It serves the United States right if the Soviets are now successful in politically exploiting their readiness for concessions.

The Americans must not lament over that as long as they have not tried to take the other side at its word: by scrapping the SDI plans, no matter whether this is done boidly by Ronald Reagan himself or by the U.S. Congress.

Since Reykjavik we know how large a first disarmament step could be. Particularly we in Europe will not be satisfied with anything else.

## DIE PRESSE Term Meeting 'Success' AU141244 Vienna DIE PRESSE in German 13 Oct p 1

[Engelbert Washietl commentary: "The Price of Strength"]

[Excerpts] Detente lives, but those who constantly exult in the euphoria of detente must be deeply dismayed after the success of the presummit between Ronaid Reagan and Mikhail Gorbachev. Nothing of what they have been trying to make the public in the Western world believe for years has been able to stand the test of this event. The rapprochement of the two statesmen, which has been denied the ultimate breakt? Tugh, refutes the thesis that it is the first law of detente to deal with Soviet leaders as delicately as if one wanted to woo them. [passage omitted]

Now the Soviets are obviously willing to reduce their systems—something they would never have negotiated if the Pershing missiles had not been deployed. If the signs do not deceive, in exchange for concessions in the area of SDI Moscow would have

been prepared to set the number of admissible intermediaterange missile systems on both sides below the 150 SS-20 missiles which were already in existence before the beginning of the NATO counterarmament.

Thus the Reykjavik meeting attains a special quality. Endurance, exercised by both Reagan and Kohl [as received], in worthwhile, but success does take its time. Once more, Reagan has not given up.

/9274

CSO: 5200/2427

#### INTERMEDIATE-RANGE NUCLEAR FORCES

FRENCH PRESIDENT ON NEW 'HADES' MISSILE

PM201550 Paris LE MONDE in French 15 Oct 86 p 8

[Jacques Isnard report: "Mr Mitterrand Dissociates Himself from Defense Policy Envisaged by Messrs Chirac and Giraud"]

#### [Excerpts]

Mitturrand also made a brief, but not unnoticed, reference to Mr Chirac's recent statements to the institute of higher national defense studies about the role of prestrategic (or tactical) nuclear weapons, especially the Army's Hades missile, which is to replace the Pluton missile before 1992

To the prime minister's plans to use these nuclear weapons, such as the Hades missiles, "dissociated" from the strategic force, that is, as battlefield weapons rather than as part of the deterrent, the head of state replied: "We cannot arbitrarily separate this or that element of our strategy. This strategy as a whole embodies tactical or prestrategic weapons. Such weapons cannot be separated from the strategy. They are not a mere extension of a conventional battle but part of the strategic 'whole." In order to eliminate doubt the president made matters even clearer when he said: "The deterrence strategy comes into play when the prestrategic force is introduced."

The Hades program (for a missile to deliver a nuclear warhead over a range of more than 350 km from a truck equipped as a launch platform) is known to be the subject of reappraisals within the chiefs of staff. Originally the Hades missiles were to be grouped within a single division, under the orders of the armed forces chief of staff under direct authority from the president. Now, although the debate is not officially over, there is talk of decentralizing the Hades regiments to some extent to the level of individual corps, as with the present Pluton missiles. The opponents of this idea are afraid that such an arrangement could cause the Hades to be regarded as field guns.

In the presence of Defense Minister Andre Giraud, who accompanied him to Caylus, Mr Mitterrand expressed doubts about the choice of the new strategic nuclear "component" due to replace the Mirage IV bombers and stationary missiles on the Albion plateau of Haute-Provence after 1996. The government's plan is to produce, at an approximate cost of F25 billion, some 30 so-called "random deployment" missiles, which would be mounted on trailer trucks parked, in peace time, at military bases, to be moved to predetermined launch sites at times of serious crisis. If this plan is finally adopted, as is supposed to be Mr Giraud's intention, the result could be a delayed introduction

into operational service (after 2002) of the new improved M-5 missiles on board nuclear submarines.

After leaving the Defense Ministry Charles Hernu, who is not in favor of the immediate introduction of a mobile missile project either, proposed modernizing the Albion plateau silos to avoid delaying the completion of the M-5.

"A new component," Mr Mitterrand said, "would become necessary when the original one became obsolete. We are a still long way from that prospect. It cannot be ruled out, however. It simply means that submarines can be detected. Progress in detection is advancing very rapidly. But so too is progress enabling submarine forces to evade such detection. This is the eternal dialectic of armaments.

"I believe," the head of state added, "that since our defense rests on nuclear deterrence, which is based essentially on the submarine component, any merits of the second land-based component cannot diminish the submarines' importance. There can be no second component to the detriment of the first. This would be an illogicality which nobody would accept and which I do not accept, in any case. This first component is our security and our deterrent.... It is possible to envisage at the same time the development of the first component and the initiation of the second. This is possible and compatible with our finances. It cannot be developed to the detriment of the first component. I hope this is well understood, and it is a point on which I assert the authority required by my position."

Next, and with equal solemnity — since, he said, he is asserting the authority required by his position — the president warned the defense minister against any military plans which, for reasons of technical or financial incompatibility, entailed an acceleration of a project for a missile mounted on a trailer truck at the risk of delaying the completion of the M-5 missile destined for our nuclear submarines. The projected mobile missile would deliver a warhead up to a distance of 3,500 km [as published]. The M-5 would carry a dozen nuclear warheads and be mounted on the so-called new generation submarines. Mr Mitterrand is not opposed to the former. He is asking the government not to sacrifice the latter's modernization to it, however

19274

CSO: 5200/2430

#### NUCLEAR TESTING AND FREE ZONE PROPOSALS

TASS: U.S. EXPLODES NUCLEAR DEVICE IN NEVADA 16 OCT

TASS Report

LD162058 Moscow TASS in English 2042 GMT 16 Oct 36

[Text] Washington October 16 TASS -- The United States today exploded another nuclear device at a test site in Nevada, an official spokesman for the Department of Energy has announced. The yield of the explosion has not yet been disclosed.

It is the 22nd U.S. nuclear test since the Soviet Union introduced its unilateral moratorium on nuclear testing. Several activists of the anti-war movement who protested against the continued U.S. nuclear testing were arrested near the site.

Chernyshev: 'Salute' to Summit

LD162059 Moscow TASS in English 2039 GMT 16 Oct 86

[Text] Moscow October 16 TASS -- TASS military writer Vladimir Chernyshev:

Another nuclear device, the 22nd since the Soviet Union introduced its moratorium on all nuclear explosions, resounded in Nevada. It is a "salute" of a sort of the conclusion of the Soviet-American summit meeting in Reykjavik, an echo of the glee of the U.S. right-wing forces over the failure of the talks to produce concrete results.

Senator Sam Nunn seems to be right in saying that if an agreement to scrap all ballistic missiles had been achieved in Reykjavík, every army and airforce general and some admirals would have had a heart attack.

The latest test can also be viewed as another sign that the U.S. Administration would not show constraint in the arms race. Nuclear testing has been conducted to produce ever new warheads for strategic carriers and to develop "third generation" nuclear weapons, including those under the "star wars" programme. the Pentagon plans to have 28,000 nuclear munitions of 19 types by the early 1990s.

A sample of the thinking of those who are against any accord with the Soviet Union on nuclear arms reductions was supplied recently by American General John Chain, who last June was appointed to head the Air Force Strategic Command and the Joint Strategic Planning Staff. According to him, the U.S. cannot detract anything from emphasis on the nuclear potential and the paramount goal is to introduce new arms systems.

Nuclear testing is especially importantd in development work on space strike systems. It is therefore amazing that President Reagan said in his televised address after the Reykjavik meeting that SDI meant non-nuclear defences. The world knows that nuclear-pumped X-ray lasers are being developed under the "star wars" programme, lasers which the "star warriors" openly characterise as the most promising SDI weapons.

Quite recently U.S. Secretary of State George Shultz, answering the question from a correspondent of an ABC TV programme if the development of SDI systems called for nuclear testing, admitted that some types of them did.

That is why nuclear blasts continue in Nevada, reaffirming, as it were, the characterisation of the incumbent President's policy in a letter to him from Les Aspin, president of the House Armed Forces Committee. The congressman said that Reagan's policy could only be characterised as two-dimensional: One of the dimensions is rheter about halving arms arsenals, which is never backed by deeds, whereas the other is a memands for new weapons, which are always followed up with deeds.

/12858

CSO: 5200/1041

#### NUCLEAR TESTING AND FREE ZONE PROPOSALS

[Text]

SOVIETS INVITE SCIENTISTS TO OBSERVE NUCLEAR TEST SITE

London PRESS ASSOCIATION in English 1629 GMT 9 Oct 86

[Article by David Wallen]

The Soviet Union has invited a team of British scientists to monitor activity at its main nuclear weapons test site.

The invitation was made, not to the government, but to two leading academic advocates of a global ban on nuclear tests while they were visiting Moscow this week.

The Foreign Office is not likely to object to a team being set up independently, but the government's position remains that it is striving for a full test ban treaty at the Geneva arms talks — and the question of the verification of tests is one of the most important stumbling blocks.

The invitation was made to a leading member of the British Nuclear Freeze Organisation, Mr Frank Barnaby, and geologist Dr Jeremy Leggatt. It came from the vice-president of the Soviet Academy of Sciences, Academician E.P. Velikov.

Nuclear freeze is backed by a large number of leading academics, MPs, clerics and others and sees a test ban as the first step towards nuclear arms control.

If the offer is taken up, and that seems likely if the funds for a team can be found, the Britons will be joining independently-sponsored American scientists who have been at the Soviet Union's Semipalatinsk test site since July as observers.

Dr Barnaby said he hoped to hold discussions with the Foreign Office, although the presence of a British team of scientists in the Soviet Union would not depend on Foreign Office permission.

A Foreign Office spokesman said: "The government's position is that a comprhensive test ban treaty remains one of the long-term aims of its arms control policy

But there are substantial difficulties in bringing about a comprehensive test ban treaty of which one of the most important is the problem of verification.

"We believe that the satisfactory way to deal with these problems is for all of the countries involved in the conference on disarmament in Geneva to negotiate a satisfactory verification regime.

"To that end, we have put a number of working documents, including seismic monitoring, to Geneva. Hitherto, we have not had any response from the Russians."

/9317 CSO: 5240/012

#### NUCLEAR TESTING AND FREE ZONE PROPOSALS

#### LZVESTIYA REPORTS FROM 'SILT'T' NUCLEAR-TEST SITE

PM131053 Moscow IZVESTIYA (Morning Edition) in Russian 30 Sep 86 p 5

[Special correspondent Boris Ivanov "Reportage From the Soviet Nuclear Test Site in Kazakhstan": "Silence Over the Degelen Hills"]

[Text] Semipalatinsk, Karkaralinsk, Moscow — Having fiddled with the padlock for a few seconds, the youngish red-mustached major pulled at the massive steel gate. The hinges creaked as the gate slowly opened and we found ourselves at the entrance to tunnel disappearing into the granite rock which towered above us.

"Please go through," Major General Yuriy Viktorovich Lebedev, a USSR Defense Ministry expert who was standing next to us, said.

The dim light of the lamps lit up a high arched tunnel. The width of the shaft was quite sufficient for a modern electric locomotive to pass through without difficulty. In general, at first you had the impression of being in an ordinary subway tunnel. There were the same rails underfoot, strings of various thicknesses of cable along the walls, and a wide ventilation pipe along the ceiling. The only difference probably was that it all looked much less well finished and cozy than a subway. Overhead, here and there, lumps of rock were sticking out. The walls were uneven. And that is quite understandable. Subway tunnels are built for years and years of service, whereas the service of this tunnel is shortlived — it is confined to one nuclear test.

I counted 350 strides from the entrance to where we had walked. Approximately another 400 strides remained to the end of the shaft. There at the very end of this vast cave hewn into the rock the flatcar with the nuclear explosive device and other special equipment is placed. This is where the epicenter of the explosion is during a test. Then the earth shakes and the mountains tremble, their tops cracking like egg shells, crumbling into hundreds, possibly thousands, of pieces of granite which then slowly subside. There are virtually no radiation leaks. Before every test the shaft is blocked off with dozens of steel and concrete partitions and some of the recently excavated rock is put back. Furthermore, as a result of the explosion, the mountain quakes and settles thus burying for ever, as it were, all the radioactive emanations which are trying to get out.

This is indeed what used to happen here. However, since the introduction of the unilateral moratorium on nuclear explosions silence has reigned over the hills of the Degelen mountain range in Kazakhstan. For more than a year now there have no more rockslides in the mountains except for the odd stone dislodged by the arkhars (wild sheep) that have returned to these parts. Incidentially, but for the moratorium, we would not be in this tunnel. It was mothballed in July last year when on the eve of the announcement of the moratorium all work at the test site came to a halt. But for the moratorium, all we would be able to see at this spot would be a bayed in hillton.

We -- that means 20 Soviet and foreign journalists -- who, thanks to our stars, or rather the goodwill of the USSR Ministries of Foreign Affairs and Defense, have been given the opportunity to visit the Soviet nuclear test site, all of us have on many occasions in the past read official statements or heard about the Soviet Union conducting nuclear tests. And now we are here in person, and we are here with the sole purpose of seeing for ourselves that the silence at the test site is not an abstract term poined by tellow writers as figure of speech but an entirely real, meaningful concept.

We must give their due to the people in charge of the test site: Lieutenant General Arkadiy Danilovica Ilyenko and his 'crew' did everything they could to swiftly and efficiently satisfy the curiosity of our group of journalists. And there were many questions.

The area of the test site covers approximately 16,000 square kilometers. It was established in the late forties by decision of the Soviet Government when as a result of the sharp buildup in the U.S. nuclear potential our country was faced with the question of developing it own nuclear weapons as a matter of irgency. It was here that the first Soviet atomic explosion took place in August 1949, shattering the myth of the U.S. nuclear monopoly. And 4 years later the hydrogen bomb developed in the Soviet Union was tested here.

The preparation and holding of a nuclear test is a complex and expensive matter which takes a relatively long time to organize. The tunneling alone of a several-hundred-meters-long shaft through granite rock takes on average 6-8 months (the length of the tunnel is directly proportionate to the yield of the device to be exploded — the bigger the charge, the longer the tunnel). To begin with, the constructionworkers use controlled explosions to make inroads into the mountain and then special equipment is brought in to cut a tunnel of the required dimensions through the granite rock. Only then do technical and scientific preparations for the explosion begin. Just as there are no two mountains in the Degelen range that are completely alike, so practically there are no tests which closely resemble each other. The parameters and conditions of every explosion and also the volume of work necessary to prepare and carry it out have to be worked but by specialists from scratch each time. In 1985, before the introduction of the moratorium, nine nuclear tests were carried out at the test site, the last of which shook the earth's crust on 25 JUly 1985.

Alongside the test site a settlement has grown up on the banks of the Irtysh where the military and the specialists live. Multistory houses, green parks, stores, schools. On the wall of the pli 2-story hotel there is a commemorative plaque which recalls that Igor Vasilyevich Kurchatov lived and worked there 1949-1953. Nearby, in a public garden, there is a monument to the putstanding scientist who headed the Soviet nuclear weapon development program at that time.

The one inderstands better the horrific destructive force inherent in nuclear weapons than we who are directly involved in carrying out nuclear tests and therefore no one desires more passionately than we do a total han on these weapons." A.D. Ilyenko said during one of the improvised press conferences during the inspection of the test site. "You will agree that the very fact of the presence of foreign journalists at the Soviet test site is unprecedented. We have nothing to hide, we can show our cards. We have no eveil designs, we are not playing my double games, we are not conducting any "illicit" operations in contravention of the moratorium of which certain people in the West are frequently trying to accuse us. These accusations are unfounded. But seeing ince is better than hearing a hundred times. This why you, journalists, are here

Indeed my Western colleagues were given a truly unique opportunity: to describe what they saw in Kazakhstan with their own eyes. Do not think this will be easy for some of them. The propaganta bias which seveloped during the cold war years is too deeply ingraised, some journalists are simply too eager to label any step taken by the Soviet Union Government as 'propaganda." It has happened many times before: The Soviet Union extends its unilateral moratorium and the prompt answer from across the ocean is propaganda; we say we are ready to come to an agreement on on-site verification and the propaganda; we say we are ready to come to an agreement on on-site verification and the answer we hear is, again — propaganda; we invite Western journalists to our nuclear test site and some of them already have the familiar reply — propagania — on the tip of their tangues. I would very much like to be proved wrong, but I had reason to think this. The U.S. television viewers...

The Soviet test site is silent and this silence is being constantly monitored by sensitive U.S. equipment which has been installed in the vicinity of Karkaralinsk, a small rayon center. We flew there immediately after our visit to the test site. We were met on the aircited by partitipants in the Soviet-American experiment to verify the insence of tests who took as to see their "establishment." The scientists' holiday tenter on the banks of a pirtoresque mountain lake.

"Following installation if equipment on the earth's surface which monitors our planet's breathing' we have embarked on the second stage of the project — a JD-meter shaft itilized into the rock." Nicolay Yukhnin, senior scientific worker from the ISSR Academy of Sciences Geophysics Institute, told as. "Shortly, seismometric equipment connected by a well-braided table system to a measuring complex is to be installed in the shaft. The shaft exist will be protected by a special bunker to eliminate various kinds of atmospheric interfence. I am confidence that installing the equipment underground will help to reliably register the smallest movement in the earth's depths and completely exclude extraneous noises."

Two U.S. scientists are currently working at the camp -- seismologist David Chavez and David (Carroll) a seismic equipment technician. "Modern seismological equipment, like an electronic microscope, is capable of amplifying a hundred- and thousand-fold the reverberations of even the weakest seismic waves that have traveled over long reverberations of even the weakest seismic waves that have traveled over long distance," Tavid Chavez said joining our conversation. This is why the instruments distance, "Tavid Chavez said joining our conversation. This is why the instruments installed here registered all the nuclear explosions in Nevada without any difficulty. I must say that our work here has proved very fruitful."

David walked up to a table covered with instruments. He pressed a number at buttons and turned a few switches. The automatic recording device was set in motion, producing a strip of paper with an almost straight pale-blue line. This is 'ardiogram of your test site's plus,' Tavid said, handing me the seismogram. "It is silent, ind has been so for months. Intertunately, I cannot say the same about Nevada."

As for the Soviet Inion, we are not just trying to think in the new way, we are translating the principles of the new thinking into practical actions. The journalists' visit to the soviet nuclear test sits is a graphic example.

derpitably, people across to atlantic still prefor thinking (p. 012), surmeded descripted. Negel across that ill history merely trackes as an important one learns from its lessens. Our time has added samething of decision importance to this crasic trany-namely, that if no lessens are learned transference of the crasic trany-namely, that if no lessens are learned transference of the contract of t

Nuclear war is a form of suicide which affects mankind as a whole. This truth gets to you as you look at the mountains through which explosions much more powerful than the explosions of all the bombs, projectiles, and mines exploded during World War II reverberated until recently.

... The Soviet nuclear test site is silent. The world knows about it. And it is waiting for the Nevada test site to fall silent too.

/12858

# NUCLEAR TESTING AND FREE ZINE PROPOSALS

MOSCOW: NUCLEAR TEST BAN 'COULD BECLIME PERMANENT'

LDOs1642 Moscow World Service in English 1-10 CMT 6 Oct 86

[Excerpts] It is exactly 14 months since the Soviet Union introduced unilaterally a moratorium on all nuclear explosions. Here is what Radio Moscow news analyst Yuriy Solton writes:

A moratorium is a temporary ban. It will be in effect till I January of 1937, but it could become permanent if the United states joins it.

So far, however, Washington heeds not to the voice of reason but to the demands of the Pentagon. During the 14 menths the Soviet moratorium has been in effect there were already 21 nuclear explosions at the testing site in Nevada in the United States and the Pentagon plans more and more tests.

The Soviet Union has not been conducting nuclear tests for over a year, nor is that done by another nuclear power. China. If the United States joined the Soviet moratorium hardly would Britain and France protest against that. Banning all nuclear tests is a pressing problem and ready for a solution. One can only hope that at the coming meeting in Reykjavik the leaders of the Soviet Union and the United States sould give due attention to it and that agreement would be reached on corresponding directives.

/12858 CSO: 5200/1041 NUCLEAR TESTING AND FREE ZONE PROPOSALS

# BRIEFS

USSR-JAPAN MEDICS ROUNDTABLE--Tokyo, 10 October (TASS)--A round-table meeting attended by members of the medical profession from the Soviet Union and Hokkaido Island, Japan, was held in Sapporo. The motto of the meeting was "Medics of Japan and the Soviet Union in the effort to safeguard peace and avert the threat of a nuclear war". The speakers at the meeting expressed the desire of medics in both countries to spare no efforts in order to inform the public about the disastrous consequences of a nuclear conflict. Soviet and Japanese medics expressed their determination to do everything to prevent the tragedy of Hiroshima and Nagasaki from recurring. The Japanese physicians who took part in the meeting spoke with appreciation of the Soviet peace initiatives, primarily, of the moratorium on all nuclear explosions. [Text] [Moscow TASS in English 1237 GMT 10 Oct 86 LD] /12858

USSR: ARGENTINE PRESIDENT, SOVIET OFFICIALS ON ARMS ISSUES

Gromyko Speaks at Dinner

LD131857 Moscow TASS in English 1848 GMT 13 Oct 86

[Excerpt] Moscow, 13 October (TASS)—Satisfaction with the development of relations between the Soviet Union and Argentina was expressed by Andrey Gromyko, member of the Political Bureau of the CPSU Central Committee, president of the Presidium of the Supreme Soviet of the USSR. Speaking at a Kremlin Dinner in honour of the President of Argentina Raul Alfonsin, he said that relations between the two countries are an example of equal and friendly ties.

"The spirit of mutual trust the marks the Soviet-Argentine political dialogue", Andrey Gromyko said, "creates a businesslike atmosphere when questions of bilateral relations are studied and solved and is a contribution to the improvement of the international atmosphere".

"The Soviet Union is doing all it can to create an atmosphere of trust and cooperation between states of all regions of the world, all continents", Andrey Gromyko stressed. "The USSR demonstrated its policy of peace, disarmament and averting nuclear war at the just ended meeting between Mikhail Gorbachev and Ronald Reagan in Reykjavik".

"At his press conference yesterday Mikhail Gorbachev made a principled and in-depth appraisal of the results of that meeting", Andrey Gromyko said. He stressed that "both great powers - the USSR and the USA - when discussing and solving acute and pressing international problems should further proceed from the tremendous responsibility that lies on them for the state of affairs in the world, for averting nuclear war".

# Alfonsin Replies

LD132106 Moscow TASS in English 2026 GMT 13 Oct 86

[Excerpts] Moscow, 13 October (TASS)--President Raul Alfonsin of the Argentine Republic has described his visit to the USSR as the climax in the century-old history of the diplomatic relations between the Soviet Union and Argentina. He was addressing today a dinner given in his honour '1 the Kremlin on behalf of the USSR Supreme Soviet and the Soviet Government.

Touching upon international issues, the president of Argentina stressed that the highest value of the international community is the right to life. Any threat concerns everybody equally, and therefore it is the paramount duty of states to ensure full exercise of that right which is today infringed upon by extremism, the arms race, discrimination in all of its forms, and the development problems. Raul Alfonsin expressed conviction that reaching agreement between the Soviet Union and the USA on putting an end to nuclear testing and preventing the spreading of the arms race into outer space can become a turning point on the way towards building a world protected from the danger of a nuclear conflict.

# TASS Details 14 Oct Talks

LD141650 Moscow TASS International Service in Russian 1535 GMT 14 Oct 86

[Excerpts] Moscow, 14 October (TASS)--Talks were held today in the Kremlin between A.A. Gromyko, member of the CPSU Central Committee Politburo and chairman of the Presidium of the USSR Supreme Soviet, and Raul Ricardo Alfonsin, president of the Argentine Republic.

The sides expressed concern at the tension in the world. Profound anxiety is being caused by the stepping up of the arms race and attempts to transfer it into space. The participants in the talks emphasized that at the present time the main task is to keep the world from slipping toward nuclear catastrophe and to turn the threat of war away from mankind.

A.A. Gromyko drew the attention of the Argentinian president to the large-scale peace initiatives of the USSR, which are set in the decisions of the 27th party congress and in the statements of M.S. Gorbachev, general secretary of the CPSU Central Committee, including the program for full elimination of nuclear weapons by the year 2000 and creation of the all-embracing system of international security.

President Alfonsin expressed the positive view of Argentina toward the Soviet peace initiatives and above all toward the proposal concerning the creating of a nuclear free world by the end of the present century. He stated that Argentina is devoted to the policy of peace and disarmament. The guest highly appraised the USSR's decision to extend the moratorium on nuclear explosions until 1 January 1987. In Argentina's view, this responsible step was made in the interests of strengthening international peace and the Soviet Union's example must be followed by other nuclear powers.

In connection with the Soviet-U.S. meeting in Reykjavik, between M.S. Gorbachev and R. Reagan, the sides expressed the joint view that the USSR and the United States will continue to bear a special responsibility for acute and unresolved problems. The participants in the Soviet-Argentinian talks expressed contidence that the Reykjavik summit had undoubtedly shown that at the present time the need for dialogue, no matter how difficult it might be, has increased still further in order to achieve agreements on key questions of international security, in order to improve the situation throughout the world.

The participants in the talks drew attention to the important role of the Nonaligned Movement in warding off the threat of war. A.A. Gromyko and R. Alfonsin noted that peace can only be preserved and strengthened by vigorous actions on the part of all

states and all peace-loving forces. In this respect the Soviet side stressed the constructive endeavors of Argentina and other states belonging to the "Group of Six", who are actively working to preserve peace.

The participants in the talks expressed support for proposals that the South Atlantic be declared a zone of peace and cooperation.

The USSR and Argentina confirmed their full commitment to the United Nation's aims and principles. They declared their support for the United Nations which is an important instrument for the preservation of peace and the solution of many acute international problems.

# Meeting With Gorbachev

LD151722 Moscow TASS in English 1639 GMT 15 Oct 86

[Text] Moscow October 15 TASS -- General secretary of the CPSU Central Committee Mikhail Gorbachev had a meeting with President of the Republic of Argentina Raul Ricardo Alfonsin in the Kremlin today.

Welcoming the president, Mikhail Gorbachev highly appraised the realism and constructive character of the policy conducted by Argentina's civilian government towards the Soviet Union, socialist and developing countries, in questions of west-east relations and in connection with the dangers created by the arms race.

They had a frank [Moscow TASS International Service in Russian at 1530 GMT on 15 October renders this as "oktrovennyy"] and interested conversation on a broad range of present-day problems — how to remove the nuclear threat, how to protect the environment, how to create a new world economic order, ruling out exploitation of some countries by others.

Mikhail Gorbachev drew the president's attention to initial elements of the Soviet Union's policy based on the concept of diversity and integrity of the world, with every country having the right to respect for its social and political choice.

Emphasized was the great positive role of activities of the "Delhi Six" in which Argentina is actively involved, particularly the efforts of that group of countries aimed at banning nuclear explosions. On behalf of the "Six" Raul Ricardo Alfonsin thanked Mikhail Gorbachev for the attention to its initiatives and activities. Touching upon the recent Harare conference, Mikhail Gorbachev and Raul Ricardo Alfonsin exchanged opinions on the Non-aligned Movement. This movement, representing a big part of mankind, is gaining strength, and is making a tangible and growing contribution to world politics, relying on the new political experience and its prestige. It has now linked closer the problems of disarmament and development, and this fact adds to the total potential of peace.

Mikhail Gorbachev shared with Raul Ricardo Alfonsin his impressions of the Reykjavik meeting and assessments of its results. This meeting, he stressed, was useful. The meeting showed that it is possible to approach agreements that would lay the beginning to elimination of nuclear weapons. The efforts of the Soviet Union and all peace forces were not in vain. They made it possible to reach a new, higher stage in the struggle for disarmament and detente. Our platform of new proposals, which are inseparable from each other, and we do not remove any of them, promotes invigoration of the search for mutually acceptable decisions. The situation after the meeting, stressed Mikhail Gorbachev, did not deteriorate. It opens up new possibilities. This is also a signal to all who can and must play their role in favour of detente and disarmament.

Raul Ricardo Alfonsin highly appreciated the Soviet leadership's responsible attitude to the Reykjavik meeting and its results, which is particularly important since what is at issue are the destinies of mankind.

Moscow Press Conference

LD151843 Moscow TASS in English 1629 GMT 15 Oct 86

[Excerpts] Moscow, 15 October (TASS)—The Reykjavik meeting between Mikhail Gorbachev, general secretary of the CPSU Central Committee, and U.S. President Ronald Reagan demonstrated anew the possibility of agreements between the two great powers, Argentine President Raul Alfonsin, who is paying an official visit to the Soviet Union at the invitation of the Presidium of the USSR Surreme Soviet and the Soviet Government, said at a press conference here today.

I think, he stressed, that we should by no means talks about the "failure" of the Reykjavik meeting. The very fact that it did take place already is a step forward.

Raul Alfonsin told the journalists that during his meeting with Mikhail Gorbachev, general secretary of the CPSU Central Committee, today, he had had an opportunity to express to the Soviet Union gratitude on behalf of the "Delhi Six" for the repeated extension of the Soviet unilateral moratorium on nuclear explosions.

The Argentine leader noted that being a member of the "Delhi Six," Argentina was contributing to a search for solutions to problems of nuclear disarmament. We have the moral strength to try to convince the peoples and governments of the need of resolving these problems as soon as possible, Raul Alfonsin said.

He called for support for the efforts of Brazil to secure a non-nuclear status for the South Atlantic and stressed that an important role was attached in this context to various international forums, primarily the United Nations.

Huge resources are expended on the world's arms race and the existing arms stockpiles are enough for multiple overkill, the Argentine president said. If some of these resources are converted to peaceable goals, the developing countries will be given assistance in resolving the problems which confront them.

# PRAVDA Version of Gromyko Speech

PM151137 Moscow PRAVDA (First Edition) in Russian 15 Oct 86 p 4

[TASS account of speech by USSR Supreme Soviet Presidium Chairman A.A. Gromyko at 13 October Kremlin dinner for Argentine President R. Alfonsin, under the general heading "Kremlin Reception"]

[Excerpts] A dinner in honor of Argentine President Raul Ricardo Alfonsin was arranged on 13 October in the Grand Kremlin Palace on behalf of the USSR Supreme Soviet Presidium and USSR Government. It took place in a warm and friendly atmosphere and it was attended by people accompanying the president on his trip.

Present on the Soviet side were A.A. Gromyko, G.A. Aliyev, N.V. Talyzin, and other officials.

A.A. Gromyko Gave a Speech

Today we can say that the spirit of mutual trust which distinguishes Soviet-Argentine political dialogue is now an important joint asset. It creates a businesslike atmosphere for examining and resolving questions of bilateral relations and is also a contribution to improving the international atmosphere.

Our party's 27th congress set the Soviet people gigantic tasks connected with the country's economic and social development. The congress put forward an extensive program for creating an all-embracing system of international security. The Soviet Union proposed the total elimination of nuclear arsenals before the end of the current century and is prepared to join other states immediately in struggling for the attainment of this great aim. The practical confirmation of these intentions is the nuclear tests moratorium which we have extended on four occasions.

The Soviet Union has repeatedly stated that there can be no victors in a nuclear war. This truth is acknowledged now by all serious people who are responsible for states' foreign policies, not to mention the fact that science long ago acknowledged the validity of people's anxiety for the future of mankind if nuclear war were to break out. The Soviet Union also demonstrated its policy of peace, disarmament, and prevention of nuclear war at the meeting between M.S. Gorbachev and R. Reagan which has just ended in Reykjavik.

M.S. Gorbachev gave a principled and profound assessment of the meeting's results at yesterday's press conference in Reykjavik, so there is no need for me to assess the meeting now. I will just say that both the great powers — the USSR and the United States — must continue, when discussing and tackling acute and urgent international problems, to proceed on the basis of the enormous responsibility they bear for the state of affairs in the world and for the prevention of nuclear war.

The chief weapon to which all people who cherish peace appeal, to which our people appeal, is reason. In an age characterized by the unprecedented growth of scientific and technical potential one cannot be guided by the crude instinct of force.

The Soviet Union is doing all it can to create an atmosphere of trust and cooperation between the states of all regions of the world and all continents. This idea is essentially echoed by the initiative by certain Latin American countries, includiong Argentina, on turning the South Altlantic into a zone of peace and cooperation, tree from nuclear weapons.

### Joint Statement

LD171802 Moscow PRAVDA (First Edition) in Russian 18 Oct 86 p 4

["Joint Soviet-Argentine Statement"]

[Excerpts] Raul Ricardo Alfonsin, president of the Argentine Republic, was in the Soviet Union 13-17 October 1986 on an official visit at the invitation of the USSR Supreme Soviet Presidium and the Soviet Government.

The Argentine side noted the importance of these initiatives and stressed in particular its positive appraisal of the Soviet Union's decision to extend its unilateral moratorium on nuclear explosions until 1 January 1987, seeing it as an important step which, if the other nuclear powers became a part of it, could open the path to nuclear disarmament.

The sides call upon all the nuclear powers to join in this moratorium and to come out in favor of the speediest conclusion of a treaty on the complete and universal ban on nuclear tests. In this connection the Soviet side positively appraised the new specific initiative on the monitoring of the end of nuclear tests which was submitted in the Mexican Declaration of the heads of state and government of Argentina, Greece, India, Mexico, Tanzania and Sweden.

The sides are convinced that all states should play their part in the matter of eliminating the threat of a nuclear war, and to this end the Soviet Union and Argentina have stated their readiness to cooperate with one another and with all other states.

The Soviet side highly assesses the efforts of Argentina and the other countries in the "Group of Six" in the matter of strengthening peace and universal security, and their constructive contribution to the search for ways leading to an end to the nuclear arms race in all directions.

The Soviet Union and Argentina deem it necessary to intensify discussion of the problems that were the subject of the Soviet-U.S. talks in Geneva on nuclear and space armaments and questions of disarmament — in the United Nations, at the disarmament conference and at other international forums with the aim of achieving as soon as possible specific accords and agreements, securing the reduction and curtailment of the

arms race. They noted that existing agreements and treaties on arms control must be preserved and implemented.

The sides advocated the development of international cooperation for the use of space exclusively for peaceful purposes and supported the creation of an international procedure for safety in the development of nuclear power engineering.

The sides stressed the close link between disarmament and development, and the need to transfer the resources currently spent on military purposes to peaceful use, including the socioeconomic development of the peoples of the world.

The two sides noted the effective role of the Nonaligned Movement in the world arena and its contribution toward preventing nuclear war and maintaining peace, and toward resolving acute international economic problems. The importance of the decisions taken at the Eighth Conference of Heads of State and Government of the Nonaligned Countries in Harare was stressed.

The sides agreed that the Antarctic Treaty and the agreements supplementing it comprise a many-sided legal basis that has been operating effectively and dynamically for more than 25 years. This system has guaranteed the maintenance of peace in the Antarctic, nonmilitarization, its nuclear-free status and conservation of the environment, as well as the peaceful development here of international cooperation, thereby helping to implement the goals and principles of the UN Charter. Taking this into account, the sides advocated strengthening and further improving this international legal mechanism.

/12858

:ASS: VOSS ADDRESSES INTERPARLIAMENTARY UNION ON NT, SDI

LD102044 Moscow TASS in English 1734 GMT 10 Oct 86

[Text] Buenos Aires October 10 TASS — "Humanity is living through a period of extreme importance. What is required of governments and parliaments in this extreme situation as never before is the highest measure of realism and responsibility, bold and at the same time thoroughly considered steps dictated not only by national and state interests but also by the aspirations of entire humanity." This was said by head of the delegation of the Parliamentary groups of the USSR, Chairman of the Soviet of Nationalities of the USSR Supreme Soviet, Deputy Chairman of the Parliamentary Group of the USSR Avgust Voss. He addressed the 76th Conference of the Inter-Parliamentary Union under way here. "It is long since time to come to one's sense, to stop the suicidal arms race. The Soviet Union not only calls for ending this arms race but also takes practical steps toward this. The USSR has advanced of late a wide set of new important initiatives that are aimed at achieving a radical breakthrough in international affairs. A special place among them is held by a concrete programme of stage-by-stage elimination of nuclear weapons and other weapons of mass destruction planned for a short period of 15 years, in conditions when an arms race in outer space would be reliably prevented."

"The Soviet Union has advanced an important initiative — the idea of creating an all embracing system of international security. Its fundamentals have been formulated all the aspects of the contemporary notion of international security — the military, political, economic and humanitarian — are presented in conjunction for the first time."

"And, finally, General Secretary of the CPSU Central Committee Mikhail Gorbachev made recently a statement on a new, the fourth one, extension of the Soviet Union's moratorium on nuclear testing. It was a difficult, but, we believe, a very important step, one more step for the sake of our common security. "We are deeply convinced that the nuclear menace can be averted only by eliminating nuclear weapons, as the Soviet Union proposes. And the end of nuclear testing must be an initial step toward this. The moratorium on nuclear explosions would make it possible to halt the arms race, would place a barrier to its spread to space."

"The Soviet Union is countering the 'star wars' plan by declaring for a complete ban on a strike space arms, for the development of peaceful cooperation in space," Avgust Voss continued. "But we must note that Washington has not given a positive response to any of the Soviet peace initiatives. The United States answer is the speeding up of SDI," A. Voss said.

/12858

USSR GENERAL ON SUMMIT, SALT II, ABM, SDI

LD220735 Moscow TASS in English 0713 GMT 22 Oct 86

[Text] Stockholm October 22 TASS — Colonel-General Nikolay Chervov, chief of a department at the General Staff of the Armed Forces of the USSR, has said that the world of late has witnessed a number of positive developments, including a Soviet moratorium on all nuclear blasts, the success of the Stockholm Conference on Confidence—and Security—Building Measures and Disarmament in Europe, and a convention on safety in the nuclear power industry, the notification of nuclear accidents and assistance in the case of such accidents, which has been signed in Vienna. He was speaking Tuesday 21 October at a seminar which was attended by representatives of the military and political circles and the mass media of Sweden and held at this country's foreign Policy Institute. Chervov is staying here at the institute's invitation.

"All this is encouraging," he said. "But it has not been possible to agree in Reykjavik on the central problem — the reduction of nuclear arms. The USSR and the United States were on the verge of taking major, historic decisions. But the U.S. administration, being confident of its technological edge and seeking to win military superiority through "star wars", ultimately decided to bury accords which had already been hammered out."

"This has happened at a time when the situation demands getting flown practically to stopping the arms race and finding a way out of confrontation since there is no other alternative, except, that is, a race to a nuclear catastrophe," Chervov said.

"The Soviet Union believes that European security can only be real and lasting if it is based on an essential military equivalence and subsequent lowering of the levels of opposing forces down to limits which would be sufficient for defense. The USSR has been reaffirming its readiness to follow this principle through practical actions. At the meeting in Reykjavik we have proved once again that we need peace and peace alone and that the USSR is doing everything to stop nuclear madness." Chervov said.

"Military parity is in evidence in all areas and in these conditions there can be no question of any Soviet threat. It is now simply impossible to achieve military superiority because no side will allow superiority over itself. The current nuclear balance already is too high and the continued arms race and especially its extension to outer space can raise the nuclear balance to such heights as would make parity cease to be a deterrent. It is imperative, before it is too late, to lower the level of military confrontation and destroy nuclear and other kinds of weapons of mass destruction," Chervov said.

What can be the first steps in lowering military conficulation? First, it is needed to negotiate on equal, mutually acceptable terms, preserve the SALT-I treaty and strictly observe the ABM treaty, especially the ban on the testing of ABM components in outer space, bearing in mind that the ABM treaty is the basis for maintaining the strategic balance and the foundation for limiting and reducing strategic pressive weapons. It is essential to press for an end to nuclear testing and for the Soviet moratorium to evolve into a treaty on a cromprehensive nuclear test ban," Chervay sa.1.

"Second, there is nothing today to prevent solving the problem of medium-range missiles in Europe. A relevant Soviet proposal made in Reykjavik has cleared the way towards a corresponding agreement. Positions have been brought closer on a radical. Meaning out in strategic offensive weapons.

In short, there is a real possibility to untangle a complex knot in present-day world politics and push back the threat of war. Everything now turns on the SDI: the question is either the SDI or arms control because there is no way to have both at the same time. In this connection all European countries evidently have to think over steps they can take to bring the agreements, whose outlines have emerged in Reykjavik, nearer, "Chervov said.

"Third, strict compliance with the document adopted by the Stockholm conference is of great significance to Europe. Taking advantage of the success of Stockholm, it is also expedient to go further and press for a real reduction of armed forces and conventional armaments in Europe," he said.

"The key issue today is that of preventing an arms race in outer space and preserving the ABM treaty in its current interpretation. 'Star wars', once they break out if the laboratory and into space and blow away the ABM Treaty, will bring the world closer to a point of no return, beyond which developments will be unpredictable," Chervey said.

/12858 CSO: 5200/1043

TASS: U.S. ARMS UPGRADING PROGRAMS, TESTING, ASAT HIT

PML31017 Moscow KRASNAYA ZVEZDA (Second Edition) in Russian 5 Oct 86 p 3

[Military observer V. Chernyshev "TASS Commentary for KRASNAYA ZVEZDA": "The Pentagon's Quest for Superiority"]

[Text] The U.S. Air Force has announced that the first of 50 of the latest B-IB strategic bombers deployed at the Dyess Air Force base (Texas) has been put on standby alert. This is yet another indication of the Pentagon's unwillingness to take any practical steps to promote an atmosphere of mutual restraint in the sphere of strategic offensive arms limitation. Washington has not curtailed or amended in favor of limitation a single one of its military programs. On the contrary, a comprehensive program for a massive arms buildup in all the components of the U.S. strategic triad—new [CBM's, missile-carrying submarines, and heavy bombers—has been drawn up and is being implemented. Ground—, sea—, and air-launched cruise missiles are being manufactured and deployed.

It is planned, for instance, to deploy before the end of the year 10 first-strike MX missiles which possess enhanced accuracy and a tremendous destructive force; 130 B-52's have been adapted to carry cruise missiles; and work has begun on the modification of the 131st such aircraft to make it capable of carrying cruise missiles. If this delivery vehicle is added to the arsenal it will mean the violation of the SALT II Treaty. In August this year the U.S. Navy took delivery of the eighth Trident class nuclear submarine and the ninth missile carrier is to be launched in November. Five more submarines of this class are being built at shippards. This year 8 surface vessels and 15 submarines are to be equipped with nuclear-tipped cruise missiles; as a result of this the total number of U.S. floating cruise missile carriers will double in comparison with the current level and, as a spokesman for the U.S.Navy frankly declared in congress, "the number of targets on USSR territory that the United States will be able to hit will increase substantially.

All this amounts to material preparations for a world war which are taking place at a scientifically and technically new level of the arms race and in the presence of colossal arsenals of weapons capable of wiping out our planet's civilization in a matter of days. Such are the military and political practices and the level of responsibility of the people who regard the arms race as a gold mine, the people who refuse to give up their illusory dream of military superiority which is fraught with danger for the whole of mankind, and who are trying to destroy the strategic parity between the USSR and the United States which is the basis of stability in the world. Their actions are fraught with serious consequences for international security.

And how is one to interpret the following facts, for instance: A few hours after the announcement of the Soviet-American accord to hold a summit meeting at Reykjavik, another explosion of a U.S. nuclear device was carried out at the Nevada test site—the 21st such explosion since the announcement of the unilaterial Soviet moratorium on all nuclear explosions; furthermore, another, the fifth, test of an ASAT antisatellite system has been carried out. It is quite obvious that such steps are hardly likely to contribute to a climate of confidence and cooperation between our countries.

This policy has been described by T. Hoopes, former assistant secretary of the U.S. Air Force, as provocative and damaging to the United States itself. One can only agree with this assessment. A historic opportunity now exists for a substantial improvement in the relations between the USSR and the United States, prospects have opened up for the conclusion of practical agreements in the sphere of arms limitation and reduction. However if the course dictated by the U.S. military-industrial complex continues to be pursued, then this opportunity will be los

/12858

TASS: FRG POPULACE OPPOSES U.S. MISSILES, TESTING

LD111624 Moscow TASS in English 1558 CMT 11 Oct 86

|Text | Mosrow October II TASS -- By TASS commentator Leonid Ponomarev:

The number of U.S. nuclear-tipped medium-range truise missiles deployed in West Germany keeps growing. An official spokesman for the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany, Friedhelm Ost, told a news conference in Bonn Thursday that a first batch of much missiles had already been stationed in that country and was in operational much missiles had already been stationed in that country and was in operational ruch missiles had already been stationed in that country and was in operational ruch missiles had already been stationed in that country and was in operational ruch missiles had already been stationed in that country and was in operational ruch missiles at a base in Hasselbach, Rhineland-Pfalz.

All in all, % nuclear-tipped cruise missiles are to be sited in West Germany in addition to Pershing-1s of which, according to DER SPIECEL magazine, a total of 156 are already on station.

Broad sections of the German population are protesting the presence of the American nuclear missiles in their country. Protest rallies and marches and other actions such as blocking approach roads to the bases where the weapons are seplayed have become part of a nationwide anti-nuke campaign which has continued without a letup since late 1983 when U.S. nuclear missiles began to arrive in West Germany.

A major demonstration for peace, disarmament and an immediate withdrawal of American nuclear missiles from West Germany, for example, is planned at Hasselbach today, According to reports from there, it started with anti-war campaigners forming a ter-allometer human chain around the U.S. military base. Their demands, inscribed on their streamers, are that all U.S. nuclear missiles be removed from West Germany and that the FRG renownce participation in U.S. plans to militarize outer space.

The concern of the West German people is quite understandable. The movement of these missiles forward to the European theater has worsened the political dimate on the continent and increased the danger of war considerably.

A halt to all nuclear testing in the world would be a real step to requeing East-West tension. The peace champions in west Germany, including those attending the rally at Hasselbach, and in other West European countries stand strongly for ending all nuclear blasts on earth.

Silence at the testing ranges would be a good prerequisite for general letente ind for an atmosphere of trust.

17958

CSH: 3200/1043

MOSCOW RADIO: DPRK-SOVIET PROPOSALS ON WEAPONS ELIMINATIONS, NFZ

SK160432 Moscow International Service in Korean 0930 GMT 11 Oct 86

[Text] Twelve October 1948 was an important day in Soviet-Korean relations. On that day the Soviet Union recognized the DPRK in advance of others and established diplomatic relations with the Republic. In this connection, our station commentator (Aleksandrov) says:

The Soviet plan to liquidate nuclear and chemical weapons by the end of this century, the Soviet decision to extend the period of freezing nuclear testing to 1 January 1987, and the Soviet proposal to include the Asian and Pacific region in a comprehensive international security system have won broad support from the Korean friends.

Meanwhile, the political activities of People's Korea in the international community have shown that People's Korea is an important ally of the Soviet Union and the other fraternal socialist countries in the struggle for international security and contributes to practically realizing the idea of turning Asia into a zone of peace and cooperation. The peace proposals which the DPRK has put forth to improve the situation in Korea and in the whole of Asia agree with the Soviet plan to handle the question of the Asian security comprehensively. The DPRK proposals for replacing the Korean Armistice Agreement with a peace agreement, for adopting a North-South declaration of nonaggression, for halting large military exercises on the Korean peninsula, and for turning the Korean peninsula into a nuclear-free peace zone have aroused broad international repercussions.

/12858

BUDAPEST: BESSMEKTNYKH QUERIED ON NON-LAB SDI TESTS, SUMMIT, ABM

LD221006 Budapest MTI in English 1753 GMT 22 Oct 86

[Text] Budapest, October 22 (MTI) -- Wednesday's MAGYAR HIRLAP carries an interview with Soviet Deputy Foreign Minister Aleksandr Bessmertnykh. According to the position of the Soviet Government, security issues continue to take a central place in Soviet-American relations after Reykjavik, Bessmertnykh said. From these issues the Soviet Union attributes distinguished importance to nuclear disarmament and the prevention of space armament. After Reykjavik the U.S. Administration has to make a choice as the innovative and creative proposals which were put forward there and some aspects of which were not yet interpreted precisely enough are still in force.

The Soviet deputy foreign minister termed the statement that the Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI) is merely a defensive system as unacceptable. If we hold talks on a 50 percent cut in strategic weapons, Bessmertnykh said, SDI is dangerous for the Soviet Union even as a defensive system as it makes a nuclear first strike possible.

Bessmertnykh resolutely denied that it would be more reasonable for the Soviet Government to wait for a new U.S. Administration coming to power. We have not adopted tactics of that kind, he said. Our policy is not based on internal clashes in the United States; we will not freeze our relations or withdraw any proposal we have made. It would be a loss of time, and we do not believe that the reserves of reasonability have been exhausted.

Answering a question whether Gorbachev will leave for Washington the Soviet deputy foreign minister said that the official visit has not been removed from the agenda, the Soviet party attributes invariable importance to it, and preparations are going on.

Bessmertnykh made a remark on the rumours attracting attention in the Sunday issue of THE NEW YORK TIMES that the Soviet Union would consider it acceptable to test some components of the space defence system outside laboratories provided that the USSR and the USA reach a comprehensive agreement. Bessmertnykh said that the ABM Treaty allowed indeed to carry out stationary tests on earth outside laboratory. The Soviet side, however, is unwilling to sign an agreement that makes it possible to test a space defence system in outer space.

/12858

TOKYO: USSR'S KAPITSA BRIEFINGS ON REYKJAVIK, SDI, ABM

Talks With Deputy Minister Yanai

OW201149 Tokyo KYODO in English 1139 GMT 20 Oct 86

[Excerpts] Tokyo, 20 Oct (KYODO)--Kapitsa, a special Soviet envoy here on a four-day visit since Sunday to brief Japan on the outcome of the U.S.-Soviet summit in Reykjavik, Iceland on October 11-12, said the Soviet Union had proposed in the summit on disarmament programs as a package, which contained a 50 percent reduction in strategic nuclear weapons, a zero option of intermediate-range missiles in Europe and reduction of them in Asia and confinement on [as received] a laboratory research and tests of strategic defense initiative. (SDI).

The Soviet Union had been opposed in the summit to ground and space tests of SDI and asked the U.S. to remain in the Antiballistic Missile (AMB) Treaty over 10 years, he said. But that had caused an obstacle in the negotiations with the U.S. in Reykjavik, Kapitsa was quoted as telling Yanai.

It is regretted that the summit failed to conclude agreements, but efforts can and must be continued, Kapitso said, adding that progress (on disarmament) can be expected because of the (potential) agreements on a high level (reached in the summit), the official said.

Yanai said Japan expects both superpowers to produce positive results, starting from the high-level agreements, the official said.

But Yanai showed regret over Soviet linkage between inf, strategic nuclear weapons and SDI. He also said Japan remains unchanged in seeking a zero option of SS-20 missiles on a global basis, the official said.

# NHK Interview

OW211400 Tokyo NHK Television Network in Japanese 1200 GMT 21 Oct 86

[From the "New Center 9" program; interview "conducted recently" with Mikhail Stepanovich Kapitsa, USSR deputy minister of foreign affairs, by NHK Newscaster Tarc Kimura; Kapitsa shown seated in unidentified office speaking in Russian; Kimura shown in televisin studio speaking in English, with Japanese subtitles for both shown on screen; Kapitsa remarks translated from the Russian, Kimura transcribed from English — recorded]

[Text] [Kimura] I actually returned from Reykjavik recently very disappointed. I wonder what is your view on having those two leaders meet again very soon?

[Kapitsa] I think you have grounds for disappointment, but you have no grounds for not being an optimist. We were also disappointed by the fact that in Reykjavik the leaders of the two powers were very close to an agreement on liquidating, on reducing and liquidating strategic arms, very close to an agreement on intermediate-range missiles, but everything was frustrated due to the U.S. insistence on its program for creating space arms. We cannot agree with this.

[Kimura] This SDI problem is really confusing. You have said now that it has to be packaged with the other issue. But I understand Mr Karpov in Europe — he is the chief negotiator — he said recently in Great Britain and in Germany that it could be separate deal [as heard]. I mean, which represents the USSR policy? Which voice?

[Kapitsa] Karpov's position, Karpov's statement was interpreted incorrectly. Karpov did not make a statement like this. We believe all three elements must be fixed and all three agreements signed simultaneously — the agreement on reducing and subsequently liquidating nuclear arms, the agreement on intermediate-range missiles, and the agreement on observing the ABM treaty for a 10-day period. This is the package.

I must say that I was most disappointed by Japan's position in this regard. It would seem that Japan would be interested in the most rapid liquidation of nuclear arms. But as a result of the talks that I have had, at least until today, I have come to hold the opinion that Japan is defending the U.S. position. One can be an ally, one can even be a good ally. But one must have one's own independent foreign policy.

[Kimura] But don't you think the situation is reversed rather than clarified, as just you said that Japan being allied to the United States and also committed herself to take part in developing SDI, the situation seems to be very difficult for Japan to welcome Mr Gorbachev at this time.

[Kapitsa] I had in mind not the political situation in this case but the time factor. the General Secretary's visit to the United States will not take place in the near future so there is some free time.

[Kimura] It may view for the others as USSR is trying to strike an wedge between Japan and the United States by Gorbachev's visit to Japan.

# Meets With Foreign Minister

LD211324 Moscow TASS in English 1318 GMT 21 Oct 86

[Text] Tokyo October 21 TASS -- Mikhail Kapitsa, a special envoy of the Soviet Government and a deputy minister of foreign affairs of the USSR, and the Soviet Union's ambassador to Japan, Nikolay Solovev, today had a meeting with Japan's Foreign Minister Tadashi Kuranari and presented the Soviet view of the Soviet-American summit meeting in Reykjavik.

The Soviet officials stressed that the Soviet Union had laid emphasis at the Iceland meeting on the key problems of international politics, such as the termination of the arms race and nuclear disarmament. It put on the negotiating table a package of major measures which, if they had been accepted, would usher in a new age, an age without nuclear weapons, in human history.

/12858

# END OF FICHE DATE FILMED 25 Mov. 86



